



RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT BY FMEA

Product/System/Equipment	PASTE KETTLE (250 LTR.)
Risk Assessment Report No.	
Report Date	
Report Dute	



PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR PASTE KETTLE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Risk Assessment Approach	4
5.0	Responsibility	4
6.0	Reference Documents	5
7.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	5-6
8.0	Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMEA	7
9.0	Risk assessment as per FMEA	8-11
9.1	Review of Risk assessment as per FMEA after action taken.	12
10.0	Risk Control Measures	13
11.0	Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	14
12.0	Final Report Approval	15



PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR PASTE KETTLE

DOCUMENT APPROVAL:

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

Responsibility	Department	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Quality assurance			
	Production			
	Quality control			
Reviewed by	Engineering			
	Store			
	Quality assurance			
Approved by	Head-QA			



1.0 Introduction

The "Paste Kettle" is intended for Mixing and paste preparation with assurance of product safety. The paste preparation is done by mixing the slurry with water by moving the agitator on its own axis. It consists of a jacketed & insulated bowl welded to cylindrical shell.

2.0 Objective

Objective of this report is to assess the risk associated with the equipment "Paste Kettle" in pre assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cepha Block at, in line with the guidance of the Risk Management manual of and ICH Q9.

3.0 Scope

The scope of this document is limited to the design, installation, operation, performance and safety of equipment "Paste Kettle" system and define its failure mode at pre assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cepha Block at

4.0 Risk assessment approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMEA (Failure mode effects analysis) method.

5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance Engineering Production Quality Control Store

6.0 Reference Documents

- 1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management
- 2.guidance on Risk assessment.



Background

7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS

7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

Effect	Scale	Description					
No effect	1	No effect on output					
Very slight	2	Customer not annoyed					
Slight	3	Slight					
Minor	4	Minor effect on performance					
Moderate	5	Moderate effect on performance					
Significant	6	Partial failure but operable					
Major	7	Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe					
Extreme	8	Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe					
Serious	9	Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure					
Hazardous	10	Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure					

7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

Occurrence	Scale	Description						
Almost never	1	Failure unlikely; history shows no failures						
Remote 2 Rare number of historical failure								
Very Slight 3		Very few failures likely						
Slight	4	Few failures likely						
Low	5	Occasional number of failures likely						
Medium	6	Medium number of failures likely						



Occurrence	Scale	Description
Moderately High	7	Moderately high number of failures likely
High	8	High number of failures likely
Very High	9	Very high number of failures likely
Almost certain	10	Failure almost certain

7.3 Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection	Scale	Description					
Almost certain	1	Proven detection methods with high reliability					
Very High	2	Proven detection methods available					
High	3	Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods					
Moderately High	4	Almost certain not to detect failure					
Medium	5	Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect					
Low	6	Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure					
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure					
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure					
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure					
Impossible	10	Failure not detected					

Note: Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.

8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMEA

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

S.No.	RPN Rating	RPN Category	Action Status
1.	≥76	Critical	CAPA Required
2.	51 to 75	Major	CAPA Required
3.	26 to 50	Moderate	CAPA Required
4.	Up to 25	Minor	Not applicable





QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR PASTE KETTLE

9.0 PRE-RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Utility/Equipment/Process/Operation: PASTE KETTLE

			(S)		(O)	Control	(D)	X D)		lity)		Acti	on Resu	lts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure			Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN		
1	Required Area (floor, Temperature, RH, Differential pressure) not proper for the Paste kettle.	Area will not be suitable for proper functioning of Equipment.	6	No or less clarity of the product requirement and machine functionality.	3	Approved layout is in place with dimensions & required environmental condition	3	54	Care has to be taken during Area Qualification	Engineering, QA, Production	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2	Required parameter not defined in URS/URS not proper for system	Systems not receive suitable for proper output of quality with all parameter as per specification. Affect the product quality.	4	No or less clarity of the product requirement and machine functionality.	3	Preparation of URS before procurement of equipment is in place with all pre-specified parameter.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	Required utilities (Steam, purified water, electricity)are not available	Machine will not function as expected.	7	No or less clarity of the product requirement and machine functionality with respect to utility requirement.	2	URS is in place for system with all predefined requirement of utility like water, electricity, steam.	1	14	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA





QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR PASTE KETTLE

			(S)		e (0)	ntrol	Detection (D)	x D)		lity)		Acti	on Resu	lts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode			Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Control		RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
4	Wrong machine selection in terms of Dimension, capacity and output.	Installation will be affected if dimension is not considered. Output will also get affected if capacity is not considered.	6	No or less clarity of the machine.	2	URS is in place for dimension, capacity and rated output of the of the paste kettle.	1	12	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
5	MOC and machine contact parts , not meeting GMP requirement	Not meting GMP requirements and product get affected.	7	No or less clarity of the machine contact part and MOC.	3	URS is in place for MOC (contact part should be of SS316 or 316L and non contact parts will be of SS304 and machine contact parts to fulfill GMP requirements.	1	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
6	Material discharging / unloading method not by tumbling the vessel	Spillage of the material happen during discharging.	10	No or less clarity of the machine	2	Requirement of tumbling the vessel is defined in URS	1	20	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA





QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR PASTE KETTLE

			(S)		(O)	ntrol	(Ê	x D)		lity)		Acti	on Resu	lts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Co	Detection	RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
7	Equipment not received with the process safety measures.	Accident may happen.	10	No or less clarity about equipment safety measures.	2	Requirement of Safety measures like Earthing, Temperature controller, Emergency stop, Steam pressure gauge, Safety valve is defined in URS.	1	20	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
8	Provision for insulated steam line	Accident may happen.	9	No or less clarity about equipment safety measures.	2	Provision for insulation to be defined in URS	2	36	Insulation of steam line to be done	Engineering, roduction,	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA



PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR PASTE KETTLE

9.1 REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA AFTER ACTION TAKEN:

Action Results										
Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detectability	RPN	Remarks					

10.0 RISK CONTROL MEASURES

Investigation/ findings: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)

	••••••			
Corrective Action:	(an extra sheet can b	be used if space is	insufficient)	
				 •••••

(Sign/Date)



QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

11.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION REPORT FOR RISK ASSESSMENT

Summary:	 •••••
	 •••
•••••	 •••
Conclusion:.	 •
•••••	 •••
•••••	 •••
•••••	 ••••
	•••
	 ••••



12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Department	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Quality assurance				
Production				
Quality control				
Engineering				
Store				
Head-QA				