

# PHARMA DEVILS QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

# POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR STRIP PACKING MACHINE

## RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT BY FMEA

Product/System/Equipment	STRIP PACKING MACHINE
Risk Assessment Report No.	
Report Date	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

# POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR STRIP PACKING MACHINE

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Risk Assessment Approach	4
5.0	Responsibility	4
6.0	Reference Documents	5
7.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	5-6
8.0	Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMEA	7
9.0	Risk assessment as per FMEA	8-17
9.1	Review of Risk assessment as per FMEA after action taken.	18
10.0	Risk Control Measures	19
11.0	Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	20
12.0	Final Report Approval	21



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR STRIP PACKING MACHINE

## **DOCUMENT APPROVAL:**

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

Responsibility	Department	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Quality assurance			
	Production			
	Quality control			
Reviewed by	Engineering			
	Store			
	Quality assurance			
Approved by	Head-QA			



#### QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

#### POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR STRIP PACKING MACHINE

#### 1.0 Introduction

The "STRIP PACKING MACHINE" is intended to use packing of tablets and capsules .Packing is achieved by Feeding system, Sealing system, Batch coding unit, Cutting System. Product is fed into the hopper guided into the bowl mounted on a vibrator released into the sealing roller. Sealing rollers draws the heat sealing packing material and product gets packed and seal in the foil. Cutter assembly cut the strip into desired strip length with assurance of product safety.

#### 2.0 Objective

Objective of this report is to assess the risk associated with the equipment "STRIP PACKING MACHINE" in post assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cepha Block of ....., in line with the guidance of the Risk Management manual of ...... and ICH Q9.

#### 3.0 Scope

#### 4.0 Risk assessment approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMEA (Failure mode effects analysis) method.

#### 5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance

Engineering

Production

**Quality Control** 

Store

#### **6.0** Reference Documents

- 1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management
- 2. ..... guidance on Risk assessment.



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR STRIP PACKING MACHINE

Bac	kgro	und

#### 7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS:

#### 7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

Effect	Scale	Description
No effect	1	No effect on output
Very slight	2	Customer not annoyed
Slight	3	Slight
Minor	4	Minor effect on performance
Moderate	5	Moderate effect on performance
Significant	6	Partial failure but operable
Major	7	Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe
Extreme	8	Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe
Serious	9	Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure
Hazardous	10	Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure

## 7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

Occurrence	Scale	Description
Almost never	Failure unlikely; history shows no failures	
Remote 2 Rare number of historical failure		
Very Slight	Very Slight 3 Very few failures likely	
Slight	4	Few failures likely
Low	5	Occasional number of failures likely
Medium	6	Medium number of failures likely



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR STRIP PACKING MACHINE

Occurrence	Scale	Description			
Moderately High 7 Moderately high number of failures likely					
High 8 High number of failures likely					
Very High 9 Very high number		Very high number of failures likely			
Almost certain	10	Failure almost certain			

7.3 Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection	Scale	Description				
Almost certain	1	Proven detection methods with high reliability				
Very High 2		Proven detection methods available				
High 3		Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods				
Moderately High 4		Almost certain not to detect failure				
Medium	5	Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect				
Low	6	Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure				
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure				
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure				
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure				
Impossible	10	Failure not detected				

**Note:** Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.

#### 8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMEA

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

S.No.	RPN Rating	RPN Category	Action Status
1.	≥ 76	Critical	CAPA Required
2.	51 to 75	Major	CAPA Required
3.	26 to 50	Moderate	CAPA Required
4.	Up to 25	Minor	Not applicable

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR STRIP PACKING MACHINE

#### 9.0 POST-RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Utility/Equipment/Process/Operation: STRIP PACKING MACHINE

			3)		(0)	ıtrol		(D)		ity	Action Results					
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	
	may funct	Equipment may not function as desired.	4		3	3		24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		c-GMP requirement will not met	7	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.	3	URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the help of engineering, QA & department Head.  Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against URS.	1	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
1	Design Qualification document received is inadequate.	Safety measures with respect to operator and environment will not be clear.	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		Major components list will be missed out.	6		2	D & against Otto.	2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			(§		(0)	itrol	D)	x D)		ty	Action Results					
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	
		Requirement of utilities (power and compressed air) will not be clear.	3		4	URS is prepared by	2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	Design Qualification document received is inadequate	Functional design specification will not be available.	4	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation	3	experienced personnel with the help of engineering, QA & department Head.	2	24	Current control measures are	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		Generally assembling diagram will not be clear	4	of URS.	4	Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified	1	16	adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		Instrument list connected with equipment will be missing	4		3	DQ against URS.	2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			<u>8</u>		(0)	itrol	D (Q	(D)		ty		Actio	on Resul	ts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
2	Design Qualification document is not checked and verified properly.	Document verification related to design verification, cGMP requirement, Instrument & control verification, components verification, utility verification & safety verification will not be appropriate.	4	Inadequate knowledge or inadequate training to all concerned.	3	Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against URS.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	Installation Qualification document is inadequate	Inadequate Installation of equipment	4	Inadequate information in IQ.	3	Interpretation of URS along with DQ.  SOP is in place for verification of IQ document.	2	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Identification of major components will be missing	6	Inadequate information in IQ.	2	Interpretation of URS along with DQ.  SOP is in place for verification of IQ	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			<u>S</u>		(O)	ıtrol	D)	(D)		ity	Action Results			ts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		No or inadequate clarity on equipment / documents required for completion of IQ.	3		3	document.	2	18		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
4	Calibrated Measuring equipment not available at site.( multimeter, spirit level, Tachometer)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate training	4	Ensure Physically for the availability of equipment before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			§)		(0)	itrol	D)	(D)		íty		Actio	n Resul	ts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
5	Reference document not available at site during IQ. (FDS, PLC FDS, GA and electrical drawing, installation & Operational manual, Material chart with test certificate & Manual.)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate knowledge for verification of reference documents on receipt.	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of documents before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
6	MOC verification not done during IQ ( For contact and non contact parts )	Product may gets contaminated	7	MOC Test certificate not provided by vendor.  Molybdenum Kit Not available	4	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	56	Molybdenum kit to be procured	Engineering,					
7	Equipment name plate not available during IQ	Equipment will not be identified.	4	Equipment name plate not provided by vendor	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			<u> </u>		(0)	itrol	D)	x D)	x D)		ty		Actio	n Resul	ts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	
8	Instrumentation & calibration check not performed.	IQ will not be performed	5	Inadequate Knowledge or training to concern personnel	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	1	15	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
9	Operational document is inadequate	inadequate Operation of equipment	6	Inadequate information in OQ	4	SOP is in place for verification of OQ Protocol.	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
10	IQ not completed prior to OQ	OQ Cannot be proceed	6	<ul><li>1.Incomplete documentation.</li><li>2. Installation not completed</li></ul>	4	SOP is in place to perform OQ after successful completion of IQ	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
11	Prequalification requirement not checked during OQ. (Tools are not removed from the equipment.)	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge or safety measures are not followed	2	Activity will performed by Trained personnel.	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	Emergency "STOP" button not released.	Equipment will not run	6	Inadequate knowledge	4	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			<u>8</u>		<u>(0)</u>	itrol	D)	(D)		ty		Actio	n Resul	ts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
	External equipment is not disconnected.	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge or safety measures are not followed	2	Activity will performed by Trained personnel.  Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
12	Temperature sensors are not calibrated	Accuracy of temperature will not be achieved	7	Inadequate knowledge /training	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
13	Equipment operation verification not done. (Noise level).	Equipment will not perform as intended	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	2	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
14	Equipment control functions, interlocks & alarm verification test not done.	Equipment will not function as desired.	7	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			<u>§</u>		(0)	itrol	D)	(D)		ity		Actio	on Resul	ts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
	Adequate safety features for men and material not provided with the equipment	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Flame proof motors not provided	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
15	Equipment is not assembled after cleaning, preventive maintenance, break down, calibration	Accident may happen.  Equipment not functioned as expected	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment	2	Procedure is in place for proper assembling after properly cleaning, preventive maintenance, calibration	1	20	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
16	Major changes done without any documentation	Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. Product quality may get affected	6	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Change control Sop is in place	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			()		(0)	ítrol	D	D)		ty		Actio	n Resul	ts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
17	Product designing is not done considering current equipment design	Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. Product quality may get affected	6	No or inadequate clarity about equipment design and capacity	3	Performance qualification will be carried out on equipment considering design	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
18	Process validation guidance is not clear (sample withdrawal).	Performance of the equipment will not be guaranteed.	8	Inadequate knowledge/training	2	Process validation protocol will cover the sampling location.	1	16	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
19	Equipment is not cleaned properly	Product will contaminated	8	Cleaning procedure is not followed correctly	2	Line clearance & cleaning procedure is in place	1	16	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
20	Improper Knurling of Strips	Strips fail in Leak test. Product Quality affected	7	Machine parameters (Sealing temperature & pressure) not set properly	3	Activity will performed by Trained personnel.	1	21	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
21	Feeding system is not working	Tablets gets damaged	7	Improper alignment of hopper to guide track.	3	Activity is controlled by vibrator .Activity will performed by Trained personnel	1	21	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			(S)		(0)	Control	(D)	(Q x		ity	Action Results						
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Cor	Detection (	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN		
23	Batch coding is missing	Quality affected	7	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Procedure for manual inspection is in place	1	21	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
24	Cutting system is not functioning proper.	Product affected,	4	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Procedure for manual inspection is in place	1	21	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
25	Non fill detection system are not functioning	Market complaint may arise	7	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Challenge test to be carried out to reject during Qualification activity	1	21	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

# POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR STRIP PACKING MACHINE

## 9.1 REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA AFTER ACTION TAKEN:

Action Results									
Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detectability	RPN	Remarks				



# POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR STRIP PACKING MACHINE

# 10.0 RISK CONTROL MEASURES **Investigation/ findings:** (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient) **Corrective Action:** (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)

(Sign/Date)





11.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION REPORT FOR RISK ASSESSMENT
Summary:
Conclusion:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

# POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR STRIP PACKING MACHINE

#### 12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Department	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Quality assurance				
Production				
Quality control				
Engineering				
Store				
Head-QA				