



# RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT BY FMEA

Product/System/Equipment	INDUCTION CAP SEALING MACHINE
Risk Assessment Report No.	
Report Date	

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

# POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR INDUCTION CAP SEALING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Risk Assessment Approach	4
5.0	Responsibility	4
6.0	Reference Documents	5
7.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	5-7
8.0	Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMEA	7
9.0	Risk assessment as per FMEA	8-16
9.1	Review of Risk assessment as per FMEA after action taken.	17
10.0	Risk Control Measures	18
11.0	Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	19
12.0	Final Report Approval	20





QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

# POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR INDUCTION CAP SEALING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

### **DOCUMENT APPROVAL:**

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

Responsibility	Department	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Quality assurance			
	Production			
	Quality control			
Reviewed by	Engineering			
	Store			
	Quality assurance			
Approved by	Head-QA			



#### 1.0 Introduction

The "Induction Cap Sealing Machine "is intended for the manufacturing of powder oral dosage form. The machine is precision built on sturdy of provide tamper evidence, prevent the ingress of moisture and oxygen, and avoid leakages. Proper sealing can be achieved by selecting caps, induction wads & containers having proper fit & compatibility. The goal is to obtain that the integrated machine with following facilities such as hopper and powder and filling head to achieve desired filled with assurance of product quality & safety.

#### 2.0 Objective

Objective of this report is to assess the risk associated with the equipment "Induction Cap Sealing Machine" in post assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cepha Block of ....., in line with the guidance of the Risk Management manual of ..... and ICH Q9.

#### 3.0 Scope

The scope of this document is limited to the design, installation, operation, performance and safety of equipment "Induction Cap Sealing Machine" and define its failure mode at post assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cepha Block at .....

#### 4.0 Risk assessment approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMEA (Failure mode, effects analysis) method.

#### 5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance Engineering Production Quality Control Store

#### 6.0 Reference Documents

1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

# POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR INDUCTION CAP SEALING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

#### Background

..... is intended to start manufacturing of powder solid oral facility at .......... Risk assessment is a part of corporate quality assurance. Post Quality Risk Assessment of "Induction Cap Sealing Machine" is done to check the system is capable of providing quality product throughout the life cycle of the drug product.

### 7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS

### 7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

Effect	Scale	Description
No effect	1	No effect on output
Very slight	2	Customer not annoyed
Slight	3	Slight
Minor	4	Minor effect on performance
Moderate	5	Moderate effect on performance
Significant	6	Partial failure but operable
Major	7	Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe
Extreme	8	Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe
Serious	9	Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure
Hazardous	10	Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

# POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR INDUCTION CAP SEALING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

### 7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

Occurrence	Scale	Description
Almost never	1	Failure unlikely; history shows no failures
Remote	2	Rare number of historical failure
Very Slight	3	Very few failures likely
Slight	4	Few failures likely
Low	5	Occasional number of failures likely
Medium	6	Medium number of failures likely
Moderately High	7	Moderately high number of failures likely
High	8	High number of failures likely
Very High	9	Very high number of failures likely
Almost certain	10	Failure almost certain

### 7.3 Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection	Scale	Description
Almost certain	1	Proven detection methods with high reliability
Very High	2	Proven detection methods available
High	3	Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods
Moderately High	4	Almost certain not to detect failure
Medium	5	Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect
Low	6	Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure
Impossible	10	Failure not detected

**Note:** Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.

### RMA DEVILS PH



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR INDUCTION CAP SEALING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

### 8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMEA

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

S.No.	RPN Rating	RPN Category	Action Status
1.	≥76	Critical	CAPA Required
2.	51 to 75	Major	CAPA Required
3.	26 to 50	Moderate	CAPA Required
4.	Up to 25	Minor	Not applicable





QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR INDUCTION CAP SEALING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

### 9.0 POST-RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Utility/Equipment/Process/Operation: Induction Cap Sealing Machine

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	(S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	(0)	Control	D)	<b>x</b> D)	Recommended action	ty		Actio	on Res	ults	
			Severity (S		Occurrence (O)	Current Con	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
1	Design Qualification document received is	Equipment may not function as desired.	4	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.	3	1. URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the help of	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	inadequate.	cGMP requirement will not met	7		3	engineering, QA & department Head. 2. Well experienced	1	21		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Safety measures with respect to operator and environment will not be clear.	4		3	Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against URS.	2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Major components list will be missed out.	6		2		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA





## POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR INDUCTION CAP SEALING MACHINE

### (CEPHA BLOCK)

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	(5	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	0	trol	D)	<b>x</b> D)	Recommended action	ty	Action Results							
			Severity (S)		Occurrence (0)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN			
2	Design Qualification document received is inadequate	Requirement of utilities (power supply) will not be clear.	3	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.	4	1. URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the help of engineering, QA & department Head.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
		Functional design specification will not be available.	4		3	2. Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against	2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
		Generally assembling diagram will not be clear	4		4	URS.	1	16		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
		Instrument list connected with equipment will be missing	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			





S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	(S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	(0)	Itrol	D)	<b>x D</b> )	Recommended action	ţy		Acti	on Res	ults	
			Severity (		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
3	Design Qualification document is not checked and verified properly.	Document verification related to design verification, cGMP requirement Instrument & control verification, components verification, utility verification & safety verification will not be appropriate.	4	Inadequate knowledge or inadequate training to all concerned.	3	Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department will verify DQ against URS.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
4	Installation Qualification document is inadequate	inadequate Installation of equipment	7	Inadequate information in IQ.	3	Interpretation of URS along with DQ. SOP is in place for verification of IQ document.	1	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA





S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	(S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	0	itrol	D)	<b>x D</b> )	Recommended action	ity		Actio	on Res	ults	
			Severity (		Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
5		Identification of major components will be missing	6	Inadequate information in IQ.	2	Interpretation of URS along with DQ. SOP is in place for verification of IQ	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		No or inadequate clarity on equipment / documents required for completion of IQ.	3		3	document.	2	18		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
6	Calibrated Measuring equipment not available at site. ( multi meter, Tachometer)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate training	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of equipment before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA





S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	(S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	(0)	ıtrol	(D)	<b>x D</b> )	Recommended action	lty		Acti	on Res	ults	
			Severity (!		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (	RPN (S x O x		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
7	Reference document not available at site during IQ. (FDS, GA and electrical drawing, installation & Operational manual, Material chart with test certificate & Manual.)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate knowledge for verification of reference documents on receipt.	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of document before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
8	MOC verification not done during IQ ( For contact and non contact parts )	Product may gets contaminated	7	<ol> <li>MOC Test Certificate not provided by vendor.</li> <li>Molybdenum Kit Not available</li> </ol>	4	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	56	Molybdenum kit to be procured	Engineering, 2016					
9	Equipment name plate not available during IQ	Equipment will not be identified.	4	Equipment name plate not provided by vendor	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA





S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	(S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	(0)	ıtrol	D	<b>x</b> D)	Recommended action	ty	Action Results				
			Severity (9		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
10	Instrumentation & calibration check not performed.	IQ will not be performed	5	Inadequate Knowledge or training to concern personnel	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	1	15	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
11	Operational document is inadequate	inadequate Operation of equipment	6	Inadequate information in OQ	4	SOP is in place for verification of OQ Protocol.	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
12	IQ not completed prior to OQ	OQ Cannot be proceed	6	<ol> <li>Incomplete documentation.</li> <li>Installation not completed</li> </ol>	4	SOP is in place to perform OQ after successful completion of IQ	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
13	Main motor not rotating.	Equipment will not run	7	<ol> <li>Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.</li> <li>Required input supply of suitable frequency of motor not provided</li> </ol>	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA





S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	5)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	(0)	Itrol	D)		Recommended action		ty	Action Results				
			Severity (S)		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	
14	Equipment operation verification not done. (Main motor performance (Noise level).	Equipment will not perform as intended	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	2	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
15	Adequate safety features for men and material not provided with the equipment	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	Flame proof motors & earthing not provided	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	Equipment control functions verification test not done.	Equipment will not function as desired.	7	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
16	Major changes done without any documentation	1.Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. 2.Product quality may get affected	6	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Change control Sop is in place	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	





S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	(S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	(0)	itrol	D)	x D)	Recommended action	lty	Action Results					
			Severity (S		Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	
17	Product designing is not done considering current equipment design	1.Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. 2.Product quality may get affected	6	No or inadequate clarity about equipment design and capacity	3	Performance qualification will be carried out on equipment considering design	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
18	Equipment is not cleaned properly	Product will contaminated	8	Cleaning procedure is not followed correctly	2	Line clearance & cleaning procedure is in place	1	16	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
19	Machine operation without cap seal.	Accident happens	4	No or inadequate Knowledge	3	Activity will performed by Trained personnel.	2	24	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
20	Machine will not operating with desired speed	Product quality affected	7	No or inadequate Knowledge	2	Activity will performed by Trained personnel. Activities will perform as per SOP.	1	14	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	



## PHARMA DEVILS QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

9.1 REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA AFTER ACTION TAKEN:									
Action Results									
Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detect ability	RPN	Remarks				





#### **10.0 RISK CONTROL MEASURES**

**Investigation/ findings:** (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)

	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
<b>Corrective Action:</b> (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)	
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
	·····
	·····
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	·····
	·····

(Sign/Date)





11.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION REPORT FOR RISK ASSESSMENT
Summary:
Conclusion:

# A DEVILS



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

### POST RISK ANALYSIS FOR INDUCTION CAP SEALING MACHINE (CEPHA BLOCK)

### **12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:**

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Department	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Quality assurance				
Production				
Quality control				
Engineering				
Store				
Head-QA				