

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR ROLL COMPACTOR

#### REPORT BY FMEA

Product/System/Equipment	ROLL COMPACTER
Risk Assessment Report No.	
Report Date	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR ROLL COMPACTOR

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Risk Assessment Approach	4
5.0	Responsibility	4
6.0	Reference Documents	5
7.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	5-6
8.0	Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMEA	7
9.0	Risk assessment as per FMEA	8-15
9.1	Review of Risk assessment as per FMEA after action taken.	16
10.0	Risk Control Measures	17
11.0	Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	18
12.0	Final Report Approval	19



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR ROLL COMPACTOR

### **DOCUMENT APPROVAL:**

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

Responsibility	Department	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Quality assurance			
	Production			
	Quality control			
Reviewed by	Engineering			
	Store			
	Quality assurance			
Approved by	Head-QA			



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

#### POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR ROLL COMPACTOR

#### 1.0 Introduction

The Roll compactor is to compact the powder for improving the bulk density, achieving better granulation of sieve analysis improve product quality

#### 2.0 Objective

Objective of this report is to assess the risk associated with the equipment "COLLOID MILL" in post assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cepha Oral Block of ....., in line with the guidance of the Risk Management manual of ...... and ICH Q9.

#### 3.0 Scope

#### 4.0 Risk assessment approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMEA (Failure mode, effects analysis) method.

#### 5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance

Engineering

Production

**Quality Control** 

Store

#### **6.0** Reference Documents

- 1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management
- 2. ..... guidance on Risk assessment.



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

### POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR ROLL COMPACTOR

Background	
is intended to start manufacturing of solid oral facility at	Risk assessment is a
part of corporate quality assurance. Post Quality Risk assessment of "COLLOID MILL"	is done to check the
system is capable of providing quality product throughout the life cycle of the drug product	duct.

#### 7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS

#### 7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

Effect	Scale	Description
No effect	1	No effect on output
Very slight	2	Customer not annoyed
Slight	3	Slight
Minor	4	Minor effect on performance
Moderate	5	Moderate effect on performance
Significant	6	Partial failure but operable
Major	7	Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe
Extreme	8	Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe
Serious	9	Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure
Hazardous	10	Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure

### 7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

Occurrence	Scale	Description
Almost never	1	Failure unlikely; history shows no failures
Remote	2	Rare number of historical failure
Very Slight	3	Very few failures likely
Slight	4	Few failures likely
Low	5	Occasional number of failures likely



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

### POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR ROLL COMPACTOR

Occurrence	Scale	Description					
Medium	6	Medium number of failures likely					
Moderately High 7		Moderately high number of failures likely					
High 8		High number of failures likely					
Very High	9	Very high number of failures likely					
Almost certain	10	Failure almost certain					

#### 7.3 Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection	Scale	Description					
Almost certain	1	Proven detection methods with high reliability					
Very High	2	Proven detection methods available					
High 3		Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods					
Moderately High 4 Alm		almost certain not to detect failure					
Medium	5	Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect					
Low 6		Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure					
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure					
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure					
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure					
Impossible	10	Failure not detected					

**Note:** Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

### POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR ROLL COMPACTOR

#### 8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMEA

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

S.No.	RPN Rating	RPN Category	Action Status
1.	≥ 76	Critical	CAPA Required
2.	51 to 75	Major	CAPA Required
3.	26 to 50	Moderate	CAPA Required
4.	Up to 25	Minor	Not applicable



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

### POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR ROLL COMPACTOR

#### 9.0 POST-RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Utility/Equipment/Process/Operation: COLLOID MILL

			S)			ıtrol	(D)	x D)		it.	Action Results				
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		Equipment may not function as desired.	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
		c-GMP requirement will not met	7		3	URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the help of engineering,	1	21		NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
1	Qualification with respect operator and received is inadequate.  Qualification with respect operator and environmen not be clear  Clarity on F ID diagram	Safety measures with respect to operator and environment will not be clear.	4	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.	3	QA & department Head.  Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
		Clarity on P & ID diagram will not be clear	3		3	department verified DQ against URS.	2	18		NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
		Major components list will be missed out.	6		2		2	24		NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			<b>S</b>		<u> </u>	ıtrol	<b>D</b> )	x D)		ity	Action Results					
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	
		Requirement of utilities (power, purified water) will not be clear.	3		4	URS is prepared by experienced personnel	2	24		NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA	
	Design Qualification document received is	Functional design specification will not be available.	4	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.	3	with the help of engineering, QA & department Head.  Well experienced	2	24	Current control measures are	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA	
	inadequate	Generally assembling diagram will not be clear	4		4	Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against URS.	1	16	adequate	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA	
		Instrument list connected with equipment will be missing.	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			S)		<u>(0)</u>	ntrol	D)	x D)		ity	Action Results				
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O y	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
2	Design Qualification document is not checked and verified properly.	Document verification related to design verification, cGMP requirement, Instrument & control verification, components verification, utility verification & safety verification will not be appropriate.	4	Inadequate knowledge or inadequate training to all concerned.	3	Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department will verify DQ against URS.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
3	Installation Qualification document is inadequate	Inadequate Installation of equipment	7	Inadequate information in IQ.	3	Interpretation of URS along with DQ.  SOP is in place for verification of IQ document.	1	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			S)		(0)	ntrol	(D)	x D)		ity	Action Results				
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (	RPN (S x O y	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		Identification of major components will be missing	6	Inadequate information	2	Interpretation of URS along with DQ.  SOP is in place for verification of IQ	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
		No or inadequate clarity on equipment / documents required for completion of IQ.	3	in IQ.	3	document.	2	18		NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
4	Calibrated Measuring equipment not available at site.	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate training	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of equipment before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

				<b>S</b>		0	ntrol	D)	x D)		ity		Action Results			
S	S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O y	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
	5	Reference document not available at site during IQ. (GA and electrical drawing, installation & Operational manual, Material chart with test certificate & Manual.)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate knowledge for verification of reference documents on receipt.	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of document before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
	6	MOC verification not done during IQ ( For contact and non contact parts )	Product may gets contaminated	7	MOC Test certificate not provided by vendor. Molybdenum Kit Not available	4	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	56	Molybdenum kit to be procured	Engineering					
	7	Equipment name plate not available during IQ	Equipment will not be identified.	4	Equipment name plate not provided by vendor	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			S)		0	atrol	D)	x D)		ity		Acti	on Res	ults	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
8	Instrumentation & calibration check not performed.	IQ will not be performed	5	Inadequate Knowledge or training to concern personnel	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	1	15	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
9	Operational document is inadequate	Inadequate Operation of equipment	6	Inadequate information in OQ	4	SOP is in place for verification of OQ Protocol.	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
10	IQ not completed prior to OQ	OQ Cannot be proceed	6	Incomplete documentation.  Installation not completed	4	SOP is in place to perform OQ after successful completion of IQ	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
11	External equipment is not disconnected.	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge or safety measures are not followed	2	Activity will performed by Trained personnel.  Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			S)		(0)	ntrol	<b>D</b> )	x D)		ity	Action Results				
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O y	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
12	Main motor not rotating.	Equipment will not run	7	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.  Required input supply of suitable frequency of motor not provided	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
13	Equipment operation verification not done. Main motor performance, Noise level).	Equipment will not perform as intended	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	2	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
14	Adequate safety features for men and material not provided with the equipment	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

	Detection	(	y (S)		0	ntrol	<b>D</b> )	x D)		ity		Acti	on Res	ults	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O y	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
	Flame proof motors,& earthing not provided	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
	Equipment control functions verification test not done.	Equipment will not function as desired.	7	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
15	Equipment is not assembled after cleaning, preventive maintenance, break down, calibration	Accident may happen.      Equipment not functioned as expected	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment	2	Procedure is in place for proper assembling after properly cleaning, preventive maintenance, calibration	1	20	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
16	Major changes done without any documentation	1.Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. 2.Product quality may get affected	6	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Change control Sop is in place	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			(S)		<u> </u>	ıtrol	(D)	x D)		ity		Acti	on Resi	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
17	Equipment is not cleaned properly	Product will contaminated	8	Cleaning procedure is not followed correctly	2	Line clearance & cleaning procedure is in place	1	16	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
18	Rotor and stator assembly not properly set	Mixing is not proper	4	No or inadequate Knowledge	2	Activity will performed by Trained personnel.  Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	08	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA
19	Three way cock Assembly with circulation pipe not properly working	Spillage of coating material	4	No or inadequate Knowledge	2	Activity will performed by Trained personnel.  Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	08	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	N A	NA	N A	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

### POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR ROLL COMPACTOR

#### 9.1 REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA AFTER ACTION TAKEN:

Action Results									
Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	RPN					



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR ROLL COMPACTOR

10.0 RISK CONTROL MEASURES
Investigation/ findings: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)
Corrective Action: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)

(Sign/Date)





QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

11.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION REPORT FOR RISK ASSESSMENT
Summary:
Conclusion:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

### POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR ROLL COMPACTOR

#### 12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Department	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Quality assurance				
Production				
Quality control				
Engineering				
Store				
Head-QA				