POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

RISK ASSESSMENT

REPORT BY FMEA

Product/System/Equipment	**VIBRO SIFTER (12″, 30″ & 48″)**
Risk Assessment Report No.	
Report Date	

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Risk Assessment Approach	4
5.0	Responsibility	4
6.0	Reference Documents	5
7.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	5-6
8.0	Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMEA	7
9.0	Risk assessment as per FMEA	8-17
9.1	Review of Risk assessment as per FMEA after action taken.	*18*
10.0	Risk Control Measures	19
11.0	Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	20
12.0	Final Report Approval	21

PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

DOCUMENT APPROVAL:

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

Responsibility	Department	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Quality assurance			
Reviewed by	Production			
	Quality control			
	Engineering			
	Store			
	Quality assurance			
Approved by	Head-QA			

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

1.0 Introduction

The "VIBRO SIFTER" is intended for uniformly grading of materials through the rotatory or longitudinal movements used in the conventional type of sieving machine both in terms of output .Material finer than the screen mesh passes through the screen and is collected in the bottom hopper and finally discharges through the port of hopper with assurance of product safety. Coarse material is retained on the top of screen.

2.0 Objective

Objective of this report is to assess the risk associated with the equipment "Vibro Sifter" in post assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cephal Block at …….., in line with the guidance of the Risk Management manual of …… and ICH Q9.

3.0 Scope

The scope of this document is limited to the design, installation, operation, performance and safety of equipment "VIBRO SIFTER" and define its failure mode at post assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cepha Block at ……. .

4.0 Risk assessment approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMEA (Failure mode, effects analysis) method.

5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance
Engineering
Production
Quality Control
Store

6.0 Reference Documents

1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management
2. ……. pharmaceutical guidance on Risk assessment.

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

Background

…….Pharmaceuticals Limited is intended to start manufacturing of solid oral facility at …….. Risk assessment is a part of corporate quality assurance. Post Quality Risk assessment of "VIBRO SIFTER" is done to check the system is capable of providing quality product throughout the life cycle of the drug product.

7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS

7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

Effect	Scale	Description
No effect	1	No effect on output
Very slight	2	Customer not annoyed
Slight	3	Slight
Minor	4	Minor effect on performance
Moderate	5	Moderate effect on performance
Significant	6	Partial failure but operable
Major	7	Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe
Extreme	8	Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe
Serious	9	Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure
Hazardous	10	Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure

7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

Occurrence	Scale	Description
Almost never	1	Failure unlikely; history shows no failures
Remote	2	Rare number of historical failure
Very Slight	3	Very few failures likely
Slight	4	Few failures likely
Low	5	Occasional number of failures likely
Medium	6	Medium number of failures likely

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

Occurrence	Scale	Description
Moderately High	7	Moderately high number of failures likely
High	8	High number of failures likely
Very High	9	Very high number of failures likely
Almost certain	10	Failure almost certain

7.3 Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection	Scale	Description
Almost certain	1	Proven detection methods with high reliability
Very High	2	Proven detection methods available
High	3	Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods
Moderately High	4	Almost certain not to detect failure
Medium	5	Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect
Low	6	Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure
Impossible	10	Failure not detected

Note: Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.

8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMEA

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

S.No.	RPN Rating	RPN Category	Action Status
1.	≥ 76	Critical	CAPA Required
2.	51 to 75	Major	CAPA Required
3.	26 to 50	Moderate	CAPA Required
4.	Up to 25	Minor	Not applicable

PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

9.0 POST-RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Utility/Equipment/Process/Operation: VIBRO SIFTER

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action Results				
											Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
1	Design Qualification document received is inadequate.	Equipment may not function as desired.	4	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.	3	URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the help of engineering, QA & department Head. Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against URS.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		c-GMP requirement will not meet	7		3		1	21		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Safety measures with respect to operator and environment will not be clear.	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Clarity on GA diagram will not be clear	3		3		2	18		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Major components list will be missed out.	6		2		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action Results				
											Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
1	Design Qualification document received is inadequate	Requirement of utilities (power & earthing) will not be clear.	3	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.	4	URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the help of engineering , QA & department Head. Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department verified DQ against URS.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Functional design specification will not be available.	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Generally assembling diagram will not be clear	4		4		1	16		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Instrument list connected with equipment will be missing	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action Results				
											Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
2	Design Qualification document is not checked and verified properly.	Document verification related to design verification, cGMP requirement, Instrument & control verification, components verification, utility verification & safety verification will not be appropriate.	4	Inadequate knowledge or inadequate training to all concerned.	3	Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department will verify DQ against URS.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	Installation Qualification document is inadequate	inadequate Installation of equipment	7	Inadequate information in IQ.	3	Interpretation of URS along with DQ. SOP is in place for verification of IQ document.	1	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Identification of major components will be missing	6	Inadequate information in IQ.	2	Interpretation of URS along with DQ. SOP is in place for verification of IQ	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action Results				
											Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		No or inadequate clarity on equipment / documents required for completion of IQ.	3		3	document.	2	18		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
4	Calibrated Measuring equipment not available at site.(spirit level, multi meter)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate training	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of equipment before execution of Qualification activity.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
5	Reference document not available at site during IQ. (FDS, and electrical drawing, installation & Operational manual, Material chart with test certificate & Manual.)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate knowledge for verification of reference documents on receipt.	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of document before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action Results				
											Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
6	MOC verification not done during IQ (For contact and non contact parts)	Product may gets contaminated	7	MOC Test certificate not provided by vendor. Molybdenum Kit Not available	4	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	56	Molybdenum kit to be procured	Engineering					
7	Equipment name plate not available during IQ	Equipment will not be identified.	4	Equipment name plate not provided by vendor	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
8	Instrumentation & calibration check not performed.	IQ will not be performed	5	Inadequate Knowledge or training to concern personnel	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	1	15	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
9	Operational document is inadequate	inadequate Operation of equipment	6	Inadequate information in OQ	4	SOP is in place for verification of OQ Protocol.	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
10	IQ not completed prior to OQ	OQ Cannot be proceed	6	Incomplete documentation. Installation not completed	4	SOP is in place to perform OQ after successful completion of IQ	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action Results				
											Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
11	Prequalification requirement not checked during OQ. (Tools are not removed from the equipment.)	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge or safety measures are not followed	2	Activity will be performed by Trained personnel.	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Emergency "STOP" button not released.	Equipment will not run	6	Inadequate knowledge	4	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ.	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	External equipment is not disconnected.	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge or safety measures are not followed	2	Activity will performed by Trained personnel. Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
12	Main motor not rotating.	Equipment will not run	7	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment. Required input supply of suitable frequency of motor not provided	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action Results				
											Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
13	Sieves integrity & intactness Checks not performed	Product quality may Affected	7	MOC Test certificate not provided by vendor. Sieve inspection kit Not available	4	Procedure is in place for verification	2	56	sieve inspection kit to be procured	Production					
14	Equipment operation verification not done. (Main motor performance, (Noise level).	Equipment will not perform as intended	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	2	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
15	Adequate safety features for men and material not provided with the equipment	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Flame proof motors,& earthing not provided	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Antistatic sieves not provided	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action Results				
											Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
	Equipment control functions verification test not done.	Equipment will not function as desired.	7	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
16	Equipment is not assembled after cleaning, preventive maintenance, break down, calibration	Accident may happen. Equipment not functioned as expected	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment	2	Procedure is in place for proper assembling after properly cleaning, preventive maintenance, calibration	1	20	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
17	Major changes done without any documentation	Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. Product quality may get affected	6	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Change control SOP is in place	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
18	Product designing is not done considering current equipment design and capacity	Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. Product quality may get affected	6	No or inadequate clarity about equipment design and capacity	3	Performance qualification will be carried out on equipment considering Min. & Max. capacity & design	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action Results				
											Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
19	Process monitoring is not done (sample withdrawal).	Performance of the equipment will not be guaranteed	8	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Performance qualification will cover the monitoring part	1	24	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
20	Equipment is not cleaned properly	Product will contaminated	8	Cleaning procedure is not followed correctly	2	Line clearance & cleaning procedure is in place	1	16	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
21	Improper hardness of gasket	Product quality contaminated	7	No or inadequate Knowledge	3	Procedure is in place for verification Vendor has to provide test certificate mentioning hardness	3	63	Hardness to be checked from vendor certificate.	Production, QA					

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

9.1 REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA AFTER ACTION TAKEN:

Action Results					Remarks
Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detectability	RPN	

10.0 RISK CONTROL MEASURES

Investigation/ findings: *(an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)*

……

……

……

……

……

……

……

……

……

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

Corrective Action: *(an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)*

……

……

……

……

……

……

……

……

……

……

(Sign/Date)

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

11.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION REPORT FOR RISK ASSESSMENT

Summary:.....……

……

……

……

……

……

……

……

Conclusion:

……...

……

……

……

……

……

……

……

POST RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR VIBRO SIFTER

12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Department	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Quality assurance				
Production				
Quality control				
Engineering				
Store				
Head-QA				