



RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT

Product/System/Equipment	Tablet and Capsule Sorter (General Block)
Risk Assessment Report No.	
Report Date	





QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ANALYSIS FOR TABLET AND CAPSULE SORTER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Risk Assessment Approach	4
5.0	Responsibility	4
6.0	Reference Documents	5
7.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	5-6
8.0	Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMEA	7
9.0	Risk assessment as per FMEA	8-11
9.1	Review of Risk assessment as per FMEA after action taken.	12
10.0	Risk Control Measures	13
11.0	Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	14
12.0	Final Report Approval	15





DOCUMENT APPROVAL:

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

Responsibility	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Quality assurance		
	Production		
	Quality control		
Reviewed by	Engineering		
	Store		
	Quality assurance		
Approved by	Head-QA		



PHARMA DEVILS

PRE RISK ANALYSIS FOR TABLET AND CAPSULE SORTER

1.0 Introduction

The "Tablet and Capsule Sorter" is intended to use for inspection of tablet and capsule. The conveyer motor and vibrator starts vibrating which enables the tablet and capsule move forward, the orientation motor starts rotating and this in turn rotates the tablet and capsule to make it convenient for visibility checking of tablet and capsule with assurance of product safety.

2.0 Objective

Objective of this report is to assess the risk associated with the equipment Tablet and Capsule Sorter in pre assessment in the manufacturing facility of General Block of, in line with the guidance of the Risk Management manual of and ICH Q9.

3.0 Scope

4.0 Risk assessment approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMEA (Failure mode, effects analysis) method.

5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance

Engineering

Production

Quality Control

Store

6.0 Reference Documents

- 1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management
- 2. guidance on Risk assessment.





Background

7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS

7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

Effect	Scale	Description
No effect	1	No effect on output
Very slight	2	Customer not annoyed
Slight	3	Slight
Minor	4	Minor effect on performance
Moderate	5	Moderate effect on performance
Significant	6	Partial failure but operable
Major	7	Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe
Extreme	8	Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe
Serious	9	Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure
Hazardous	10	Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure

7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

Occurrence	Scale	Description
Almost never	1	Failure unlikely; history shows no failures
Remote	2	Rare number of historical failure
Very Slight	3	Very few failures likely
Slight	4	Few failures likely
Low	5	Occasional number of failures likely
Medium	6	Medium number of failures likely
Moderately High	7	Moderately high number of failures likely



Occurrence	Scale	Description
High	8	High number of failures likely
Very High	9	Very high number of failures likely
Almost certain 10		Failure almost certain

7.3 Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection	Scale	Description						
Almost certain	1	Proven detection methods with high reliability						
Very High 2		Proven detection methods available						
High	3	Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods						
Moderately High	4	Almost certain not to detect failure						
Medium 5		Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect						
Low	6	Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure						
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure						
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure						
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure						
Impossible	10	Failure not detected						

Note: Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.

8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMEA

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

S.No.	RPN Rating	RPN Category	Action Status
1.	≥ 76	Critical	CAPA Required
2.	51 to 75	Major	CAPA Required
3.	26 to 50	Moderate	CAPA Required
4.	Up to 25	Minor	Not applicable

9.0 PRE-RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Utility/Equipment/Process/Operation: Tablet and Capsule Sorter

					(0)	lo)	D)		7	Action Results				
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (s)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence ((Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x I	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
1	Required Area (floor, Temperature, RH, Differential pressure) & Air preparation unit not proper for the Tablet and Capsule Sorter.	Area, Air preparation unit will not be suitable for proper functioning of Equipment.	6	No or less clarity of the product requirement and machine functionality.	3	Approved layout is in place.	3	54	Care has to be taken during Area Qualification & equipment qualification	Enginee ring, QA, Producti on,	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2	Required parameter not defined in URS/URS not proper for system	Systems not receive suitable for proper output of quality with all parameter as per specification. Affect the product quality.	6	No or less clarity of the product requirement and machine functionality.	3	Preparation of URS before procurement of equipment is in place with all pre-specified parameter.	2	36	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

					6	Jo.		(Responsibility and TCD		Action	n Result	S	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (s)		Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action		Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
3	Required utilities (compressed air, electricity ,light facility)are not available	Machine will not function as expected.	7	No or less clarity of the product requirement and machine functionality with respect to utility requirement.	3	URS is in place for system with all predefined requirement of utility like electricity, compressed air, light facility	2	42	Current control measures are adequate						
4	Wrong machine selection in terms of Dimension, capacity and output.	Installation will be affected if dimension is not considered. Output will also get affected if capacity is not considered.	5	No or less clarity of the machine.	3	URS is in place for dimension, capacity and rated output of the of the Tablet and Capsule Sorter	3	45	Current control measures are adequate						
5	MOC and machine contact parts ,Seals & gaskets not meeting GMP requirement	Not meting GMP requirements and product get affected.	5	No or less clarity of the machine contact part and MOC.	2	URS is in place for MOC (contact part should be of SS316 or 316L and food grade material and non contact parts will be of SS304 and machine contact parts to fulfill GMP requirements. Gasket used shall be of food grade rubber.	2	20	Current control measures are adequate						

			Severity (s)		(0)	rol		D)		,		Actio	n Result	s	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure		Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x I	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
6	Lubricant used is of no food grade quality	Not meting GMP requirements.	7	No or less clarity of the requirement	4	FOOD grade lubricant is required defined in URS.	2	56	Certificate to be received from the vendor for FOOD grade against the supply. This shall be a part of SOP.	QA, Purchas e/ Producti on, \Engine ering					
7	Equipment not received with the process safety measures.	Accident may happen.	9	No or less clarity about equipment safety measures.	2	Requirement of Safety measures like Earthing is defined in URS.	2	36	Current control measures are adequate						
8	Dust collector not provided to suck powder generated	Dusting and congestion occurs	6	No or less clarity about equipment safety ,product safety and human safety measures	2	URS is in place for dust collector unit for Tablet and Capsule Sorter	1	12	Current control measures are adequate						





9.1 REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA AFTER ACTION TAKEN:

Action Results						
Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detectability	RPN	Remarks	





Corrective Action: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)					
(Sign/Date)					





11.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION REPORT FOR RISK ASSESSMENT					
Summary:					
Conclusion:					





12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Department	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Quality assurance				
Production				
Quality control				
Engineering				
Store				
Head-QA				