

# PHARMA DEVILS QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

#### RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT BY FMEA

Product/System/Equipment	RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR
	(600 LTR. & 1200 LTR.)
Risk Assessment Report No.	
Report Date	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

S.No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Risk Assessment Approach	4
5.0	Responsibility	4
6.0	Reference Documents	5
7.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	5
8.0	Acceptance Criteria for risk assessment by FMEA	7
9.0	Risk assessment as per FMEA	8
9.1	Review of Risk assessment as per FMEA after action taken.	12
10.0	Risk Control Measures	13
11.0	Summary and Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	14
12.0	Final Report Approval	15



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

## PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

#### **DOCUMENT APPROVAL:**

This risk analysis study for the preapproval of report by following:

Responsibility	Department	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Quality assurance			
	Production			
	Quality control			
Reviewed by	Engineering			
	Store			
	Quality assurance			
Approved by	Head-QA			



#### PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

#### 1.0 Introduction

THE "Rapid Mixer Granulator" is intended for the manufacturing of oral dosage form. The goal is to obtain uniform mixing of drug and excipient in Dry granulation as well as Wet granulation by adding binder to achieve desired granules with assurance of product quality & safety.

#### 2.0 Objective

#### 3.0 Scope

The scope of this document is limited to the design, installation, operation, performance and safety of equipment "Rapid Mixer Granulator" and define its failure mode at pre assessment in the manufacturing facility of Cepha Block at .............

#### 4.0 Risk assessment approach

Risk assessment is carried out as per FMEA (Failure mode, effects analysis) method.

#### 5.0 Responsibility

Quality Assurance

Engineering

Production

**Quality Control** 

Store

#### **6.0** Reference Documents

- 1. ICH Q9-Quality Risk Management
- 2. .....pharmaceutical guidance on Risk assessment.



#### PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

Background	ł
------------	---

#### 7.0 RISK RANKING PARAMETERS

#### 7.1 Rating parameters for Severity

Effect	Scale	Description
No effect	1	No effect on output
Very slight	2	Customer not annoyed
Slight	3	Slight
Minor	4	Minor effect on performance
Moderate 5		Moderate effect on performance
Significant	6	Partial failure but operable
Major	7	Product performance severely affected, but some operability and safe
Extreme	8	Very dissatisfied, product inoperable but safe
Serious	9	Potentially hazardous effect, time-dependent failure
Hazardous	10	Hazardous effect, safety related sudden failure

#### 7.2 Rating parameters for Occurrence

Occurrence	Scale	Description
Almost never	1	Failure unlikely; history shows no failures
Remote	2	Rare number of historical failure
Very Slight	3	Very few failures likely
Slight	4	Few failures likely
Low 5		Occasional number of failures likely
Medium	6	Medium number of failures likely



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

#### PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

Occurrence	Scale	Description
Moderately High	7	Moderately high number of failures likely
High	8	High number of failures likely
Very High	9	Very high number of failures likely
Almost certain	10	Failure almost certain

#### 7.3 Rating parameters for Detection control

Detection	Scale	Description					
Almost certain	1	Proven detection methods with high reliability					
Very High	2	Proven detection methods available					
High	3	Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods					
Moderately High	4	Almost certain not to detect failure					
Medium	5	Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting defect					
Low	6	Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure					
Slight	7	Detection tools may not detect failure					
Very Slight	8	Detection tools will probably not detect failure					
Remote	9	Detection tools most likely will not detect failure					
Impossible	10	Failure not detected					

**Note:** Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.

#### 8.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR RISK ASSESSMENT BY FMEA

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

S.No.	RPN Rating	RPN Category	Action Status
1.	≥ 76	Critical	CAPA Required
2.	51 to 75	Major	CAPA Required
3.	26 to 50	Moderate	CAPA Required
4.	Up to 25	Minor	Not applicable



#### PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

#### 9.0 PRE-RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA:

Name of facility/Utility/Equipment/Process/Operation: Rapid Mixer Granulator

										<b>S</b>		0	ntrol	(D)	x D)		ity		Acti	on Resi	ults	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (S)	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection	RPN (S x O	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN							
1	Required Area (floor, Temperature, RH, Differential pressure) not proper for the RMG	Area will not be suitable for proper functioning of Equipment.	6	No or less clarity of the product requirement and machine functionality.	3	Approved layout is in place with dimensions & required environmental condition	3	54	Care has to be taken during Area Qualification													
2	Required parameter not defined in URS. URS not proper for system	Systems not receive suitable for proper output of quality with all parameter as per specification. Affect the product quality.	4	No or less clarity of the product requirement and machine functionality.	3	Preparation of URS before procurement of equipment is in place with all pre- specified parameter.	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							
3	Required utilities (compressed air, purified water, electricity) are not available	Machine will not function as expected.	7	No or less clarity of the product requirement and machine functionality with respect to utility requirement.	2	URS is in place for system with all predefined requirement of utility like water, electricity, compressed air.	1	14	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

### PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

			(S)		(0)	ıtrol	<b>D</b> )	x D)		ity		Acti	on Resu	ılts	
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence (O)	Current Control	Detection (D)	RPN (S x O x	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN
4	Wrong machine selection in terms of Dimension, capacity and output.	Installation will be affected if dimension is not considered. Output will also get affected if capacity is not considered.	6	No or less clarity of the machine.	2	URS is in place for dimension, capacity and rated output of the of the RMG	1	12	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
5	MOC and machine contact parts not meeting GMP requirement	Not meeting GMP requirements and product get affected.	7	No or less clarity of the machine contact part and MOC.	3	URS is in place for MOC (contact part should be of SS316 or 316L and non contact parts will be of SS304 and machine contact parts to fulfill GMP requirements. Gasket used shall be of food grade rubber.	1	21	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
6	Impeller Up & down movement not provided	Improper cleaning and product get affected.	4	No or less clarity about equipment	3	Requirement of Impeller Up & down movement is defined in URS	2	24	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

### PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

			(S)		<b>(</b> 0)	ntrol	(D)	x D)		lity	Action Results					
S.No.	Potential Failure Mode	Potential effect (s) of failure	Severity (	Potential cause/ Mechanism of failure	Occurrence	Current Co	Detection (	RPN (S x O y	Recommended action	Responsibility and TCD	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	
7	Equipment not received with the safety measures.	Accident may happen.	10	No or less clarity about equipment safety measures.	2	Requirement of Safety measures like interlocking for purging air, LID, Side guard, discharge port is defined in URS.	1	20	Current control measures are adequate	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	



# PHARMA DEVILS QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

### PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

Remarks

(Sign/Date)

#### 9.1 REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENT AS PER FMEA AFTER ACTION TAKEN:

**Action Results** 

	Action Results	•			Kemai Ks			
Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detectability	RPN	-			
10.0 RISK CONTROL MEASURES								
Investigation/ findings: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)								
•••••	•••••	•••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••			
	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••			
	••••••		•••••	•••••	•••••			
	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••			
	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••			
Corrective Action: (an extra sheet can be used if space is insufficient)								
			•••••	•••••				
••••••	•	••••••••	••••••	•••••	••••••			
•••••	•••••	•••••••	••••••	•••••	••••••			
	•••••		••••••	•••••	••••••			
	•••••		•••••	•••••	•••••			





## PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

11.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION REPORT FOR RISK ASSESSMENT					
Summary:					
Conclusion:					



## PHARMA DEVILS QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

#### PRE RISK ASSESSEMENT FOR RMG

#### 12.0 FINAL REPORT APPROVAL:

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Department	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Quality assurance				
Production				
Quality control				
Utility				
Store				
Head-QA				