

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSMENT FOR MULTIMILL

REPORT BY FMEA

Product/System/Equipment	MULTIMILL
Risk Assessment Report No.	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSMENT FOR MULTIMILL

Report Date	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Risk Assessment Approach	4
5.0	Responsibility	4
6.0	Reference Documents	4
7.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	5-7
8.0	Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMEA	7
9.0	Risk assessment as per FMEA	8-17
9.1	Review of Risk assessment as per FMEA after action taken.	18
10.0	Risk Control Measures	19
11.0	Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	20
12.0	Final Report Approval	21



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSMENT FOR MULTIMILL

DOCUMENT APPROVAL:

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

Responsibility	Department	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Quality assurance			
	Production			
	Quality control			
Reviewed by	Engineering			
	Store			
	Quality assurance			
Approved by	Head-QA			



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSMENT FOR MULTIMILL

1.0 Introduction

The "MULTI MILL" is intended for particle size reduction through impact & shear in between the rotary blades and screen at variable speeds inside a cylindrical hopper. With assurance of product safety. It is necessary to achieve size reduction as well as output of uniform size before subsequent operations.

2.0 Objective

Objective of this report is to assess the risk associated with the equipment MULTI MILL in post assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cepha Oral Block of, in line with the guidance of the Risk Management manual of and ICH Q9.

3.0 Scope

The scope of this document is limited to the design, installation, operation, performance and safety of equipment "MULTI MILL" and define its failure mode at post assessment in the manufacturing facility at

4.0 Risk assessment approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMEA (Failure mode, effects analysis) method.

5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance

Engineering

Production

Quality Control

Store

6.0 Reference Documents

- 1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management
- 2.guidance on Risk assessment.



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSMENT FOR MULTIMILL

Background	
is intended to start manufacturing of solid oral facility at	Risk assessment
is a part of corporate quality assurance. Post Quality Risk assessment of "MULTI MILL"	is done to check
the system is capable of providing quality product throughout the life cycle of the drug pro	duct.

7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS

7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

Effect	Scale	Description
No effect	1	No effect on output
Very slight	2	Customer not annoyed
Slight	3	Slight
Minor	4	Minor effect on performance
Moderate	5	Moderate effect on performance
Significant	6	Partial failure but operable
Major	7	Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe
Extreme	8	Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe
Serious	9	Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure
Hazardous	10	Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSMENT FOR MULTIMILL

7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

Occurrence	Scale	Description
Almost never	1	Failure unlikely; history shows no failures
Remote	2	Rare number of historical failure
Very Slight	3	Very few failures likely
Slight	4	Few failures likely
Low	5	Occasional number of failures likely
Medium	6	Medium number of failures likely
Moderately High	7	Moderately high number of failures likely
High	8	High number of failures likely
Very High	9	Very high number of failures likely
Almost certain	10	Failure almost certain

7.3 Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection	Scale	Description
Almost certain	1	Proven detection methods with high reliability
Very High	2	Proven detection methods available
High	3	Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods
Moderately High	4	Almost certain not to detect failure
Medium	5	Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect
Low	6	Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure
Impossible	10	Failure not detected



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSMENT FOR MULTIMILL

7.4 Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection	Scale	Description
Almost certain	1	Proven detection methods with high reliability
Very High	2	Proven detection methods available
High	3	Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods
Moderately High	4	Almost certain not to detect failure
Medium	5	Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect
Low	6	Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure
Impossible	10	Failure not detected

Note: Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.

8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMEA

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

S.No.	RPN Rating	RPN Category	Action Status
1.	≥ 76	Critical	CAPA Required
2.	51 to 75	Major	CAPA Required
3.	26 to 50	Moderate	CAPA Required
4.	Up to 25	Minor	Not applicable



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSMENT FOR MULTIMILL

9.0 POST-RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Utility/Equipment/Process/Operation: MULTI MILL

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure		Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	(O)	trol		x D)	Recommended action	8.	Action Results				
			Severity (S)		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x]		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
1	Design Qualification document received is	Equipment may not function as desired.	4	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.	3	URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the help of engineering,	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
	inadequate.	cGMP requirement will not met	7		3	QA & department Head.	1	21		NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
		Safety measures with respect to operator and environment will not be clear.	4		3	Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against URS.	2	24		NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
		Major components list will be missed out.	6		2		2	24		NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure		Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	0	trol		x D)	Recommended action	S	Action	Resul	ts		
			Severity (S)		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x]		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
1	Design Qualification document received is inadequate	Requirement of utilities (power supply) will not be clear.	3	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.	4	URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the help of engineering, QA & department	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
		Functional design specification will not be available.	4		3	Head. Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user	2	24		NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
		Generally assembling diagram will not be clear	4		4	department verified DQ against URS.	1	16		NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
		Instrument list connected with equipment will be missing	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure		Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	0	trol		<u>D</u>	Recommended action	Å	Action	Resul	ts		
			Severity (S)		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
2	Design Qualification document is not checked and verified properly.	Document verification related to design verification, cGMP requirement Instrument & control verification, components verification, utility verification & safety verification will not be appropriate.	4	Inadequate knowledge or inadequate training to all concerned.	3	Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department will verify DQ against URS.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
3	Installation Qualification document is inadequate	inadequate Installation of equipment	7	Inadequate information in IQ.	3	Interpretation of URS along with DQ. SOP is in place for verification of IQ document.	1	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure		Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	0	trol		x D)	Recommended action	>	Action	Resul	ts		
			Severity (S)		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x]		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		Identification of major components will be missing	6	Inadequate information in IQ.	2	Interpretation of URS along with DQ. SOP is in place for verification of IQ	2	24		NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
		No or inadequate clarity on equipment / documents required for completion of IQ.	3		3	document.	2	18	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
4	Calibrated Measuring equipment not available at site. (multi meter, Tachometer)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate training	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of equipment before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure		Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	0	trol		<u> </u>	Recommended action	S	Action	Resul	ts		
			Severity (S)		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
5	Reference document not available at site during IQ. (FDS, GA and electrical drawing, installation & Operational manual, Material chart with test certificate & Manual.)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate knowledge for verification of reference documents on receipt.	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of document before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
6	MOC verification not done during IQ (For contact and non contact parts)	Product may gets contaminated	7	MOC Test Certificate not provided by vendor. Molybdenum Kit Not available	4	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	56	Molybdenum kit to be procured	Engineering,					



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure		Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	(O)	trol		x D)	Recommended action	ð.	Action	Resul	ts		
			Severity (S)		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x]		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
7	Equipment name plate not available during IQ	Equipment will not be identified.	4	Equipment name plate not provided by vendor	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
8	Instrumentation & calibration check not performed.	IQ will not be performed	5	Inadequate Knowledge or training to concern personnel	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	1	15	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
9	Operational document is inadequate	Inadequate Operation of equipment	6	Inadequate information in OQ	4	SOP is in place for verification of OQ Protocol.	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
10	IQ not completed prior to OQ	OQ Cannot be proceed	6	Incomplete documentation. Installation not completed	4	SOP is in place to perform OQ after successful completion of IQ	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure		Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	0	trol		O O	Recommended action	×	Action	Resul	ts		
			Severity (S)		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
11	Main motor not rotating.	Equipment will not run	7	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment. Required input supply of suitable frequency of motor not provided	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
12	Equipment operation verification not done. (Main motor performance (Noise level).	Equipment will not perform as intended	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	2	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
13	Adequate safety features for men and material not provided with the equipment	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure		Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	(O	trol		<u> </u>	Recommended action	À	Action	Resul	ts		
			Severity (S)		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
	Flame proof motors & earthing not	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
	Equipment control functions verification test not done.	Equipment will not function as desired.	7	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
14	Equipment is not assembled after cleaning, preventive maintenance, break down, calibration	Accident may happen. Equipment not functioned as expected	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment	2	Procedure is in place for proper assembling after properly cleaning, preventive maintenance, calibration	1	20	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
15	Major changes done without any documentation	Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. Product quality may get affected	6	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Change control Sop is in place	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure		Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	0	trol		<u> </u>	Recommended action	S	Action	Resul	ts		
			Severity (S)		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
16	Product designing is not done considering current equipment design	Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. Product quality may get affected	6	No or inadequate clarity about equipment design and capacity	3	Performance qualification will be carried out on equipment considering design	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
17	Equipment is not cleaned properly	Product will contaminated	8	Cleaning procedure is not followed correctly	2	Line clearance & cleaning procedure is in place	1	16	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
18	Improper hardness of gasket	Product quality contaminated	7	No or inadequate Knowledge	3	Procedure is in place for verification Vendor has to provide test certificate mentioning hardness	3	63	Hardness to be checked from vendor certificate.	Production, QA,					
19	Machine operation without screen, screen holding plate, discharge hopper.	Accident happens	4	No or inadequate Knowledge	3	Activity will performed by Trained personnel.	2	24	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure		Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	o o	trol		<u> </u>	Recommended action	>	Action	Resul	ts		
			Severity (S)		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)		Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
20	Grease leakage from bearing housing	Contamination with material	4	Shaft seal worm out, excessive lubrication.	3	Preventive maintenance schedule will follow properly.(checking of seal and gaskets and do not pump excessive lubrication)	2	24	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
21	Machine will not operating with desired speed, desired screen and improper rate of feeding.	Product quality affected	7	No or inadequate Knowledge	2	Activity will performed by Trained personnel. Activities will perform as per SOP.	1	14	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSMENT FOR MULTIMILL

9.1 REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA AFTER ACTION TAKEN:

	Action Results				
Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detect ability	RPN	Remarks





QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSMENT FOR MULTIMILL

10.0 RISK CONTROL MEASURES

Investigation/ findings: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSMENT FOR MULTIMILL

Corrective Action: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)

(Sign/Date)





QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

11.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION REPORT FOR RISK ASSESSMENT					
Summary:					
Conclusion:					



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSMENT FOR MULTIMILL

12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Department	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Quality assurance				
Production				
Quality control				
Engineering				
Store				
Head-QA				