



RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT BY FMEA

Product/System/Equipment	AUTOMATIC AIRJET BOTTLE AIR AND VACUUM CLEANING MACHINE
Risk Assessment Report No.	
Report Date	





TABLE OF CONTENTS

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Risk Assessment Approach	4
5.0	Responsibility	4
6.0	Reference Documents	5
7.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	5-6
8.0	Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMEA	7
9.0	Risk assessment as per FMEA	8-17
9.1	Review of Risk assessment as per FMEA after action taken.	18
10.0	Risk Control Measures	19
11.0	Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	20
12.0	Final Report Approval	21





DOCUMENT APPROVAL:

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

Responsibility	Department	Name	Signature	Date				
Prepared by	Quality assurance							
	Production							
	Quality control							
Reviewed by	Engineering							
	Store							
	Quality assurance							
Approved by	Head-QA							





1.0 Introduction

The "automatic air jet bottle air and vacuum cleaning machine" is inbuilt with turn table for smooth transfer of container to the cleaning section. The automatic air jet bottle air and vacuum cleaning machine works the cleaning of bottle started through air and transferred of cleaned bottle through conveyor for filling material with assurance of product safety.

2.0 Objective

Objecti	ve o	of this	report is	s to a	assess	the ri	sk as	sociated w	ith t	he ed	quipme	ent "Automatic	airjet bot	ttle
air and	vac	uum	cleaning	mac	hine"	in po	st ass	sessment in	the	man	ufactu	ring facility of	Cepha O	ral
Block	of			in	line	with	the	guidance	of	the	Risk	Management	manual	of
			and	ICF	I Q9.									

3.0 Scope

4.0 Risk assessment approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMEA (Failure mode, effects analysis) method.

5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance

Engineering

Production

Quality Control

Store

6.0 Reference Documents

- 1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management
- 2. pharmaceutical guidance on Risk assessment.



Background



POST RISK ASSESSMENT FOR AUTOMATIC AIR JET BOTTLE AIR AND VACUUM CLEANING MACHINE

is intended to start manufacturing of powder solid oral facility at	Risk
assessment is a part of corporate quality assurance. Post Quality Risk assessment of "automatic ai	ir jet
bottle air and vacuum cleaning machine" is done to check the system is capable of providing qu	ality
product throughout the life cycle of the drug product.	

7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS

7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

Effect	Scale	Description
No effect	1	No effect on output
Very slight	2	Customer not annoyed
Slight	3	Slight
Minor	4	Minor effect on performance
Moderate	5	Moderate effect on performance
Significant	6	Partial failure but operable
Major	7	Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe
Extreme	8	Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe
Serious	9	Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure
Hazardous	10	Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure

7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

Occurrence	Scale	Description			
Almost never	1	Failure unlikely; history shows no failures			
Remote 2 Rare number of historical failure					
Very Slight 3 Very few failures		Very few failures likely			
Slight	4	Few failures likely			
Low	5	Occasional number of failures likely			
Medium	6	Medium number of failures likely			





Occurrence	Scale	Description		
Moderately High 7 Moderately high number of failures likely				
High	High number of failures likely			
Very High 9 Ver		Very high number of failures likely		
Almost certain	10	Failure almost certain		

7.3 Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection Detection	Scale	Description					
Almost certain	1	Proven detection methods with high reliability					
Very High	2	Proven detection methods available					
High	3	Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods					
Moderately High	4	Almost certain not to detect failure					
Medium	5	Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect					
Low	6	Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure					
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure					
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure					
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure					
Impossible	10	Failure not detected					

Note: Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.

8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMEA

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

S.No.	RPN Rating	RPN Category	Action Status					
1.	≥ 76	Critical	CAPA Required					
2.	51 to 75	Major	CAPA Required					
3.	26 to 50	Moderate	CAPA Required					
4.	Up to 25	Minor	Not applicable					



PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSMENT FOR AUTOMATIC AIR JET BOTTLE AIR AND VACUUM CLEANING MACHINE

9.0 POST-RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Utility/Equipment/Process/Operation: Automatic Air jet Bottle Air and Vacuum Cleaning Machine

			S	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	0	ntrol		x D)		ity	Action Results				
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		Equipment may not function as desired.	4		3		2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Design Qualification document received is inadequate.	c-GMP requirement will not meet	7	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.	3 3	1. URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the help of engineering, QA & department Head. 2. Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against URS.	1	21		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
1		Safety measures with respect to operator and environment will not be clear.	4				2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Clarity on GA diagram will not be clear	3				2	18		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Major components list will be missed out.	6		2		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Occurrence (O) **Current Control** RPN (S x O x D) **Action Results** Responsibility and TCD Detection (D) Severity (S) **Potential** Occurrence New RPN Detection Severity Potential cause/ **Potential** Recommended S.No. effect (s) of Action taken Failure Mode Mechanism of failure action failure Requirement of utilities NA NA NA NA NA NA 3 24 (power & earthing) will not be clear. URS is prepared by experienced personnel Functional with the help of design engineering, QA & Design 24 specification 2 NA NA NA NA NA NA No or inadequate clarity department Head. **Oualification** Current control will not be (Knowledge) in document measures are available. 1 received is preparation of URS. Well experienced adequate Generally Personnel from QA, inadequate assembling 4 16 Engineering & user NA NA NA NA NA NA diagram will department verified not be clear DQ against URS. Instrument list connected NA NA NA NA NA NA with 4 3 24 equipment will be missing

			$\hat{\mathbf{s}}$		<u>(0)</u>	ntrol	(D)	x D)		ity	Action Results				
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
2	Design Qualification document is not checked and verified properly.	Document verification related to design verification, cGMP requirement, Instrument & control verification, components verification, utility verification & safety verification will not be appropriate.	4	Inadequate knowledge or inadequate training to all concerned.	3	Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department will verify DQ against URS.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	Installation Qualification document is inadequate	inadequate Installation of equipment	7	Inadequate information in IQ.	3	Interpretation of URS along with DQ. SOP is in place for verification of IQ document.	1	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			(S)		<u>0</u>	ntrol	(D)	x D)		ity	Action Results				
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		Identification of major components will be missing	6		2	Interpretation of URS along with DQ.	2	24	Current control	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		No or inadequate clarity on equipment / documents required for completion of IQ.	3	Inadequate information in IQ.	SOP is in place for verification of IQ document.	2	18	measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
4	Calibrated Measuring equipment not available at site.(spirit level, multi meter)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate training	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of equipment before execution of Qualification activity.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			S		0	ntrol	(D)	x D)		ity		Acti	on Resu	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
5	Reference document not available at site during IQ. (FDS, and electrical drawing, installation & Operational manual, Material chart with test certificate & Manual.)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate knowledge for verification of reference documents on receipt.	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of document before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
6	MOC verification not done during IQ (For contact and non contact parts)	Product may gets contaminated	7	MOC Test certificate not provided by vendor. Molybdenum Kit Not available	4	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	56	Molybdenum kit to be procured	Engineering					
7	Equipment name plate not available during IQ	Equipment will not be identified.	4	Equipment name plate not provided by vendor	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
8	Instrumentation & calibration check not performed.	IQ will not be performed	5	Inadequate Knowledge or training to concern personnel	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	1	15	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			S)		0	ntrol	(D)	x D)		ity		Acti	on Resu	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
9	Operational document is inadequate	inadequate Operation of equipment	6	Inadequate information in OQ	4	SOP is in place for verification of OQ Protocol.	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
10	IQ not completed prior to OQ	OQ Cannot be proceed	6	Incomplete documentation. Installation not completed	4	SOP is in place to perform OQ after successful completion of IQ	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Prequalification requirement not checked during OQ. (Tools are not removed from the equipment.)	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge or safety measures are not followed	2	Activity will be performed by Trained personnel.	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
11	Emergency "STOP" button not released.	Equipment will not run	6	Inadequate knowledge	4	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ.	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	External equipment is not disconnected.	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge or safety measures are not followed	2	Activity will performed by Trained personnel. Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			(S)		<u>(0)</u>	ntrol	(D)	x D)		ity		Acti	on Resu	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
12	Main motor not rotating.	Equipment will not run	7	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment. Required input supply of suitable frequency of motor not provided	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
13	Equipment operation verification not done. (Main motor performance, (Noise level).	Equipment will not perform as intended	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	2	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
14	Adequate safety features for men and material not provided with the equipment	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Flame proof motors,& earthing not provided	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			S		0	ntrol	(D)	x D)		ity		Acti	on Resu	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
	Equipment control functions verification test not done.	Equipment will not function as desired.	7	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
15	Equipment is not assembled after cleaning, preventive maintenance, break down, calibration	Accident may happen. Equipment not functioned as expected	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment	2	Procedure is in place for proper assembling after properly cleaning, preventive maintenance, calibration	1	20	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
16	Major changes done without any documentation	Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. Product quality may get affected	6	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Change control SOP is in place	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
17	Product designing is not done considering current equipment design and capacity	Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. Product quality may get affected	6	No or inadequate clarity about equipment design and capacity	3	Performance qualification will be carried out on equipment considering Min. & Max. capacity & design	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			(S)		(0)	ntrol	<u>e</u>	x D)		lity	Action Results					
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Conti	Detection	RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	
18	Process monitoring is not done (sample withdrawal).	Performance of the equipment will not be guaranteed	8	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Performance qualification will cover the monitoring part	1	24	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
19	Equipment is not cleaned properly	Product will contaminated	8	Cleaning procedure is not followed correctly	2	Line clearance & cleaning procedure is in place	1	16	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	





9.1 REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA AFTER ACTION TAKEN:

Action Results										
Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detectability	RPN						

Investigation/ findings: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient) Corrective Action: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)

(Sign/Date)





11.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION REPORT FOR RISK ASSESSMENT
Summary:
Conclusion:





12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Department	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Quality assurance				
Production				
Quality control				
Engineering				
Store				
Head-QA				