

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC VERTICAL ROUND BOTTLE STICKER LABELING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

### RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT BY FMEA

Product/System/Equipment	AUTOMATIC VERTICAL ROUND BOTTLE STICKER LABELLING MACHINE
Risk Assessment Report No.	
Report Date	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC VERTICAL ROUND BOTTLE STICKER LABELING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Risk Assessment Approach	4
5.0	Responsibility	4
6.0	Reference Documents	5
7.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	5-7
8.0	Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMEA	7
9.0	Risk assessment as per FMEA	8-16
9.1	Review of Risk assessment as per FMEA after action taken.	17
10.0	Risk Control Measures	18
11.0	Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	19
12.0	Final Report Approval	20



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC VERTICAL ROUND BOTTLE STICKER LABELING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

#### **DOCUMENT APPROVAL:**

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

Responsibility	Department	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Quality assurance			
	Production			
	Quality control			
Reviewed by	Engineering			
	Store			
	Quality assurance			
Approved by	Head-QA			

1.0 Introduction



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC VERTICAL ROUND BOTTLE STICKER LABELING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

The "Automatic Vertical Round Bottle Sticker Labeling Machine "is intended for the manufacturing of powder oral dosage form. The machine is used labeling on round bottle. It is capable for labeling 100 to 120 containers per minute depending on product & label size and filling head to achieve desired filled with assurance of product quality & safety.

2.0	Objective
	Objective of this report is to assess the risk associated with the equipment "Automatic Vertical
	Round Bottle Sticker Labeling Machine" in post assessment in the manufacturing facility of
	Cepha Block of, in line with the guidance of the Risk Management manual of
	and ICH Q9.
3.0	Scope
	Objective of this report is to assess the risk associated with the equipment "Automatic Vertical

Round Bottle Sticker Labeling Machine" in post assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cepha Block of ....., in line with the guidance of the Risk Management manual of ......and ICH Q9.

#### 4.0 Risk assessment approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMEA (Failure mode effects analysis) method.

#### 5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance

Engineering

Production

**Quality Control** 

Store

#### **6.0** Reference Documents

1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management

throughout the life cycle of the drug product.

Background	
is intended to start manufacturing of powder solid oral facility at	Risk assessment
is a part of corporate quality assurance. Post Quality Risk Assessment of "Automatic	Vertical Round
Bottle Sticker Labeling Machine" is done to check the system is capable of providing	quality product



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC VERTICAL ROUND BOTTLE STICKER LABELING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

#### 7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS

#### 7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

Effect	Scale	Description
No effect	1	No effect on output
Very slight	2	Customer not annoyed
Slight	3	Slight
Minor	4	Minor effect on performance
Moderate	5	Moderate effect on performance
Significant	6	Partial failure but operable
Major	7	Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe
Extreme	8	Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe
Serious	9	Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure
Hazardous	10	Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC VERTICAL ROUND BOTTLE STICKER LABELING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

#### 7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

Occurrence	Scale	Description
Almost never	1	Failure unlikely; history shows no failures
Remote	2	Rare number of historical failure
Very Slight	3	Very few failures likely
Slight	4	Few failures likely
Low 5		Occasional number of failures likely
Medium	6	Medium number of failures likely
Moderately High	7	Moderately high number of failures likely
High	8	High number of failures likely
Very High	9	Very high number of failures likely
Almost certain	10	Failure almost certain

#### **7.3** Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection	Scale	Description					
Almost certain	1	Proven detection methods with high reliability					
Very High 2		Proven detection methods available					
High	3	Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods					
Moderately High 4		Almost certain not to detect failure					
Medium	5	Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect					
Low	6	Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure					
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure					
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure					
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure					
Impossible	10	Failure not detected					

**Note:** Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC VERTICAL ROUND BOTTLE STICKER LABELING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

#### 8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMEA

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

S.No.	RPN Rating	RPN Category	Action Status
1.	≥ 76	Critical	CAPA Required
2.	51 to 75	Major	CAPA Required
3.	26 to 50	Moderate	CAPA Required
4.	Up to 25	Minor	Not applicable



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

# POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC VERTICAL ROUND BOTTLE STICKER LABELING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

#### 9.0 POST-RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Utility/Equipment/Process/Operation: Automatic Vertical Round Bottle Sticker Labeling Machine

S.No.		Potential effect (s) of failure	(S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure				D Q	Recommended action	ţ	Action	Result	ts		
			Severity (S		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
1	Design Qualification document received is	Equipment may not function as desired.	4	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.	3	URS is prepared     by experienced     personnel with the     help of	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	inadequate.	cGMP requirement will not met	7		3	engineering, QA & department Head. 2. Well experienced	1	21		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Safety measures with respect to operator and environment will not be clear.	4		3	Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against URS.	2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Major components list will be missed out.	6		2		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
1	Design Qualification document received is inadequate	Requirement of utilities (power supply) will not be clear.	3	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.	4	1. URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the help of engineering, QA & department Head.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	(S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	0	ıtrol	D)	(D)	Recommended action	ıty	Action	Result	ts		
			Severity (		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		Functional design specification will not be available.	4		3	2. Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against	2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Generally assembling diagram will not be clear	4		4	URS.	1	16		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Instrument list connected with equipment will be missing	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	<u>S</u>	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	(0)	itrol	D)	x D)	Recommended action	ity	Action Results				
			Secrity (S) Mechanism of familie		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
2	Design Qualification document is not checked and verified properly.	Document verification related to design verification, cGMP requirement Instrument & control verification, components verification, utility verification & safety verification will not be appropriate.	4	Inadequate knowledge or inadequate training to all concerned.	3	Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department will verify DQ against URS.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	Installation Qualification document is inadequate	inadequate Installation of equipment	7	Inadequate information in IQ.	3	Interpretation of URS along with DQ.     SOP is in place for verification of IQ document.	1	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	•	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	0	trol	<u> </u>	D)	Recommended action	<b>5</b> 3	Action	Resul	ts		
			Severity (S)		Occurrence (O)	Current Control  Detection (D)  RPN (S x O x D)		(S x		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		Identification of major components will be missing	6	Inadequate information in IQ.	2	Interpretation of URS along with DQ.     SOP is in place for verification of	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		No or inadequate clarity on equipment / documents required for completion of IQ.	3		3	IQ document.	2	18		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
4	Calibrated Measuring equipment not available at site. ( multi meter, Tachometer)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate training	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of equipment before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	<b>8</b>	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	(0)	itrol	D (Q	D)	Recommended action	\$	Action	Resul	ts		
			Severity (S)		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
5	Reference document not available at site during IQ. (FDS, GA and electrical drawing, installation & Operational manual, Material chart with test certificate & Manual.)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate knowledge for verification of reference documents on receipt.	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of document before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
6	MOC verification not done during IQ ( For contact and non contact parts )	Product may gets contaminated	7	MOC Test     Certificate not provided     by vendor.     Molybdenum Kit     Not available	4	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	56	Molybdenum kit to be procured	Engineering, 2016	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
7	Equipment name plate not available during IQ	Equipment will not be identified.	4	Equipment name plate not provided by vendor	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
8	Instrumentation & calibration check not performed.	IQ will not be performed	5	Inadequate Knowledge or training to concern personnel	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	1	15	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	6	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	<u> </u>	trol	D (D	x D)	Recommended action	ty.	Action Results						
			Severity (S)		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN		
9	Operational document is inadequate	inadequate Operation of equipment	6	Inadequate information in OQ	4	SOP is in place for verification of OQ Protocol.	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
10	IQ not completed prior to OQ	OQ Cannot be proceed	6	Incomplete documentation.     Installation not completed	4	SOP is in place to perform OQ after successful completion of IQ	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
11	Main motor not rotating.	Equipment will not run	7	1. Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment. 2. Required input supply of suitable frequency of motor not provided	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
12	Equipment operation verification not done. (Main motor performance (Noise level).	Equipment will not perform as intended	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	2	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

S.No.	Potential Potential effect (s) of failure		- C C- 21 M 1		<u> </u>	(O)		D	Recommended action	<u> </u>	Action Results					
			Severity (S)		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	
13	Adequate safety features for men and material not provided with the equipment	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	Flame proof motors & earthing not provided	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	Equipment control functions verification test not done.	Equipment will not function as desired.	7	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
14	Major changes done without any documentation	1.Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. 2.Product quality may get affected	6	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Change control Sop is in place	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
15	Product designing is not done considering current equipment design	1.Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. 2.Product quality may get affected	6	No or inadequate clarity about equipment design and capacity	3	Performance qualification will be carried out on equipment considering design	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	





QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	(S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	0	itrol	(D)	D Q	Recommended action	<b>\$</b>	Action Results					
			Severity (S		Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (	RPN (S x O x		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	
16	Equipment is not cleaned properly	Product will contaminated	8	Cleaning procedure is not followed correctly	2	Line clearance & cleaning procedure is in place	1	16	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
17	Machine operation without cap seal.	Accident happens	4	No or inadequate Knowledge	3	Activity will performed by Trained personnel.	2	24	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
18	Machine will not operating with desired speed	Product quality affected	7	No or inadequate Knowledge	2	Activity will performed by Trained personnel. Activities will perform as per SOP.	1	14	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC VERTICAL ROUND BOTTLE STICKER LABELING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

#### 9.1 REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA AFTER ACTION TAKEN:

Action Results									
Action Taken	Action Taken Severity Occurrence Detect ability R								



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

10.0 RISK CONTROL MEASURES	
Investigation/ findings: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)	
	••
	••
	••
	••
	••
	••
	••
	••
	••
Corrective Action: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)	
	••
	••
	••
	••
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••
	••
	••
	••
	••
(Sign/Date)	••
(Eight Date)	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

•••
••••
••••
••••
••••
••••
••••
•••••
••••
••••
••••
••••
••••
••••
••••



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR AUTOMATIC VERTICAL ROUND BOTTLE STICKER LABELING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

#### 12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Department	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Quality assurance				
Production				
Quality control				
Engineering				
Store				
Head-QA				