



RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT BY FMECA

Product/System/Equipment	Water System
Risk Assessment Report No.	
Report Date	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ANALYSIS FOR WATER SYSTEM (CEPHA BLOCK)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Risk Assessment Approach	4
5.0	Responsibility	4
6.0	Reference Documents	5
7.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	5-6
8.0	Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMECA	7
9.0	Risk assessment as per FMECA	8-10
9.1	Review of Risk assessment as per FMECA after action taken.	11
10.0	Risk Control Measures	12
11.0	Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	13
12.0	Final Report Approval	14



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ANALYSIS FOR WATER SYSTEM (CEPHA BLOCK)

DOCUMENT APPROVAL:

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

Responsibility	Department	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Quality assurance			
	Production			
	Quality control			
Reviewed by	Engineering			
	Store			
	Quality assurance			
Approved by	Head-QA			



PRE RISK ANALYSIS FOR WATER SYSTEM (CEPHA BLOCK)

1.0 Introduction

The Purified water system is intended to provide the water of desire pharma specification for the manufacturing of the oral dosage formulation. Its goal is to provide safe water with respect the acceptable limits. In pharma industry water system use of fulfill the requirement of purified water for manufacturing and cleaning purposes with assurance of the product safety.

2.0 Objective

Objective of this report is to assess the risk associated with the existing Purified water system in the manufacturing facility of Cepha Block, in line with the guidance of the Risk Management manual of and ICH Q9

3.0 Scope

The scope of this document is limited to the design, installation, operation and performance of the Purified water system, define its failure mode at different stages of water system with control points, utilities with respect to the operating personnel and belonging instruments and the risk is to be evaluated against the water system installed in the manufactured facility of Cepha Block,

4.0 Risk Assessment Approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMECA (Failure mode effects and criticality analysis) method.

5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance Engineering Production Quality Control Store

6.0 Reference Documents

1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ANALYSIS FOR WATER SYSTEM (CEPHA BLOCK)

Background

Bore well is the source of raw water. Bore well water is chlorinated to reduce the microbial load. Chlorinated water is softened and ultra-filtration is done. pH correction and SMBS treatment anti-scalent dosing is done to eliminate chlorine content from soft water. Water is passed through two stage RO membrane filtration and then EDI and. Water is subjected to UV treatment and collected in 5000 ltr. Purified water storage tank. Purified Water is supplied to area in closed loop and return loop is connected to purified water storage tank.

The QRM is prepared for water system at Cepha Block to check the existing system is capable to provide purified water quality with specification.

Effect	Scale	Description
No effect	1	No effect on output
Very slight	2	Customer not annoyed
Slight	3	Slight
Minor	4	Minor effect on performance
Moderate	5	Moderate effect on performance
Significant	6	Partial failure but operable
Major	7	Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe
Extreme	8	Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe
Serious	9	Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure
Hazardous	10	Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure

7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS

7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

Occurrence	Scale	Description			
Almost never 1		Failure unlikely; history shows no failures			
Remote 2		Rare number of historical failure			
Very Slight 3		Very few failures likely			
Slight 4		Few failures likely			





PRE RISK ANALYSIS FOR WATER SYSTEM (CEPHA BLOCK)

Occurrence	Scale	Description					
Low	5	Occasional number of failures likely					
Medium 6		Medium number of failures likely					
Moderately High 7		Moderately high number of failures likely					
High	8	High number of failures likely					
Very High	9	Very high number of failures likely					
Almost certain 10		Failure almost certain					

7.3 Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection Scale		Description					
Almost certain	1	Proven detection methods with high reliability					
Very High	2	Proven detection methods available					
High 3		Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods					
Moderately High 4		Almost certain not to detect failure					
Medium 5		Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect					
Low	6	Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure					
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure					
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure					
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure					
Impossible	10	Failure not detected					

Note: Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.



PRE RISK ANALYSIS FOR WATER SYSTEM (CEPHA BLOCK)

8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMECA

Acceptance criteria for FMECA are as follows:

S.No.	RPN Rating	RPN Category	Action Status			
1.	≥ 76 Critical		CAPA Required			
2.	51 to 75	51 to 75 Major				
3.	26 to 50	Moderate	CAPA Required			
4.	Up to 25	Minor	Not applicable			

Note: Action plan is also required if any of individual score of Severity, Occurrence, and Detection is high i.e. more than 4 (even if RPN is within acceptance criteria).



PRE RISK ANALYSIS FOR WATER SYSTEM (CEPHA BLOCK)

9.0 PRE RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Engineering/Equipment/Process/Operation: WATER SYSTEM

					(0)	lo	(D)		x	Action Results				
S.N	D. Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x I	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
1	Required area not sufficient for Water system (storage, Pre treatment and distribution) Installation.	Area will not be suitable for proper functioning of water system (Pre treatment and distribution)	4	No or less clarity for the selection of water System and required area (Pre treatment and distribution)	4	Approved lay out is in place with dimension and required area.	1	16	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2	Source of water is not available.	Water system cannot be functioning.		No proper or suitable place is identified for bore well to pump out water from ground.		Source of water is identified as bore well water and it is defined in URS. Bore well water will be send for analysis to finalize the design	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	Required Utility (electricity, compressed air) not available.	Water system Cannot be functioning.	6	No or less clarity for the selection of water system (Pre treatment and distribution)	4	Arrangement is made to supply required power to run the system and defined in URS.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ANALYSIS FOR WATER SYSTEM (CEPHA BLOCK)

					()	lo	<u> </u>	((Z		Actio	on Rest	ılts	
S.No	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x D)	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
4	-	Water system pre- treatment cannot be started	6	No or less clarity for the selection of water System and required chemicals. (Pre treatment and distribution)		Vendor is identified for water system and list of chemicals required for pretreatment is Identified.	1	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
5	Wrong system selection in terms of Dimension, design capacity and output (quantity) and quality of pretreatment water	Generated and	4	No or less clarity for the selection of water system (Pre treatment and distribution) required for entire plant.	4	Approved lay out is in place with dimension and required area. Final quality specification is defined in URS. Selection of vendor will be based on his expertise in water system and reputed on the pharmaceutical field.		16	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
6		Accident may happen.1) Effect on human safety. 2) Effect on product quality	2	Safety precautions are not properly defined.		Requirement of safety measures like generation and distribution Is defined in URS.	2	8	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ANALYSIS FOR WATER SYSTEM (CEPHA BLOCK)

						rol		(0	â	v	Action Results				
S.No	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x I	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
7	Required parameter not defined in URS/ URS not proper for system	Systems received are not suitable for proper output of quality with all parameter as per specification. Affect the drug quality.	8	Water quality in URS such as pH, conductivity, TOC and microbiological criteria not defined and not meet quality of water and affect the finish goods product.	2	URS is in place for system with all pre- specified parameter. Specification with test parameters is in place.	1	16	Current control measures are adequate.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA





9.1 REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMECA AFTER ACTION TAKEN:

Action Results										
Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	RPN	Remarks					





10.0 RISK CONTROL MEASURES

Investigation/ findings: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)

			•••••
			•••••
			•••••
			•••••
			•••••
			•••••
			•••••
			•••••
			•••••
Corrective Action: (an extra sheet	can be used if space is insuf	icient)	
,	0 1 00	,	
			•••••
			•••••
			······
			······
			······

(Sign/Date)





11.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION REPORT FOR RISK ASSESSMENT

Summary:
Conclusion:
Conclusion.





12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Department	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Quality assurance				
Production				
Quality control				
Engineering				
Store				
Head-QA				

