



RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT BY FMEA

Product/System/Equipment	Tablet Capsule Sorter	
Risk Assessment Report No.		
Report Date		



PHARMA DEVILS QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR TABLET & CAPSULE SORTER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Risk Assessment Approach	4
5.0	Responsibility	4
6.0	Reference Documents	5
7.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	5
8.0	Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMEA	7
9.0	Risk assessment as per FMEA	8-16
9.1	Review of Risk assessment as per FMEA after action taken.	17
10.0	Risk Control Measures	18
11.0	Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	19
12.0	Final Report Approval	20



DOCUMENT APPROVAL:

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

Responsibility	Department	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Quality assurance			
	Production			
	Quality control			
Reviewed by	Engineering			
	Store			
	Quality assurance			
Approved by	Head-QA			



1.0 Introduction

The "TABLET CAPSULE SORTER" is intended to use for inspection of tablet and capsule. The conveyer motor and vibrator starts vibrating which enables the tablet and capsule move forward, the orientation motor starts rotating and this in turn rotates the tablet and capsule to make it convenient for visibility checking of tablet and capsule with assurance of product safety.

2.0 Objective

Objective of this report is to assess the risk associated with the equipment "TABLET CAPSULE SORTER" in post assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cepha Block at, in line with the guidance of the Risk Management manual of and ICH Q9.

3.0 Scope

The scope of this document is limited to the design, installation, operation, performance and safety of equipment "TABLET CAPSULE SORTER" and define its failure mode at pre assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cepha Block at

4.0 Risk assessment approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMEA (Failure mode effects analysis) method.

5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance Engineering Production Quality Control Store

6.0 Reference Documents

- 1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management
- 2.guidance on Risk assessment.





Background

..... is intended to start manufacturing of solid oral facility at Risk assessment is a part of corporate quality assurance. Post Quality Risk assessment of "TABLET CAPSULE SORTER" is done to check the system is capable of providing quality product throughout the life cycle of the drug product.

7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS

7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

Effect	Scale	Description
No effect	1	No effect on output
Very slight	2	Customer not annoyed
Slight	3	Slight
Minor	4	Minor effect on performance
Moderate	5	Moderate effect on performance
Significant	6	Partial failure but operable
Major	7	Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe
Extreme	8	Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe
Serious	9	Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure
Hazardous	10	Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure

7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

Occurrence	Occurrence Scale Description							
Almost never	1	Failure unlikely; history shows no failures						
Remote	2	Rare number of historical failure						
Very Slight 3		Very few failures likely						
Slight	4	Few failures likely						
Low	5	Occasional number of failures likely						
Medium	6	Medium number of failures likely						



Occurrence	Scale	Description
Moderately High	7	Moderately high number of failures likely
High	8	High number of failures likely
Very High	9	Very high number of failures likely
Almost certain	10	Failure almost certain

7.3 Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection	Scale	Description						
Almost certain	1	Proven detection methods with high reliability						
Very High 2		Proven detection methods available						
High 3		Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods						
Moderately High 4		Almost certain not to detect failure						
Medium 5		Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect						
Low	6	Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure						
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure						
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure						
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure						
Impossible	10	Failure not detected						

Note: Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.

8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMEA

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

S.No.	RPN Rating	RPN Category	Action Status
1.	≥76	Critical	CAPA Required
2.	51 to 75	Major	CAPA Required
3.	26 to 50	Moderate	CAPA Required
4.	Up to 25	Minor	Not applicable



PHARMA DEVILS QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR TABLET & CAPSULE SORTER

9.0 POST-RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Utility/Equipment/Process/Operation: TABLET CAPSULE SORTER

		Potential effect (s) of failure	S)		0	ntrol	(D)	X D)		ity		Acti	on Resi	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode		Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		Equipment may not function as desired.	4	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS. 3	3		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Design Qualification document received is inadequate.	c-GMP requirement will not met	7		3	URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the help of engineering, QA & department Head. Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & User	1	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
1		Safety measures with respect to operator and environment will not be clear.	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Clarity on P & ID diagram will not be clear	3		3	Department verified DQ against URS.	2	18		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Major components list will be missed out.	6		2		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA





		Ű			0	atrol	D	X D)		ity		Acti	on Resu	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	KPN (S x O 3	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		Requirement of utilities (power and compressed air) will not be clear.	3	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.	4 URS is prepared by 2 24 NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
	Design Qualification document	Functional design specification will not be available.	4		3	experienced personnel with the help of engineering, QA & department Head.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	document received is inadequate	Generally assembling diagram will not be clear	4		4	Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against URS.	1	16		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Instrument list connected with equipment will be missing	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA





			S)		0	ntrol	D)	X D)		ity		Acti	on Resi	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (0)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O y	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
2	Design Qualification document is not checked and verified properly.	Document verification related to design verification, cGMP requirement, Instrument & control verification, components verification, utility verification & safety verification will not be appropriate.	4	Inadequate knowledge or inadequate training to all concerned.	3	Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against URS.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	Installation Qualification document is inadequate	Inadequate Installation of equipment	4	Inadequate information in IQ.	3	Interpretation of URS along with DQ. SOP is in place for verification of IQ document.	2	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Identification of major components will be missing	6	Inadequate information in IQ.	2	Interpretation of URS along with DQ. SOP is in place for verification of IQ	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA





			S)		0	ıtrol	D)	X D)		ity		Acti	on Resi	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O 3	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		No or inadequate clarity on equipment / documents required for completion of IQ.	3		3	document.	2	18		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
4	Calibrated Measuring equipment not available at site.(multi meter, spirit level)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate training	4	Ensure Physically for the availability of equipments before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
5	Reference document not available at site during IQ. (GA and electrical drawing, installation & Operational manual, Material chart with test certificate & Manual.)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate knowledge for verification of reference documents on receipt.	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of documents before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA





			(S)		0	ntrol	D)	X D)		ity		Acti	on Rest	ilts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
6	MOC verification not done during IQ (For contact and non contact parts)	Product may gets contaminated	7	MOC Test certificate not provided by vendor. Molybdenum Kit Not available	4	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	56	Molybdenum kit to be procured	Engineering					
7	Equipment name plate not available during IQ	Equipment will not be identified.	4	Equipment name plate not provided by vendor	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
8	Instrumentation & calibration check not performed.	IQ will not be performed	5	Inadequate Knowledge or training to concern personnel	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	1	15	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
9	Operational document is inadequate	inadequate Operation of equipment	6	Inadequate information in OQ	4	SOP is in place for verification of OQ Protocol.	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
10	IQ not completed prior to OQ	OQ Cannot be proceed	6	Incomplete documentation. Installation not completed	4	SOP is in place to perform OQ after successful completion of IQ	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA





			S)		0	e (O) antrol (D) (D) (D)		ity	Action Results						
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O 3	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
	Prequalification requirement not checked during OQ. (Tools are not removed from the equipment.)	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge or safety measures are not followed	2	Activity will performed by Trained personnel.	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
11	Emergency "STOP" button not released.	Equipment will not run	6	Inadequate knowledge	4	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	External equipment is not disconnected.	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge or safety measures are not followed	2	Activity will performed by Trained personnel. Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
12	Equipment operation verification not done. (Noise level).	Equipment will not perform as intended	7	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA





			S)		0	ıtrol	(D)	X D)		ity	Action Results				
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	tential \dot{X}_{1} Potential cause/ \ddot{y}_{1} \ddot{y}_{2} \ddot{y}_{1} \ddot{y}_{2} \ddot{y}_{2} \ddot{y}_{2} \ddot{y}_{2} Recommendationext (s) of \ddot{y}_{2} Mechanism of failure \ddot{y}_{1} \ddot{y}_{2} \ddot{y}_{2} \ddot{y}_{2} \ddot{y}_{2} \ddot{y}_{2} \ddot{y}_{2}		Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN				
	Equipment control functions verification test not done.	Equipment will not function as desired.	7	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
13	Adequate safety features for men and material not provided with the equipment	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Flame proof motors is not provided	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
14	Equipment is not assembled after cleaning, preventive maintenance, break down, calibration	Accident may happen. Equipment not functioned as expected	10	Inadequate knowledge / training for operating the equipment	2	Procedure is in place for proper assembling after properly cleaning, preventive maintenance, calibration	1	20	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA





			(S)		0	ıtrol	(D)	X D)		ity	Action Results					
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (RPN (S x O 3	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	
15	Major changes done without any documentation	Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. Product quality may get affected	6	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Change control Sop is in place	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
16	Equipment is not cleaned properly	Product will contaminated	8	Cleaning procedure is not followed correctly	2	Line clearance & cleaning procedure is in place	1	16	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
17	Vibrator and speed control is not properly working.	Product does not rotate	7	No or inadequate clarity about equipment design and capacity, Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Performance qualification will be carried out on equipment, Activity will performed by Trained personnel	1	21	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	



9.1 REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA AFTER ACTION TAKEN:

Action Results										
Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	RPN	Remarks					



PHARMA DEVILS QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR TABLET & CAPSULE SORTER

10.0 RISK CONTROL MEASURES

Investigation/ findings: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)

Corrective Action: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)

(Sign/Date)





11.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION REPORT FOR RISK ASSESSMENT

Summary	:

•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
•••••	••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••
	••••••	•••••		
•••••		••••••		•••••
•••••	••••••	••••••		•••••
Conclusion				
Conclusion:				
•••••				
•••••				

.....

.....

.....



12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Department	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Quality assurance				
Production				
Quality control				
Engineering				
Store				
Head-QA				