

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR COATING MACHINE

RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT BY FMEA

Product/System/Equipment	Auto Coater (60" & 48")
Risk Assessment Report No.	
RISK Assessment Report No.	
Report Date	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR COATING MACHINE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Risk Assessment Approach	4
5.0	Responsibility	4
6.0	Reference Documents	5
7.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	5-6
8.0	Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMEA	7
9.0	Risk assessment as per FMEA	8-11
9.1	Review of Risk assessment as per FMEA after action taken.	12
10.0	Risk Control Measures	13
11.0	Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	14
12.0	Final Report Approval	15



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR COATING MACHINE

DOCUMENT APPROVAL:

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

Responsibility	Department	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Quality assurance			
	Production			
	Quality control			
Reviewed by	Engineering			
	Store			
	Quality assurance			
Approved by	Head-QA			



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR COATING MACHINE

1.0 Introduction

The "Autocoater" is intended to apply a thin layer of coating material on the surface of the core tablet by means of controlling the coating suspension, rate and steam with assurance of product safety.

2.0 Objective

Objective of this report is to assess the risk associated with the equipment Autocoater in pre assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cephal Block of, in line with the guidance of the Risk Management manual ofand ICH Q9.

3.0 Scope

4.0 Risk assessment approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMEA (Failure mode, effects analysis) method.

5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance

Engineering

Production

Quality Control

Store

6.0 Reference Documents

- 1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management
- 2. guidance on Risk assessment.



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR COATING MACHINE

Background	
is intended to start manufacturing of solid oral facility at	
assessment is a part of corporate quality assurance. Pre Quality Risk assessment of "Autocoater "is done	e to
check the system is capable of providing quality product throughout the life cycle of the drug product.	

7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS

7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

Effect	Scale	Description
No effect	1	No effect on output
Very slight	2	Customer not annoyed
Slight	3	Slight
Minor	4	Minor effect on performance
Moderate	5	Moderate effect on performance
Significant	6	Partial failure but operable
Major	7	Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe
Extreme	8	Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe
Serious	9	Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure
Hazardous	10	Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure

7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

Occurrence	Scale	Description
Almost never	1	Failure unlikely; history shows no failures
Remote	2	Rare number of historical failure
Very Slight 3		Very few failures likely
Slight	4	Few failures likely
Low	5	Occasional number of failures likely
Medium	6	Medium number of failures likely
Moderately High	7	Moderately high number of failures likely



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR COATING MACHINE

Occurrence	Scale	Description				
High	h 8 High number of failures likely					
Very High	9	Very high number of failures likely				
Almost certain	10	Failure almost certain				

7.3 Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection	Scale	Description
Almost certain	1	Proven detection methods with high reliability
Very High	2	Proven detection methods available
High	3	Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods
Moderately High 4		Almost certain not to detect failure
Medium	5	Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect
Low	6	Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure
Impossible	10	Failure not detected

Note: Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.

8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMEA

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

S.No.	RPN Rating	RPN Category	Action Status				
1.	≥ 76	Critical	CAPA Required				
2.	51 to 75	Major	CAPA Required				
3.	26 to 50	Moderate	CAPA Required				
4.	Up to 25	Minor	Not applicable				

PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR COATING MACHINE

9.0 PRE-RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Utility/Equipment/Process/Operation: Autocoater

		Potential effect (s) of failure			(0)	trol		D)		Es .		Actio	n Res	ults	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode		Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
1	Required Area (floor, Temperature, RH, Differential pressure) & Air preparation unit not proper for the Autocoater.	Area, Air preparation unit will not be suitable for proper functioning of Equipment.	6	No or less clarity of the product requirement and machine functionality.	3	Approved layout is in place with dimensions & required environmental condition	3	54	Care has to be taken during Area Qualification & equipment qualification	Engineering ,QA, Production ,					
2	Required parameter not defined in URS. / URS not proper for system	Systems not receive suitable for proper output of quality with all parameter as per specification. Affect the product quality.	4	No or less clarity of the product requirement and machine functionality.	3	Preparation of URS before procurement of equipment is in place with all pre-specified parameter.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

			(0	trol)	x D)		Ę,	Action Results					
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	
3	Required utilities (compressed air, purified water, electricity)are not available	Machine will not function as expected.	7	No or less clarity of the product requirement and machine functionality with respect to utility requirement.	2	URS is in place for system with all predefined requirement of utility like water, electricity, compressed air. Chilled water, steam.	1	14	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
4	Wrong machine selection in terms of Dimension, capacity and output.	Installation will be affected if dimension is not considered. Output will also get affected if capacity is not considered.	6	No or less clarity of the machine.	2	URS is in place for dimension, capacity and rated output, baffle arrangement, wash in place of the of the Autocoater	1	12	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
5	MOC and machine contact parts ,Seals & gaskets, tubes not meeting GMP requirement	Not meting GMP requirements and product get affected.	7	No or less clarity of the machine contact part and MOC.	3	URS is in place for MOC (contact part should be of SS316 or 316L and non contact parts will be of SS304 and machine contact parts to fulfill GMP requirements. Gasket. rubber tubes used shall be of food grade rubber.	1	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

				∞		0	trol)	D)		Ę,		Action Results					
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN			
6	Unloading device not provided with equipment	Not meting GMP requirements. Material spillage may occurs	7	No or less clarity of the requirement	3	Unloading device requirement defined in URS	1	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
7	Equipment not received with the process safety measures.	Accident may happen.	10	No or less clarity about equipment safety measures.	2	Requirement of Safety measures like interlocking for Side guard alarm, emergency stop, inlet out let arm seal, Bed temp alarm, APU unit with pre ,fine filter and HEPA, Flame proof motor, differential pressure gauge and scrubber area defined in URS.	1	20	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
8	Other accessories (holding tank, peristaltic pump, stirrer) are not received.	Machine function is not proper	6	No or less clarity about equipment and product safety measures	2	URS is in place for holding tank, peristaltic pump, stirrer facility of Autocoater	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			





PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR COATING MACHINE

9.1 REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA AFTER ACTION TAKEN:

Action Results						
Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detectability	RPN	Remarks	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

10.0 RISK CONTROL MEASURES
Investigation/ findings: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Corrective Action: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)
(Sign/Date)





11.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION REPORT FOR RISK ASSESSMENT					
Summary:					
Conclusion:					



PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR COATING MACHINE

12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Department	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Quality assurance				
Production				
Quality control				
Engineering				
Store				
Head-QA				