

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST - RISK ASSESSMENT FOR TUBE FILLING MACHINE

RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT BY FMEA

Product/System/Equipment	TUBE FILLLING MACHINE
Risk Assessment Report No.	
Kisk Assessment Report No.	
D (D)	
Report Date	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST - RISK ASSESSMENT FOR TUBE FILLING MACHINE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Risk Assessment Approach	4
5.0	Responsibility	4
6.0	Reference Documents	5
7.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	5
8.0	Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMEA	7
9.0	Risk assessment as per FMEA	8 - 21
9.1	Review of Risk assessment as per FMEA after action taken.	22
10.0	Risk Control Measures	23
11.0	Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	24
12.0	Final Report Approval	25



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST - RISK ASSESSMENT FOR TUBE FILLING MACHINE

DOCUMENT APPROVAL:

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

Responsibility	Department	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Quality assurance			
Reviewed by	Production			
	Quality control			
	Engineering			
	Store			
	Quality assurance			
Approved by	Head-QA			



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST - RISK ASSESSMENT FOR TUBE FILLING MACHINE

1.0 Introduction

The "tube filling machine" is intended to use for filling and sealing of tubes of different MOC like aluminum, lami and plastic . The product are transferred to filling machine hopper with help of metering pump from Holding tanks . The products flow from hopper to block of filling system by gravity. The stirrer of the hopper will assist flow of product without rat hole, the stirrer . The empty tubes are loaded in tubes loaded and empty tubes are placed in holders with help of tubes tilter. The empty tubes are first moved to cleaning for vacuum cleaning of tubes and then to filling nozzle where it fills and the filled tubes are sealed and crimped . The batch details are embossed on crimped part and the final sealed and crimped tubes are ejected to link up belt of cartonator for final packing .

2.0 Objective

Objective of this report is to assess the risk associated with the "tube filling machine" equipment
in post assessment in the manufacturing facility of External Preparation General Block at
, in line with the guidance of the Risk Management manual of and ICH Q9.

3.0 Scope

The scope of this document is limited to the design, installation, operation, performance and safety of equipment "tube filling machine" and define its failure mode at pre assessment in the manufacturing facility of External Preparation at

4.0 Risk assessment approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMEA (Failure mode effects analysis) method.

5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance

Engineering

Production

Quality Control

Store

6.0 Reference Documents

1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management



PHARMA DEVILS QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST - RISK ASSESSMENT FOR TUBE FILLING MACHINE

Bac	kgro	und
Duc.		unu

...... is intended to start manufacturing and filling of topical preparation facility at Sikkim. Risk assessment is a part of corporate quality assurance. Post Quality Risk assessment of "tube filling machine" is done to check the system is capable of providing quality product throughout the life cycle of the drug product.

7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS

7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

Effect	Scale	Description
No effect	1	No effect on output
Very slight	2	Customer not annoyed
Slight	3	Slight
Minor	4	Minor effect on performance
Moderate	5	Moderate effect on performance
Significant	6	Partial failure but operable
Major	7	Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe
Extreme	8	Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe
Serious	9	Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure
Hazardous	10	Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure

7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

Occurrence	Scale	Description
Almost never	1	Failure unlikely; history shows no failures
Remote	2	Rare number of historical failure
Very Slight	3	Very few failures likely
Slight	4	Few failures likely
Low	5	Occasional number of failures likely
Medium	6	Medium number of failures likely
Moderately High	7	Moderately high number of failures likely



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST - RISK ASSESSMENT FOR TUBE FILLING MACHINE

Occurrence	Scale	Description
High	8	High number of failures likely
Very High	9	Very high number of failures likely
Almost certain	10	Failure almost certain

7.3 Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection	Scale	Description
Almost certain	1	Proven detection methods with high reliability
Very High	2	Proven detection methods available
High	3	Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods
Moderately High	4	Almost certain not to detect failure
Medium	5	Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect
Low	6	Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure
Impossible	10	Failure not detected

Note: Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.

8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMEA

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

S.No.	RPN Rating	RPN Category	Action Status
1.	≥ 76	Critical	CAPA Required
2.	51 to 75	Major	CAPA Required
3.	26 to 50	Moderate	CAPA Required
4.	Up to 25	Minor	Not applicable

POST - RISK ASSESSMENT FOR TUBE FILLING MACHINE

9.0 POST-RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Utility/Equipment/Process/Operation: Tube filling machine

			s)		(0)	itrol	D)	(D)		ıty	Action Results					
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (s)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	
	Design Qualification document received is inadequate.	Equipment may not function as desired.	4	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.	3	URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the help of engineering, QA	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	madequate.	c-GMP requirement will not met	7		3	& department Head. Well	1	21		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
1	Safety measures with respect to operator and environment will not be clear. Clarity on P & 3 ID diagram will not be clear	4	3	experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department will verify DQ against URS.	2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
			3		2	18		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
		Major components list will be missed out.	6		2		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	

			(8)		(0)	itrol	D)	(D) x D)	a l	ity	Action Results					
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (s)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	
	Design Qualification document received is inadequate	Requirement of utilities (power and compressed air, Chilled water) will not be clear.	3	No or inadequate clarity (Knowledge) in preparation of URS.	4	URS is prepared by experienced personnel with the help of engineering, QA & department Head.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		Functional design specification will not be available.	4		3	Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user	2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		Generally assembling diagram will not be clear	4		4	department will verify DQ against URS.	1	16		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		Instrument list connected with equipment will be missing	4		3		2	24		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	

			(S		(0)	ıtrol	D)	x D)		ity		A	ction R	Results	
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (s)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
2	Design Qualification document is not checked and verified properly.	Document verification related to design verification, cGMP requirement, Instrument & control verification, components verification, utility verification & safety verification will not be appropriate.	4	Inadequate knowledge or inadequate training to all concerned.	3	Well experienced Personnel from QA, Engineering & user department will verify DQ against URS.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	Installation Qualification document is inadequate	Inadequate Installation of equipment	7	Inadequate information in IQ.	3	Interpretation of URS along with DQ. SOP is in place for verification of IQ document.	1	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			s)		<u>(0)</u>	ıtrol	D)	x D)		ity		A	ction R	Results	
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (s)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		Identification of major components will be missing	6	Inadequate information in IQ.	2	Interpretation of URS along with DQ. SOP is in place for verification of IQ document.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		No or inadequate clarity on equipment / documents required for completion of IQ.	3		3		2	18		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
4	Calibrated Measuring equipment not available at site. (multimeter, spirit level, Tachometer, clamp meter)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate training	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the availability of equipments before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			s)		(0)	itrol	D	x D)		ity		A	ction R	Results	
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (s)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
5	Reference document not available at site during IQ. (FDS, PLC FDS, GA and electrical drawing, installation & Operational manual, Material chart with test certificate & Manual.)	Installation will be improper, Equipment will not perform as intended	6	Inadequate knowledge for verification of reference documents on receipt.	4	Qualification team will ensure Physically for the available of documents before execution of IQ.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
6	MOC verification not done during IQ (For contact and non contact parts)	Product may gets contaminated	7	MOC Test certificate not provided by vendor. Molybdenum Kit Not available	4	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	56	Molybdenum kit to be procured	Engineering,					
7	Equipment name plate not available during IQ	Equipment will not be identified.	4	Equipment name plate not provided by vendor	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	2	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			s)		(0)	itrol	D)	x D)		ıty		A	ction R	Results	
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (s)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
8	Instrumentation & calibration check not performed.	IQ will not be performed	5	Inadequate Knowledge or training to concern personnel	3	Procedure is in place for verification during IQ.	1	15	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
9	Operational document is inadequate	Inadequate Operation of equipment	6	Inadequate information in OQ	4	SOP is in place for verification of OQ Protocol.	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
10	IQ not completed prior to OQ	OQ Cannot be proceed	6	 Incomplete documentation. Installation not completed 	4	SOP is in place to perform OQ after successful completion of IQ	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
11	Prequalification requirement not checked during OQ. (Tools are not removed from the equipment.)	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge or safety measures are not followed	2	Activity will be performed by Trained personnel.	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Emergency "STOP" button not released.	Equipment will not run	6	Inadequate knowledge	4	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			s)		(O)	itrol	D)	x D)		ıty		A	ction R	Results	
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (s)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
	External equipment is not disconnected.	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge or safety measures are not followed	2	Activity will performed by Trained personnel. Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
12	Temperature sensors of Hopper are not calibrated.	Accuracy of temperature will not be achieved	7	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
13	Equipment operation verification not done. (Noise level).	Equipment will not perform as intended	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	2	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
14	Filters (coarse, pre, fine, Hepa, exhaust filters are not available in AHU unit	Product & environment will be contaminated	10	Inadequate knowledge/training	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			s)		(0)	itrol	D)	(Q x		íty		A	ction F	Results	
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (s)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
15	AHU unit is not functioning	1.Product & environment will be contaminated,	10	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	30	Controlled measures are in place, Performance checks to be verified during PQ.	Engineerin g, QA, Production,					
	Equipment control functions, interlocks & alarm verification test not done.	Equipment will not function as desired.	7	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating the equipment.	3	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	21	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
16	Adequate safety features for men and material not provided with the equipment	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Equipment will not be under password protection.	8	Training for the operation of equipment is inadequate.	4	Procedure are in place for verification IQ and OQ. Operational manual	6	192	SOP will be prepared for preparation of password protection	Production,					
		Equipment will be inadequate in GMP aspect.	4	Proper guards and emergency stop is not available.	3	Procedure are in place for verification during IQ & OQ	2	24	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			(8)		(O)	ntrol	D)	(Q x		ity		A	ction R	esults	
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (s)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
		System will not give any alarm during malfunctioning.	6	System run in Manual Mode and by pass the interlocks.	4	Activity will performed by Trained personnel.	1	24	System should not run in manual mode after validation, accordingly SOP will be prepared.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
17	Equipment is not assembled after cleaning, preventive maintenance, break down, calibration	Accident may happen. Equipment not functioned as expected	10	Inadequate knowledge/training for operating and cleaning of equipment	2	Procedure is in place for proper assembling after properly cleaning, preventive maintenance, calibration	1	20	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
18	Major changes done without any documentation	Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. Product quality may get affected	6	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Change control Sop is in place	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			s)		(0)	ıtrol	D)	x D)		ity		A	ction R	esults	
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (s)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
19	Tube filling process designing is not done considering current equipment design and capacity	Performances of equipment will not guaranteed. Product quality may get affected	6	No or inadequate clarity about equipment design and capacity	3	Performance qualification will be carried out on equipment considering various variables.	1	18	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
20	Process monitoring is not done	Performance of the equipment will not be guaranteed	8	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Process validation & APR will cover the monitoring part	1	24	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
21	Process validation guidance is not clear (sample withdrawal).	Performance of the equipment will not be guaranteed.	8	Inadequate knowledge/training	2	Process validation protocol will cover the sampling location.	1	16	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
22	Equipment is not cleaned properly	Product will contaminated	8	Cleaning procedure is not followed correctly	2	Line clearance & cleaning procedure is in place	1	16	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			s)		(O)	itrol	D)	x D)		ity		A	ction R	esults	
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (s)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
23	Temperature Sensor of Hopper is not calibrated	Accuracy of temperature will not achieved	6	Inadequate knowledge	2	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ	1	12	Control measures are in place. Preventive maintenance schedule to be prepared to include the calibration of temperature sensor.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
24	Stirrer rotating direction is not proper	Product will not meet the specification	7	RPM of anchor stirrer is not calibrated. Motor of stirrer not properly working.	5	Procedure is in place for verification during OQ	1	35	OQ protocol for checking of RPM & preventive maintenance schedule will be prepared.	QA, Production, Engineering,					
25	RPM of stirrer is not showing accurate value.	Affected on product quality	8	RPM of anchor stirrer is not calibrated and PLC.	4	Procedure are in place for verification during OQ.	1	32	Control measures are in place. Preventive maintenance schedule will be prepared to include the RPM checking.	QA, Production, Engineering,					

			s)		(0)	itrol	D)	x D)		ity		A	ction R	esults	
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (s)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
26	Temperature of sealing heater is not showing accurately	Affected on product quality	5	Inadequate knowledge/training	3	Procedure is in place for verification during OQ, Activity will performed by Trained personnel.	1	15	Control measures are in place.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
27	Compressed air supply is not adequate.	Affected on product quality	7	Inadequate knowledge/training Preventive maintenance not followed periodically	3	Procedure are in place for verification of pressure during OQ. Procedure is in place to monitor the pressure of compressed air supply.	1	21	Control measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
28	Sealing integrity of tube is not proper / Leakage of tube .	Affected on product quality	4	Temperature of the sealer is not proper. Inadequate knowledge	3	Activity will performed by Trained personnel. Procedure are in place for verification during PQ,	2	24	Control measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

			s)		(0)	itrol	D	x D)		ity		A	ction R	Results	
S. No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (s)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
29	Crimping of tube is not proper.	Affected on product quality.	4	Inadequate knowledge/training and information for setting of crimping Jaw.	3	Activity will performed by Trained personnel. Procedure are in place for verification during PQ,	2	24	Control measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
30	Embossing of the of batch coding is not proper	Affected on product quality.	7	Inadequate knowledge/training and information. Setting of the embossing jaw is not proper.	3	Activity will performed by Trained personnel. Procedure are in place for verification during PQ,	1	21	Control measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
31	Empty tubes cleaning device is not working properly.	Affected on product quality.	7	No or inadequate Knowledge. Vacuum pump is not working properly		Activity will performed by Trained personnel. Preventive maintenance of vacuum pump will be included in schedule.	1	21	Control measures are in place. Preventive maintenance of vacuum pump will be included in schedule.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA





POST - RISK ASSESSMENT FOR TUBE FILLING MACHINE

9.1 REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA AFTER ACTION TAKEN:

	Action Results				
Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detectability	RPN	Remarks



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

10.0 RISK CONTROL MEASURES	
Investigation/ findings: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)	
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
Corrective Action: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)	
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
	••••
(Sign/Date	:)





11.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION REPORT FOR RISK ASSESSMENT					
Summary:					
Conclusion:					



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

POST - RISK ASSESSMENT FOR TUBE FILLING MACHINE

12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Department	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Quality assurance				
Production				
Quality control				
Engineering				
Store				
Head-QA				