

PHARMA DEVILS QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR METAL DETECTOR CUM DE-DUSTOR

RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT BY FMEA

Product/System/Equipment	Deduster Cum Metal Detector System
	·
Risk Assessment Report No.	
KISK ASSESSMENT Report No.	
Report Date	





POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR METAL DETECTOR CUM DE-DUSTOR

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Risk Assessment Approach	4
5.0	Responsibility	4
6.0	Reference Documents	5
7.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	5-6
8.0	Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMEA	7
9.0	Risk assessment as per FMEA	8-16
9.1	Review of Risk assessment as per FMEA after action taken.	17
10.0	Risk Control Measures	18
11.0	Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	19
12.0	Final Report Approval	20



POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR METAL DETECTOR CUM DE-DUSTOR

DOCUMENT APPROVAL:

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

Responsibility	Department	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Quality assurance			
	Production			
	Quality control			
Reviewed by	Engineering			
	Store			
	Quality assurance			
Approved by	Head-QA			



PHARMA DEVILS

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR METAL DETECTOR CUM DE-DUSTOR

1.0 Introduction

The "DEDUSTER CUM METAL DETECTOR SYSTEM" is intended to use for de-dusting and metal detection of compressed tablets. De-dusting is achieved by moving the tablets in a upward circular direction through a perforated bowl then the tablets are pass through a electronically balance test coil and metal detection is achieved by the phase sensitive detection with assurance of product safety.

2.0 Objective

Objective of this report is to assess the risk associated with the equipment "De-duster Cum
Metal Detector System " in post assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cepha Block at
in line with the guidance of the Risk Management manual of and ICH
Q9.

3.0 Scope

4.0 Risk assessment approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMEA (Failure mode effects analysis) method.

5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance

Engineering

Production

Quality Control

Store

6.0 Reference Documents

- 1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management
- 2. guidance on Risk assessment.





Background	
is intended to start manufacturing of solid oral facility at Risk a	ssessment is a
part of corporate quality assurance. Post Quality Risk assessment of "De-duster Cum Meta	al Detector
System" is done to check the system is capable of providing quality product throughout the	life cycle of
the drug product.	

7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS

7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

Effect	Scale	Description
No effect	1	No effect on output
Very slight	2	Customer not annoyed
Slight	3	Slight
Minor	4	Minor effect on performance
Moderate	5	Moderate effect on performance
Significant	6	Partial failure but operable
Major	7	Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe
Extreme	8	Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe
Serious	9	Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure
Hazardous	10	Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure

7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

Occurrence	Scale	Description
Almost never	1	Failure unlikely; history shows no failures
Remote	2	Rare number of historical failure
Very Slight	3	Very few failures likely
Slight	4	Few failures likely
Low	5	Occasional number of failures likely
Medium	6	Medium number of failures likely
Moderately High	7	Moderately high number of failures likely



PHARMA DEVILS

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR METAL DETECTOR CUM DE-DUSTOR

Occurrence	Scale	Description
High	8	High number of failures likely
Very High	9	Very high number of failures likely
Almost certain	10	Failure almost certain

7.3 Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection	Scale	Description					
Almost certain	1	Proven detection methods with high reliability					
Very High 2		Proven detection methods available					
High 3		Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods					
Moderately High 4 Almost certain not to detect failure		Almost certain not to detect failure					
Medium	5	Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect					
Low	6	Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure					
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure					
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure					
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure					
Impossible	10	Failure not detected					

Note: Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.

8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMEA

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

S.No.	RPN Rating	RPN Category	Action Status
1.	≥ 76	Critical	CAPA Required
2.	51 to 75	Major	CAPA Required
3.	26 to 50	Moderate	CAPA Required
4.	Up to 25	Minor	Not applicable

9.0 POST-RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Utility/Equipment/Process/Operation: DEDUSTER CUM METAL DETECTOR SYSTEM

		Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)		(0)	ıtrol	(D) x D) (D)			ty	Action Results					
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode			Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	
		Equipment may not function as desired.	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
1 Qualifi docume		c-GMP requirement will not meet	7	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.	3	URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the help of engineering, QA & department Head. Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against URS.	1	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	Design Qualification document received is inadequate.	Safety measures with respect to operator and environment will not be clear.	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		Clarity on P & ID diagram will not be clear	3				2	18		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		Major components list will be missed out.	6		2		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	

			(S)		(O) Itrol		D)	x D)	à	ty	Action Results					
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (Detection (D) RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	
		Requirement of utilities (power supply) will not be clear.	3		4	URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the help of engineering, QA & department Head.	2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Design Qualification	Design Qualification	Functional design specification will not be available.	4	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in	3	Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified	2	24	Current control	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
1		Generally assembling diagram will not be clear	4	preparation of URS.	4	DQ against URS.	1	16	measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		Instrument list connected with equipment will be missing	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	

			· ·		(O)	trol	D)	x D)		ty		Acti	on Resu	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode Design	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
2	Design Qualification document is not checked and verified properly.	Document verification related to design verification, c GMP requirement, Instrument & control verification, components verification, utility verification & safety verification will not be appropriate.	4	Inadequate knowledge or inadequate training to all concerned.	3	Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against URS.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	Installation Qualification document is inadequate	Inadequate Installation of equipment	7	Inadequate information in IQ.	3	Interpretation of URS along with DQ. SOP is in place for verification of IQ document.	1	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Identification of major components will be missing	6	Inadequate information in IQ.	2	Interpretation of URS along with DQ. SOP is in place for verification of IQ	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			<u> </u>		(0)	ıtrol	D)	x D)		ty		Acti	on Resu	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		No or inadequate clarity on equipment / documents required for completion of IQ.	3		3	document.	2	18		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
4	Calibrated Measuring equipment not available at site.	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate training	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of equipments before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
5	Reference document not available at site during IQ. (, GA and electrical drawing, installation & Operational manual, Material chart with test certificate & Manual.)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate knowledge for verification of reference documents on receipt.	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of documents before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			6		(0)	ıtrol	D)	x D)		ty		Action Results			
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
6	MOC verification not done during IQ (For contact and non contact parts)	Product may gets contaminated	7	MOC Test certificate not provided by vendor. Molybdenum Kit Not available	4	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	56	Molybdenum kit to be procured	Engineering					
7	Equipment name plate not available during IQ	Equipment will not be identified.	4	Equipment name plate not provided by vendor	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
8	Instrumentation & calibration check not performed.	IQ will not be performed	5	Inadequate Knowledge or training to concern personnel	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	1	15	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
9	Operational document is inadequate	inadequate Operation of equipment	6	Inadequate information in OQ	4	SOP is in place for verification of OQ Protocol.	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
10	IQ not completed prior to OQ	OQ Cannot be proceed	6	Incomplete documentation. Installation not completed	4	SOP is in place to perform OQ after successful completion of IQ	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

				<u>§</u>	(0)	trol	D)	x D)		ty		Actio	on Resu	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
11	Prequalification requirement not checked during OQ. (Tools are not removed from the equipment.)	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge or safety measures are not followed	2	Activity will be performed by Trained personnel.	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	External equipment is not disconnected.	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge or safety measures are not followed	2	Activity will performed by Trained personnel.	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
12	Equipment operation verification not done. (Noise level).	Equipment will not perform as intended	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	2	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
13	Equipment control functions not done.	Equipment will not function as desired.	7	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			(S)		<u>(0)</u>	ıtrol	D)	x D)		ty		Acti	on Resi	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
	Adequate safety features for men and material not provided with the equipment	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
14	Equipment is not assembled after cleaning, preventive maintenance, break down, calibration	Accident may happen. Equipment not functioned as expected	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment	2	Procedure is in place for proper assembling after properly cleaning, preventive maintenance, calibration	1	20	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
15	Major changes done without any documentation	Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. Product quality may get affected	6	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Change control Sop is in place	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
16	Process Challenges(Ferrous & non ferrous) is not done	Performance of the equipment will not be guaranteed	8	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Activity will performed by Trained personnel. Procedure are in place for verification during OQ.	1	24	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			26		(0)	ıtrol	D)	x D)		iti.			Action Results		
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
17	Equipment is not cleaned properly	Product will contaminated	8	Cleaning procedure is not followed correctly	2	Line clearance & cleaning procedure is in place	1	16	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
18	Rejection mechanism is not proper	Quality parameter affects	7	No proper setting of rejection delay,		Activity will performed by Trained personnel. Procedure are in place for verification during OQ & PQ.	1	21	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
19	Deduster unit is not properly working	Dust accumulated on Tablets	4	No proper setting of power and frequency	3	Activity will performed by Trained personnel. Procedure are in place for verification during OQ.	2	24	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
20	No mechanical adjustment of deduster and metal detector	No proper vibration, detection and rejection.	4	Inadequate knowledge	3	Activity will performed by Trained personnel. Procedure are in place for verification during OQ.	2	24	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA





9.1 REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA AFTER ACTION TAKEN:

Action Results										
Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detectability	RPN	Remarks					





10.0 RISK CONTROL MEASURES	
Investigation/ findings: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)	
	••
	••
	••
	••
	••
	••
	••
	••
	••
Corrective Action: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)	
	•••
	••
	•••
	•••
	••
	•••
	••
	•••
	•••
	•••
(Sign/Date)	





11.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION REPORT FOR RISK ASSESSMENT
Summary:
Conclusion:



PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR METAL DETECTOR CUM DE-DUSTOR

12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Department	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Quality assurance				
Production				
Quality control				
Engineering				
Store				
Head-QA				