

PHARMA DEVILS QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ASSESSMENT FOR FBD

RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT BY FMEA

Product/System/Equipment	FLUID BED DRYER (250 kg & 500 kg)
Risk Assessment Report No.	
Report Date	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ASSESSMENT FOR FBD

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Risk Assessment Approach	4
5.0	Responsibility	4
6.0	Reference Documents	5
7.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	5-6
8.0	Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMEA	7
9.0	Risk assessment as per FMEA	8-11
9.1	Review of Risk assessment as per FMEA after action taken.	12
10.0	Risk Control Measures	13
11.0	Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	14
12.0	Final Report Approval	15



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ASSESSMENT FOR FBD

DOCUMENT APPROVAL:

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

Responsibility	Department	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Quality assurance			
	Production			
	Quality control			
Reviewed by	Engineering			
	Store			
	Quality assurance			
Approved by	Head-QA			



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ASSESSMENT FOR FBD

1.0 Introduction

The "Fluid Bed Dryer" is intended to create a fluidal turbulence in a granulated or powdery wet product by means of hot air (or dehumidified air) flowing in an upward direction & to dry the same to the final required degree in a careful manner with assurance of product safety.

2.0 Objective

3.0 Scope

4.0 Risk assessment approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMEA (Failure mode effects analysis) method.

5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance

Engineering

Production

Quality Control

Store

6.0 Reference Documents

- 1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management
- 2.guidance on Risk assessment.



PRE RISK ASSESSMENT FOR FBD

Bac	kgro	und

7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS

7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

Effect	Scale	Description
No effect	1	No effect on output
Very slight	2	Customer not annoyed
Slight	3	Slight
Minor	4	Minor effect on performance
Moderate	5	Moderate effect on performance
Significant	6	Partial failure but operable
Major	7	Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe
Extreme	8	Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe
Serious	9	Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure
Hazardous	10	Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure

7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

Occurrence	Scale	Description			
Almost never	1	Failure unlikely; history shows no failures			
Remote 2 Rare number of historical failure					
Very Slight 3 V		Very few failures likely			
Slight	4	Few failures likely			
Low	5	Occasional number of failures likely			
Medium	6	Medium number of failures likely			



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ASSESSMENT FOR FBD

Occurrence	Scale	Description
Moderately High	7	Moderately high number of failures likely
High	8	High number of failures likely
Very High	9	Very high number of failures likely
Almost certain	10	Failure almost certain

7.3 Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection	Scale	Description			
Almost certain	1	Proven detection methods with high reliability			
Very High	2	Proven detection methods available			
High	3	Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods			
Moderately High	4	Almost certain not to detect failure			
Medium	5	Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect			
Low	6	Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure			
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure			
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure			
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure			
Impossible	10	Failure not detected			

Note: Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.

8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMEA

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

S.No.	RPN Rating	RPN Category	Action Status
1.	≥ 76	Critical	CAPA Required
2.	51 to 75	Major	CAPA Required
3.	26 to 50	Moderate	CAPA Required
4.	Up to 25	Minor	Not applicable



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ASSESSMENT FOR FBD

9.0 PRE-RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Utility/Equipment/Process/Operation: Fluid Bed Dryer

			(S)		(O) a	ntrol		x D)		lity D		Acti	on Resu	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure0000000	Severity	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	Detection RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
1	Required Area(floor, Temperature, RH, Differential pressure, Air preparation unit) not proper for the FBD	Area will not be suitable for proper functioning of Equipment.	6	No or less clarity of the product requirement and machine functionality.	3	Approved layout is in place with dimensions & required environmental condition	3	54	Care has to be taken during Area Qualification	Engineering , QA, Production,	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2	Required parameter not defined in URS / URS not proper for system	Systems not receive suitable for proper output of quality with all parameter as per specification. Affect the product quality.	4	No or less clarity of the product requirement and machine functionality.	3	Preparation of URS before procurement of equipment is in place with all pre-specified parameter.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ASSESSMENT FOR FBD

			(S)		e (O)	ntrol	(D)	x D)		lity D		Acti	on Resu	lts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure0000000	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
3	Required utilities (compressed air, purified water, electricity, chilled water steam) are not available	Machine will not function as expected.	7	No or less clarity of the product requirement and machine functionality with respect to utility requirement.	2	URS is in place for system with all predefined requirement of utility like water, electricity, compressed air.	1	14	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
4	Wrong machine selection in terms of Dimension, capacity and output.	Installation will be affected if dimension is not considered. Output will also get affected if capacity is not considered.	6	No or less clarity of the machine.	2	URS is in place for dimension, capacity and rated output of the of the FBD	1	12	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
5	MOC and machine contact parts not meeting GMP requirement	Not meeting GMP requirements and product get affected.	7	No or less clarity of the machine contact part and MOC.	3	URS is in place for MOC (contact part should be of SS316 or 316L and non contact parts will be of SS304 and machine contact parts to fulfill GMP requirements. Gasket used shall be of food grade material.	1	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ASSESSMENT FOR FBD

			(S)		(0)	ntrol	(D)	x D)		lity)		Acti	on Resu	on Results		
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure0000000	Severity (Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	
6	Equipment not received with the safety measures.	Accident may happen. Effect on human safety. Effect on Product safety	10	No or less clarity about equipment safety measures.	2	Requirement of Safety measures like interlocking for, earthing, Emergency stop, Explosion valve, SFM, inlet outlet and bed temperature sensor with alarm ,APU(consist of pre, fine filter and HEPA) inter lock alarm is defined in URS.	1	20	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
7	Flame proof motors,& Explosion flaps not provided	Accident may happen	10	Inadequate knowledge	2	Details are defined in URs	1	20	Controlled measures are in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	





PRE RISK ASSESSMENT FOR FBD

9.1 REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA AFTER ACTION TAKEN:

Action Results

Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detectability	RPN	Remarks
10.0 RISK CONTROL MEASURES					
Investigation/ findings: (an extra sheet	t can be used if	space is insuff	icient)		
		•••••	•••••	•••••	
	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	
		•••••	•••••	•••••	
	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	
		•••••	•••••	•••••	
		•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Corrective Action: (an extra sheet can	he used if space	re is insufficien	<i>t</i>)		
Corrective rections (with extinuity streets early					
	•••••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • •	••••••
•••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • •	••••••
	••••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••
	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••••
	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••••
	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • •	••••••
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

(Sign/Date)





QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

11.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION REPORT FOR RISK ASSESSMENT						
Summary:						
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••						
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••						
Conclusion:						





PRE RISK ASSESSMENT FOR FBD

12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Department	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Quality assurance				
Production				
Quality control				
Engineering				
Store				
Head-QA				