

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT BY FMEA

Product/System/Equipment	RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR
	(600 ltrs. & 1200 ltrs.)
Risk Assessment Report No.	
Report Date	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Risk Assessment Approach	4
5.0	Responsibility	4
6.0	Reference Documents	5
7.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	5-6
8.0	Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMEA	7
9.0	Risk assessment as per FMEA	8-19
9.1	Review of Risk assessment as per FMEA after action taken.	20
10.0	Risk Control Measures	21
11.0	Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	22
12.0	Final Report Approval	23



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

DOCUMENT APPROVAL:

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

Responsibility	Department	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Quality assurance			
Reviewed by	Production			
	Quality control			
	Engineering			
	Store			
	Quality assurance			
Approved by	Head-QA			



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

1.0 Introduction

The "Rapid Mixer Granulator" is intended for the manufacturing of oral dosage form. The goal is to obtain uniform mixing of drug and excipient in Dry granulation as well as Wet granulation by adding binder to achieve desired granules with assurance of product quality & safety.

2.0 Objective

Objective of this report is to assess the risk associated with the equipment "Rapid Mixer Granulator" in post assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cehal Block at, in line with the guidance of the Risk Management manual of and ICH Q9.

3.0 Scope

4.0 Risk assessment approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMEA (Failure mode effects analysis) method.

5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance

Engineering

Production

Quality Control

Store

6.0 Reference Documents

- 1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management
- 2. guidance on Risk assessment.



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

Background

7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS

7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

Effect	Scale	Description
No effect	1	No effect on output
Very slight	2	Customer not annoyed
Slight	3	Slight
Minor	4	Minor effect on performance
Moderate	5	Moderate effect on performance
Significant	6	Partial failure but operable
Major	7	Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe
Extreme	8	Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe
Serious	9	Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure
Hazardous	10	Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure

7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

Occurrence	Scale	Description					
Almost never	1	Failure unlikely; history shows no failures					
Remote 2		Rare number of historical failure					
Very Slight 3		Very few failures likely					
Slight 4		Few failures likely					
Low	5	Occasional number of failures likely					
Medium 6		Medium number of failures likely					
Moderately High	7	Moderately high number of failures likely					



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

Occurrence	Scale	Description
High	High number of failures likely	
Very High	9	Very high number of failures likely
Almost certain 10 Failure		Failure almost certain

7.3 Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection	Scale	Description							
Almost certain 1		Proven detection methods with high reliability							
Very High	2	Proven detection methods available							
High	3	Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods							
Moderately High	4	Almost certain not to detect failure							
Medium 5		Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect							
Low	6	Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure							
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure							
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure							
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure							
Impossible	10	Failure not detected							

Note: Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.

8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMEA

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

S.No.	RPN Rating	RPN Category	Action Status				
1.	≥ 76	Critical	CAPA Required				
2.	51 to 75	Major	CAPA Required				
3.	26 to 50	Moderate	CAPA Required				
4.	Up to 25	Minor	Not applicable				

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

9.0 POST-RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Utility/Equipment/Process/Operation: Rapid Mixer Granulator

	j			Operation: Napid Wilker Of			<u>e</u>	x D)		<u>\$</u>		Acti	on Resi	ults	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (1	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		Equipment may not function as desired.	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
1	Design Qualification document received is inadequate.	c-GMP requirement will not meet	7	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.	3	URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the	1	21		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Safety measures with respect to operator and environment will not be clear.	4		3	help of engineering, QA & department Head. Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Clarity on P & ID diagram will not be clear	3		3	department verified DQ against URS.	2	18		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Major components list will be missed out.	6		2	2	2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			((0)	(O)		x D)		Ęź.	Action Results				
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		Requirement of utilities (power and compressed air) will not be clear.	3		4	URS is prepared by experienced personnel	2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Design Qualification document received is	Functional design specification will not be available.	4	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.		with the help of engineering ,QA & department Head. Well experienced	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	inadequate	Generally assembling diagram will not be clear	4	3	4	Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against URS.	1	16		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Instrument list connected with equipment will be missing	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

					<u> </u>	trol	<u>(</u>	x D)		Ę,		Acti	on Resi	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
2	Design Qualification document is not checked and verified properly.	Document verification related to design verification, cGMP requirement, Instrument & control verification, components verification, utility verification & safety verification will not be appropriate.	4	Inadequate knowledge or inadequate training to all concerned.	3	Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department will verify DQ against URS.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	Installation Qualification document is inadequate	inadequate Installation of equipment	7	Inadequate information in IQ.	3	Interpretation of URS along with DQ. SOP is in place for verification of IQ document.	1	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Identification of major components will be missing	6	Inadequate information in IQ.	2	Interpretation of URS along with DQ. SOP is in place for	2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

					(o)	trol	(D)	D)		ty.		Acti	on Resu	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (1	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		No or inadequate clarity on equipment / documents required for completion of IQ.	3		3	verification of IQ document.	2	18	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
4	Calibrated Measuring equipment not available at site. (spirit level, Tachometer, clamp meter)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate training	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of equipment before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			()		(0)	trol	<u> </u>	x D)		£		Actio	on Resu	ults	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
5	Reference document not available at site during IQ. (FDS, PLC FDS, GA and electrical drawing, installation & Operational manual, Material chart with test certificate & Manual.)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate knowledge for verification of reference documents on receipt.	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of document before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
6	MOC verification not done during IQ (For contact and non contact parts)	Product may gets contaminated	7	MOC Test certificate not provided by vendor. Molybdenum Kit Not available	4	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	56	Molybdenum kit to be procured	Engineering					
7	Equipment name plate not available during IQ	Equipment will not be identified.	4	Equipment name plate not provided by vendor	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

					(O)	trol)	x D)		A r		Actio	on Resu	ults	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
8	Instrumentation & calibration check not performed.	IQ will not be performed	5	Inadequate Knowledge or training to concern personnel	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	1	15	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
9	Operational document is inadequate	inadequate Operation of equipment	6	Inadequate information in OQ	4	SOP is in place for verification of OQ Protocol.	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
10	IQ not completed prior to OQ	OQ Cannot be proceed	6	Incomplete documentation. Installation not completed	4	SOP is in place to perform OQ after successful completion of IQ	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
11	Prequalification requirement not checked during OQ. (Tools are not removed from the equipment.)	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge or safety measures are not followed	2	Activity will be performed by Trained personnel.	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Emergency "STOP" button not released.	Equipment will not run	6	Inadequate knowledge	4	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ.	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			•		(o)	trol	D)	x D)		ty.		Acti	on Resu	ults	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
	External equipment is not disconnected.	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge or safety measures are not followed	2	Activity will performed by Trained personnel. Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
12	Main impeller motor, chopper motor, Co mill motor not rotating.	Equipment will not run	7	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment. Required input supply of suitable frequency of motor not provided	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
13	Equipment operation verification not done. (Main motor performance, Gear box performance, chopper motor performance, Noise level).	Equipment will not perform as intended	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	2	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

					(O)	trol	- C	x D)		ž.		Acti	on Resu	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
	Adequate safety features for men and material not provided with the equipment	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Flame proof motors,& Explosion flaps not provided	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
14	Equipment control functions, interlocks &	Equipment will not function as desired.	7	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	alarm verification test not done.	Recipe preparation will not be possible	8	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	3	Procedure for Preparation of Recipe is available in operational manual	7	168	SOP will be prepared for preparation of Recipe	Production					
		Equipment will not be under password protection	8	Recipe is not prepared through password protection	4	Procedure for Preparation of Recipe is available in operational manual	6	192	SOP will be prepared for preparation of password protection	Production					

			•		0	trol	(D)	x D)		ty		Actio	on Resu	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		Selection of appropriate mode like Manual, Auto, Recipe, Maintenance, wash will not be possible	8	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment	3	Procedures are in place for verification during OQ. Activity will performed by Trained personnel	6	144	SOP will be prepared for proper selection recipe for product, Maintenance, wash	Production					
		System will not give any alarm during malfunctioning.	6	System run in Manual Mode	4	Activity will performed by Trained personnel.	2	48	System should not run in manual mode after validation, accordingly SOP will be prepared.	Production					
15	Equipment is not assembled after cleaning, preventive maintenance, break down, calibration	Accident may happen. Equipment not functioned as expected	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment	2	Procedure is in place for proper assembling after properly cleaning, preventive maintenance, calibration	1	20	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

					(0)	trol	(D)	x D)		ž;		Acti	on Resu	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
16	Recipe not prepared for Product, Wash, maintenance cycle.	Consistent performance of equipment will not be possible	6	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment	4	Procedure for Preparation of Recipe is available in operational manual	5	120	Sop will be prepared for recipe preparation for Product, Wash, and maintenance cycle.	Production					
17	Major changes done without any documentation	Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. Product quality may get affected	6	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Change control Sop is in place	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
18	Product designing is not done considering current equipment design and capacity	Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. Product quality may get affected	6	No or inadequate clarity about equipment design and capacity	3	Performance qualification will be carried out on equipment considering Min. & Max. capacity & design	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

					(O)	trol	(D)	x D)		Ş.		Acti	on Resu	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (Current Control	Detection (1	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
19	Process monitoring is not done	Performance of the equipment will not be guaranteed	8	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Process validation & APR will cover the monitoring part	1	24	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
20	Process validation guidance is not clear (sample withdrawal).	Performance of the equipment will not be guaranteed.	8	Inadequate knowledge/training	2	Process validation protocol will cover the sampling location.	1	16	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
21	Equipment is not cleaned properly	Product will contaminated	8	Cleaning procedure is not followed correctly	2	Line clearance & cleaning procedure is in place	1	16	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
22	LPD device not functioning as intended	Accident may happen to man & material during manual transfer	4	Mechanical or electrical failure	3	Activity will performed by trained personnel. Operational & preventive maintenance SOP is in place	2	24	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

9.1 REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA AFTER ACTION TAKEN:

Action Results											
Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detectability	RPN	Remarks						



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

10.0 RISK CONTROL MEASURES	
Investigation/ findings: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)	
	••••••
	•••••
	•••••
	•••••
	••••••
	•••••
	•••••
	•••••
Corrective Action: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)	
	•••••
	•••••
	•••••
	•••••
	•••••
	•••••
	•••••
	•••••
	•••••
	•••••
(Sign/I	Date)



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

11.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION REPORT FOR RISK ASSESSMENT
Summary:
Conclusion:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Department	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Quality assurance				
Production				
Quality control				
Engineering				
Store				
Head-QA				