



QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

**RISK ASSESSMENT
REPORT BY FMEA**

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Product/System/Equipment | Bin Blender |
| Risk Assessment Report No. | |
| Report Date | |



QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| S.No. | Description | Page No. |
|-------|---|----------|
| 1.0 | Introduction | 4 |
| 2.0 | Objective | 4 |
| 3.0 | Scope | 4 |
| 4.0 | Risk Assessment Approach | 4 |
| 5.0 | Responsibility | 4 |
| 6.0 | Reference Documents | 5 |
| 7.0 | Risk Ranking Parameters | 5-6 |
| 8.0 | Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMEA | 7 |
| 9.0 | Risk assessment as per FMEA | 8-17 |
| 9.1 | Review of Risk assessment as per FMEA after action taken. | 18 |
| 10.0 | Risk Control Measures | 19 |
| 11.0 | Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment | 20 |
| 12.0 | Final Report Approval | 21 |



QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

DOCUMENT APPROVAL:

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

| Responsibility | Department | Name | Signature | Date |
|--------------------|-------------------|------|-----------|------|
| Prepared by | Quality assurance | | | |
| Reviewed by | Production | | | |
| | Quality control | | | |
| | Engineering | | | |
| | Store | | | |
| | Quality assurance | | | |
| Approved by | Head-QA | | | |



QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

1.0 Introduction

The “Blender” is intended to use for mixing of dried granules with sifted lubricants .Mixing is achieved by means of randomization of particles in a closed vessel with assurance of product safety.

2.0 Objective

Objective of this report is to assess the risk associated with the equipment “Blender” in post assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cepha Block at, in line with the guidance of the Risk Management manual of and ICH Q9.

3.0 Scope

The scope of this document is limited to the design, installation, operation, performance and safety of equipment “Blender” and define its failure mode at post assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cepha Block at

4.0 Risk assessment approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMEA (Failure mode effects analysis) method.

5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance
Engineering
Production
Quality Control
Store

6.0 Reference Documents

1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management
2. guidance on Risk assessment.



QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

Background

..... is intended to start manufacturing of solid oral facility at Risk assessment is a part of corporate quality assurance. Post Quality Risk assessment of “Blender” is done to check the system is capable of providing quality product throughout the life cycle of the drug product.

7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS

7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

| Effect | Scale | Description |
|-------------|-------|--|
| No effect | 1 | No effect on output |
| Very slight | 2 | Customer not annoyed |
| Slight | 3 | Slight |
| Minor | 4 | Minor effect on performance |
| Moderate | 5 | Moderate effect on performance |
| Significant | 6 | Partial failure but operable |
| Major | 7 | Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe |
| Extreme | 8 | Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe |
| Serious | 9 | Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure |
| Hazardous | 10 | Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure |

7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

| Occurrence | Scale | Description |
|--------------|-------|---|
| Almost never | 1 | Failure unlikely; history shows no failures |
| Remote | 2 | Rare number of historical failure |
| Very Slight | 3 | Very few failures likely |



QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

| | | |
|-----------------|----|---|
| Slight | 4 | Few failures likely |
| Low | 5 | Occasional number of failures likely |
| Medium | 6 | Medium number of failures likely |
| Moderately High | 7 | Moderately high number of failures likely |
| High | 8 | High number of failures likely |
| Very High | 9 | Very high number of failures likely |
| Almost certain | 10 | Failure almost certain |

7.3 Rating parameters for Detection control

| Detection | Scale | Description |
|-----------------|-------|--|
| Almost certain | 1 | Proven detection methods with high reliability |
| Very High | 2 | Proven detection methods available |
| High | 3 | Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods |
| Moderately High | 4 | Almost certain not to detect failure |
| Medium | 5 | Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect |
| Low | 6 | Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure |
| Slight | 7 | Detection tools may not detect failure |
| Very Slight | 8 | Detection tools will probably not detect failure |
| Remote | 9 | Detection tools most likely will not detect failure |
| Impossible | 10 | Failure not detected |

Note: Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.

8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMEA

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

| S.No. | RPN Rating | RPN Category | Action Status |
|-------|------------|--------------|---------------|
| 01. | ≥ 76 | Critical | CAPA Required |
| 02. | 51 to 75 | Major | CAPA Required |



PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

| | | | |
|-----|----------|----------|----------------|
| 03. | 26 to 50 | Moderate | CAPA Required |
| 04. | Up to 25 | Minor | Not applicable |



PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

9.0 POST-RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Utility/Equipment/Process/Operation: Blender

| S.No. | Potential Failure Mode | Potential effect (s) of failure | Severity (S) | Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure | Occurrence (O) | Current Control | Detection (D) | RPN (S x O x D) | Recommended action | Responsibility and TCD | Action Results | | | | |
|-------|---|---|--------------|---|----------------|--|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------|------------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | Action taken | Severity | Occurrence | Detection | New RPN |
| 1 | Design Qualification document received is inadequate. | Equipment may not function as desired. | 4 | No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS. | 3 | 1. URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the help of engineering, QA & department Head. 2. Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against URS. | 2 | 24 | Current control measures are adequate | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | | c-GMP requirement will not met | 7 | | 3 | | 1 | 21 | | | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | | Safety measures with respect to operator and environment will not be clear. | 4 | | 3 | | 2 | 24 | | | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | | Major components list will be missed out. | 6 | | 2 | | 2 | 24 | | | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | Design Qualification document received is inadequate | Requirement of utilities (power and compressed air) will not be clear. | 3 | No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS. | 4 | 1. URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the help of engineering , QA & department Head. 2. Well experienced | 2 | 24 | Current control measures are adequate | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |



PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

| S.No. | Potential Failure Mode | Potential effect (s) of failure | Severity (S) | Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure | Occurrence (O) | Current Control | Detection (D) | RPN (S x O x D) | Recommended action | Responsibility and TCD | Action Results | | | | | |
|-------|------------------------|---|--------------|--|----------------|---|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------|------------|-----------|---------|----|
| | | | | | | | | | | | Action taken | Severity | Occurrence | Detection | New RPN | |
| | | Functional design specification will not be available. | 4 | | 3 | Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against URS. | 2 | 24 | | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | |
| | | Generally assembling diagram will not be clear | 4 | | 4 | | | 1 | 16 | | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | | Instrument list connected with equipment will be missing. | 4 | | 3 | | | 2 | 24 | | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |



PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

| S.No. | Potential Failure Mode | Potential effect (s) of failure | Severity (S) | Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure | Occurrence (O) | Current Control | Detection (D) | RPN (S x O x D) | Recommended action | Responsibility and TCD | Action Results | | | | |
|-------|---|---|--------------|---|----------------|---|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------|------------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | Action taken | Severity | Occurrence | Detection | New RPN |
| 2 | Design Qualification document is not checked and verified properly. | Document verification related to design verification, cGMP requirement, Instrument & control verification, components verification, utility verification & safety verification will not be appropriate. | 4 | Inadequate knowledge or inadequate training to all concerned. | 3 | Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department will verify DQ against URS. | 2 | 24 | Current control measures are adequate | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 3 | Installation Qualification document is inadequate | inadequate Installation of equipment | 7 | Inadequate information in IQ. | 3 | .Interpretation of URS along with DQ. SOP is in place for verification of IQ document. | 1 | 21 | Current control measures are adequate | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |



PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

| S.No. | Potential Failure Mode | Potential effect (s) of failure | Severity (S) | Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure | Occurrence (O) | Current Control | Detection (D) | RPN (S x O x D) | Recommended action | Responsibility and TCD | Action Results | | | | |
|-------|---|--|--------------|--|----------------|---|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------|------------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | Action taken | Severity | Occurrence | Detection | New RPN |
| | | Identification of major components will be missing | 6 | Inadequate information in IQ. | 2 | Interpretation of URS along with DQ. SOP is in place for verification of IQ document. | 2 | 24 | Current control measures are adequate | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | | No or inadequate clarity on equipment / documents required for completion of IQ. | 3 | | 3 | | 2 | 18 | | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 4 | Calibrated Measuring equipment not available at site. (multi meter, Tachometer) | Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended | 6 | Inadequate training | 4 | Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of equipment before execution of IQ. | 1 | 24 | Current control measures are adequate | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |



PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

| S.No. | Potential Failure Mode | Potential effect (s) of failure | Severity (S) | Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure | Occurrence (O) | Current Control | Detection (D) | RPN (S x O x D) | Recommended action | Responsibility and TCD | Action Results | | | | |
|-------|--|---|--------------|--|----------------|--|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------|------------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | Action taken | Severity | Occurrence | Detection | New RPN |
| 5 | Reference document not available at site during IQ. (FDS, , GA and electrical drawing, Installation & Operational manual, Material chart with test certificate & Manual.) | Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended | 6 | Inadequate knowledge for verification of reference documents on receipt. | 4 | Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of document before execution of IQ. | 1 | 24 | Current control measures are adequate | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 6 | MOC verification not done during IQ (For contact and non contact parts) | Product may gets contaminated | 7 | 1. MOC Test certificate not provided by vendor. 2. Molybdenum Kit Not available | 4 | Procedure is in place for verification during IQ. | 2 | 56 | Molybdenum kit to be procured | Engineering | | | | | |
| 7 | Equipment name plate not available during IQ | Equipment will not be identified. | 4 | Equipment name plate not provided by vendor | 3 | Procedure is in place for verification during IQ. | 2 | 24 | Controlled measures are in place | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |



PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

| S.No. | Potential Failure Mode | Potential effect (s) of failure | Severity (S) | Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure | Occurrence (O) | Current Control | Detection (D) | RPN (S x O x D) | Recommended action | Responsibility and TCD | Action Results | | | | |
|-------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|--|----------------|--|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------|------------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | Action taken | Severity | Occurrence | Detection | New RPN |
| 8 | Instrumentation & calibration check not performed. | IQ will not be performed | 5 | Inadequate Knowledge or training to concern personnel | 3 | Procedure is in place for verification during IQ. | 1 | 15 | Controlled measures are in place | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 9 | Operational document is inadequate | inadequate Operation of equipment | 6 | Inadequate information in OQ | 4 | SOP is in place for verification of OQ Protocol. | 1 | 24 | Controlled measures are in place | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 10 | IQ not completed prior to OQ | OQ Cannot be proceed | 6 | 1.Incomplete documentation. 2. Installation not completed | 4 | SOP is in place to perform OQ after successful completion of IQ | 1 | 24 | Controlled measures are in place | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 11 | Emergency "STOP" button not released. | Equipment will not run | 6 | Inadequate knowledge | 4 | Procedures are in place for verification during OQ. | 1 | 24 | Controlled measures are in place | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | External equipment is not disconnected. | Accident may happen | 10 | Inadequate knowledge or safety measures are not followed | 2 | Activity will performed by trained personnel. Procedure are in place for verification during OQ | 1 | 20 | Controlled measures are in place | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |



PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

| S.No. | Potential Failure Mode | Potential effect (s) of failure | Severity (S) | Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure | Occurrence (O) | Current Control | Detection (D) | RPN (S x O x D) | Recommended action | Responsibility and TCD | Action Results | | | | |
|-------|---|---|--------------|---|----------------|--|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------|------------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | Action taken | Severity | Occurrence | Detection | New RPN |
| 12 | Main motor not rotating. | Equipment will not run | 7 | Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment. Required input supply of suitable frequency of motor not provided | 3 | Procedure are in place for verification during OQ | 1 | 21 | Controlled measures are in place | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 13 | Equipment operation verification not done. (Noise level). | Equipment will not perform as intended | 7 | Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment. | 2 | Procedure are in place for verification during OQ | 1 | 14 | Controlled measures are in place | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 14 | Adequate safety features for men and material not provided with the equipment | Accident may happen | 10 | Inadequate knowledge | 2 | Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ | 1 | 20 | Controlled measures are in place | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | Equipment control functions verification test not done. | Equipment will not function as desired. | 7 | Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment. | 3 | Procedure are in place for verification during OQ | 1 | 21 | Controlled measures are in place | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |



PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

| S.No. | Potential Failure Mode | Potential effect (s) of failure | Severity (S) | Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure | Occurrence (O) | Current Control | Detection (D) | RPN (S x O x D) | Recommended action | Responsibility and TCD | Action Results | | | | |
|-------|--|--|--------------|--|----------------|--|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------|------------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | Action taken | Severity | Occurrence | Detection | New RPN |
| 15 | Equipment is not assembled after cleaning, preventive maintenance, break down, calibration | Accident may happen. Equipment not functioned as expected | 10 | Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment | 2 | Procedure is in place for proper assembling after properly cleaning, preventive maintenance, calibration | 1 | 20 | Control measures are in place. | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 16 | Major changes done without any documentation | Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. Product quality may get affected | 6 | Inadequate knowledge/training | 3 | Change control Sop is in place | 1 | 18 | Control measures are in place. | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 17 | Product designing is not done considering current equipment design and capacity | Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. Product quality may get affected | 6 | No or inadequate clarity about equipment design and capacity | 3 | Performance qualification will be carried out on equipment considering Min. & Max. capacity & design | 1 | 18 | Control measures are in place. | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 18 | Process monitoring is not done (sample withdrawal). | Performance of the equipment will not be guaranteed | 8 | Inadequate knowledge/training | 3 | Performance qualification will cover the monitoring part | 1 | 24 | Control measures are in place. | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |



PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

| S.No. | Potential Failure Mode | Potential effect (s) of failure | Severity (S) | Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure | Occurrence (O) | Current Control | Detection (D) | RPN (S x O x D) | Recommended action | Responsibility and TCD | Action Results | | | | |
|-------|---|---|--------------|--|----------------|--|---------------|-----------------|---|------------------------|----------------|----------|------------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | Action taken | Severity | Occurrence | Detection | New RPN |
| 19 | Equipment is not cleaned properly | Product will be contaminated | 8 | Cleaning procedure is not followed correctly | 2 | Line clearance & cleaning procedure is in place | 1 | 16 | Control measures are in place. | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 20 | Improper hardness of gasket | Product quality contaminated | 7 | No or inadequate Knowledge | 3 | 1. Procedure is in place for verification 2. Vendor has to provide test certificate mentioning hardness | 3 | 63 | Hardness to be checked from vendor certificate. | Production, QA 2016 | | | | | |
| 22 | Safety guard, drive guard is not working properly | Accident happens, machine will not run. | 10 | No or inadequate Knowledge | 2 | Procedure are in place for verification during OQ | 1 | 20 | Control measures are in place. | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 23 | Discharge valve is not working properly | Spillage of material | 3 | No or inadequate Knowledge/training | 1 | Machine does not start in open condition of discharge valve. | 1 | 3 | Control measures are in place. | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |



QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

10.0 RISK CONTROL MEASURES

Investigation/ findings: *(an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)*

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Corrective Action: *(an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)*

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

(Sign/Date)



QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

11.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION REPORT FOR RISK ASSESSMENT

Summary:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Conclusion:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....



QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

| Department | Name | Designation | Signature | Date |
|-------------------|------|-------------|-----------|------|
| Quality assurance | | | | |
| Production | | | | |
| Quality control | | | | |
| Engineering | | | | |
| Store | | | | |
| Head-QA | | | | |