

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC ROTARY VACUUMATRIC DRY SYRUP FILLING WITH ROPP CAPPING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT BY FMEA

Product/System/Equipment	AUTOMATIC ROTARY VACUUMATRIC DRY SYRUP FILLING WITH ROPP CAPPING MACHINE
Risk Assessment Report No.	
Report Date	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC ROTARY VACUUMATRIC DRY SYRUP FILLING WITH ROPP CAPPING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Risk Assessment Approach	4
5.0	Responsibility	4
6.0	Reference Documents	5
7.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	5-6
8.0	Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMEA	7
9.0	Risk assessment as per FMEA	8-16
9.1	Review of Risk assessment as per FMEA after action taken.	17
10.0	Risk Control Measures	18
11.0	Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	19
12.0	Final Report Approval	20



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC ROTARY VACUUMATRIC DRY SYRUP FILLING WITH ROPP CAPPING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

DOCUMENT APPROVAL:

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

Responsibility	Department	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Quality assurance			
	Production			
	Quality control			
Reviewed by	Engineering			
	Store			
	Quality assurance			
Approved by	Head-QA			



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC ROTARY VACUUMATRIC DRY SYRUP FILLING WITH ROPP CAPPING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

1.0 Introduction

The" automatic rotary vacuumatric dry syrup filling with ropp capping machine " is intended for the manufacturing of powder oral dosage form. The machine is precision built on sturdy welded ms frame completely enclose in stainless steel sheet and doors are providing to facilitate the servicing of machine, work on volumetric principle with rotary motion. The goal is to obtain that the integrated machine with following facilities such 1 as hopper and powder and filling head to achieve desired filled with assurance of product quality & safety.

2.0 Objective

Objective of this report is to assess the risk associated with the equipment "Automatic rotary vacuumatric dry syrup filling with ropp capping machine" in post assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cepha Oral Block of, in line with the guidance of the Risk Management manual of and ICH Q9.

3.0 Scope

4.0 Risk assessment approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMEA (Failure mode, effects analysis) method.

5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance

Engineering

Production

Quality Control

Store

6.0 Reference Documents

1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC ROTARY VACUUMATRIC DRY SYRUP FILLING WITH ROPP CAPPING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

Background

7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS

7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

Effect	Scale	Description
No effect	1	No effect on output
Very slight	2	Customer not annoyed
Slight	3	Slight
Minor	4	Minor effect on performance
Moderate	5	Moderate effect on performance
Significant	6	Partial failure but operable
Major	7	Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe
Extreme	8	Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe
Serious	9	Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure
Hazardous	10	Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure

7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

Occurrence	Scale	Description
Almost never	1	Failure unlikely; history shows no failures
Remote	2	Rare number of historical failure
Very Slight	3	Very few failures likely
Slight	4	Few failures likely
Low	5	Occasional number of failures likely



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC ROTARY VACUUMATRIC DRY SYRUP FILLING WITH ROPP CAPPING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

Medium	6	Medium number of failures likely			
Moderately High 7 Moderately high number of failures likely					
High 8 High number of failures likely					
Very High	9	Very high number of failures likely			
Almost certain	10	Failure almost certain			

7.3 Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection	Scale	Description						
Almost certain	1	Proven detection methods with high reliability						
Very High	2	Proven detection methods available						
High	3	Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods						
Moderately High 4		Almost certain not to detect failure						
Medium	5	Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect						
Low	6	Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure						
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure						
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure						
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure						
Impossible	10	Failure not detected						

Note: Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.

8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMEA

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

S.No.	RPN Rating	RPN Category	Action Status
01.	≥ 76	Critical	CAPA Required
02.	51 to 75	Major	CAPA Required
03.	26 to 50	Moderate	CAPA Required
04.	Up to 25	Minor	Not applicable



9.0 POST-RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Utility/Equipment/Process/Operation: AUTOMATIC ROTARY VACUUMATRIC DRY SYRUP FILLING WITH ROPP CAPPING MACHINE

		(S)			0	ntrol	(D)	x D)		ity		Actio	n Resi	ults		
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	
		Equipment may not function as desired.	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		c-GMP requirement will not meet	7	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.	3	URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the	1	21		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
1	Design Qualification document received is inadequate.	Safety measures with respect to operator and environment will not be clear.	4		3	help of engineering, QA & department Head. 2. Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	_	Clarity on P & ID diagram will not be clear	3			3	& user department verified DQ against URS.	2	18		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Major components list will be missed out.	6		2		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	

		(S) (S)		ntrol	(D)	x D)		ity	Action Results								
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Current Contro	RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN		
		Requirement of utilities (power and compressed air) will not be clear.	3	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in			4		2	24	N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Design Qualification document	Functional design specification will not be available.	4		3	Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user	2 24 Current control measures are	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA				
1	received is inadequate	received is Generally	4	preparation of URS.	4	department verified DQ against URS.	1	16	adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
		Instrument list connected with equipment will be missing	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			(S)		(0)	ntrol	(D)	x D)		ity		Actio	on Res	ults	
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (s)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
2	Design Qualification document is not checked and verified properly.	Document verification related to design verification, c GMP requirement, Instrument & control verification, components verification, utility verification & safety verification will not be appropriate.	4	Inadequate knowledge or inadequate training to all concerned.	3	Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against URS.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	Installation Qualification document is inadequate	inadequate Installation of equipment	4	Inadequate information in IQ.	3	1. Interpretation of URS along with DQ. 2. SOP is in place for verification of IQ document.	2	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Identification of major components will be missing	6	Inadequate information in IQ.	2	 Interpretation of URS along with DQ. SOP is in place for verification of 	2	24	Current control	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			(s)		0	ntrol	(D)	(Q x		ity		Actio	Action Results		
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		No or inadequate clarity on equipment / documents required for completion of IQ.	3		3	IQ document.	2	18	measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
4	Calibrated Measuring equipment not available at site.(Digital multi meter with cum clamp meter)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate training	4	Ensure Physically for the availability of equipments before execution of IQ.	1	24	. Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			(s)		<u>(0)</u>	ntrol	(D)	x D)		ity		Actio	n Res	ults	
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
5	Reference document not available at site during IQ. (FDS, PLC FDS, GA and electrical drawing, installation & Operational manual, Material chart with test certificate & Manual.)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate knowledge for verification of reference documents on receipt.	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of documents before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
6	MOC verification not done during IQ (For contact and non contact parts)	Product may gets contaminated	7	 MOC Test certificate not provided by vendor. Molybdenum Kit Not available 	4	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	56	Molybdenum kit to be procured	Enginee ring, 2016	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
7	Equipment name plate not available during IQ	Equipment will not be identified.	4	Equipment name plate not provided by vendor	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			(s)		0	ntrol	(D)	x D)		ity		Actio	n Resi	ılts	
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
8	Instrumentation & calibration check not performed.	IQ will not be performed	5	Inadequate Knowledge or training to concern personnel	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	1	15	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
9	Operational document is inadequate	inadequate Operation of equipment	6	Inadequate information in OQ	4	SOP is in place for verification of OQ Protocol.	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
10	IQ not completed prior to OQ	OQ Cannot be proceed	6	Incomplete documentation. Installation not completed	4	SOP is in place to perform OQ after successful completion of IQ	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
11	Prequalification requirement not checked during OQ. (Tools are not removed from the equipment.)	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge or safety measures are not followed	2	Activity will performed by Trained personnel.	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Emergency "STOP" button not released.	Equipment will not run	6	Inadequate knowledge	4	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			(s)		(0)	ntrol	(D)	x D)		ity		Actio	n Resi	ılts	
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
	External equipment is not disconnected.	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge or safety measures are not followed	2	Activity will performed by Trained personnel. Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
13	Equipment operation verification not done. (Noise level).	Equipment will not perform as intended	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	2	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
16	Equipment control functions, interlocks & alarm verification test not done.	Equipment will not function as desired.	7	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Adequate safety features for men and material not provided with the equipment	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			(s)		(O)	ntrol	(D)	x D)		ity		Actio	n Resi	ılts	
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
17	Equipment is not assembled after cleaning, preventive maintenance, break down, calibration	Accident may happen. Equipement not functioned as expected	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment	2	Procedure is in place for proper assembling after properly cleaning, preventive maintenance, calibration	1	20	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
19	Major changes done without any documentation	1.Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. 2.Product quality may get affected	6	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Change control Sop is in place	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
20	Product designing is not done considering current equipment design and capacity	1.Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. 2.Product quality may get affected	6	No or inadequate clarity about equipment design and capacity	3	Performance qualification will be carried out on equipment considering Min. & Max. capacity & design	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
21	Process monitoring is not done	Performance of the equipment will not be guaranteed	8	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Process validation & APR will cover the monitoring part	1	24	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			(s)		(0)	ntrol	(D)	x D)		ity		Actio	n Resi	ılts	
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (RPN (S x O)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
22	Process validation guidance is not clear (sample withdrawal).	Performance of the equipment will not be guaranteed.	8	Inadequate knowledge/training	2	Process validation protocol will cover the sampling location.	1	16	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
23	Equipment is not cleaned properly	Product will contaminated	8	Cleaning procedure is not followed correctly	2	Line clearance & cleaning procedure is in place	1	16	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
26	No dedicated finger bag specific to the product	Product will be contaminated	8	Insufficient finger bags available	4	Decision has been taken for product dedicated finger bag	2	64	Dedicated product specific finger bag to be procured	Enginee ring, Producti on, QA 2016					



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC ROTARY VACUUMATRIC DRY SYRUP FILLING WITH ROPP CAPPING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

9.1 REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA AFTER ACTION TAKEN:

Action Results									
Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detectability	RPN					



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC ROTARY VACUUMATRIC DRY SYRUP FILLING WITH ROPP CAPPING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

10.0 RISK CONTROL MEASURES
Investigation/ findings: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)
Corrective Action: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)

(Sign/Date)



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

11.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION REPORT FOR RISK ASSESSMENT
Summary:
Conclusion:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC ROTARY VACUUMATRIC DRY SYRUP FILLING WITH ROPP CAPPING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Department	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Quality assurance				
Production				
Quality control				
Engineering				
Store				
Head-QA				