

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

**RISK ASSESSMENT FOR BLISTER PACKING MACHINE** 



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

#### RISK ASSESSMENT FOR BLISTER PACKING MACHINE

#### **Table of Contents**

| 1   | Introduction                  | 3   |
|-----|-------------------------------|-----|
|     | Aim of Risk Assessment        |     |
| 3   | Reference Documents/ Drawings | 3   |
| 4   | Equipment/System Description  |     |
| 5   | Participants                  | 4   |
| 6   | Risk Management Process       | 4   |
| 6.1 | Identifying GMP risk          | 5   |
| 6.2 | Risk Analysis & Evaluation    | 6   |
| 7   | Risk Assessment               | 7   |
| 8   | Summary & Conclusion          | 31  |
| 9   | Abbreviations                 | .31 |
| 10  | Revision History              | 32  |



#### RISK ASSESSMENT FOR BLISTER PACKING MACHINE

#### 1.0 Introduction

According to the definition, given in Annex 15 to the EU-GMP-Guide, a Risk Assessment is a method to assess and characterize the critical parameters in the functionality of an equipment or process. Therefore, risk assessment is a key element in the qualification and validation approach.

In the project context, risk assessment for the equipment is performed as basic GMP/EHS-Risk Assessment, which shall help to identify important GMP/EHS-requirements.

#### 2.0 Aim of Risk Assessment

At the very basic stage of design the Risk Assessment is carried out to verify that all features are taken into consideration to avoid the risk of failure of critical GMP and EHS parameter in the equipment.

During study, all GMP, EHS and operational parameters will be identified and assessed for the risk, appropriate mitigation will be proposed and verification point will be identified and defined.

The Risk Assessment report is produced to provide the documented evidence that design concepts or requirement are complete in considering all GMP, EHS and operational risks.

#### 3.0 Reference Documents/Drawings

| S.No. | Document Title         | Document Number |
|-------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 1.    | Validation master plan |                 |

#### 4.0 Equipment/ System Description

This risk assessment is conducted for a blister packing machine consisting of the following main components:

Blister packing machine is employed for secondary packing of Ampoules. Ampoules are fed into the hoppers of the blister packing machine manually. The hopper would be equipped with view glass to check the product levels. The foils or PVC/PVDC film roll are loaded onto the respective rollers in the equipment. By the means of heat and/or vacuum blisters are formed in the foil which are later on filled with ampoules from hopper, then appropriate Aluminium foil is sealed by means of heat and pressure on the web carrying ampoules then web is cut into predefined format to produce definite blister pack.

PLC Control system is rendered to regulate all critical parameters and generate alarm in case of variations from the set parameters. The blistered ampoules shall be collated manually and conveyed to the packing line for the further action.

In this GMP risk analysis all critical components of the Blister packing machine, based on the technical details, are listed and rated according to their influence of the product quality, EHS and operational requirements.

Most of the possible risk concerning the handling/operation of the blister packing machine has been considered in this RA document.



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

#### **RISK ASSESSMENT FOR BLISTER PACKING MACHINE**

#### 5.0 Participants

| Name | Designation/Department | Signature/Date |
|------|------------------------|----------------|
|      |                        |                |
|      |                        |                |
|      |                        |                |
|      |                        |                |
|      |                        |                |
|      |                        |                |
|      |                        |                |

#### 6.0 Risk Management Process

A typical Risk management process consists of following steps:

- Risk Assessment:
  - ➤ Risk Identification
  - ➤ Risk Analysis
  - ➤ Risk Evaluation
- Risk Control
  - ➤ Risk Reduction
  - Risk Acceptance
- Result of Risk management processes
- Risk Review
- Risk Assessment consists of the identification of hazards and the analysis and evaluation of risks associated with exposure to those hazards.

Risk identification is a systematic use of information to identify hazards referring to the risk question or problem description.

Risk analysis is the estimation of the risk associated with the identified hazards. It is the qualitative or quantitative process of linking the likelihood of occurrence and severity of harm.

Risk evaluation compares the identified and analyzed risk against given risk criteria. Risk evaluation considers the strength of evidence for all three of the fundamental questions.

The output of a risk assessment is either a quantitative estimate of risk or a qualitative description of range of risk. In case of qualitative description the risk is expressed using descriptors such as "high", "medium" or "low".

- Risk control includes decision making to reduce and/ or accept risks. The purpose of risk control is to reduce the risk to an acceptable level. The amount of effort used of risk control should be proportional to the significance of the risk.
  - Risk reduction focuses on processes for mitigation or avoidance of quality risk when it exceeds a specified (acceptable) level. Risk reduction might include actions taken to mitigate the severity and probability of harm.

Risk acceptance is a decision to accept risk. Risk acceptance can be a formal decision to accept the residual risk or it can be a passive decision in which residual risks are not specified.



#### **RISK ASSESSMENT FOR BLISTER PACKING MACHINE**

- The output/result of the quality risk management process should be appropriately communicated and documented.
- Risk management should be an ongoing part of the quality management process. A mechanism to review or monitor events should be implemented.
- The output/ results of the risk management process should be reviewed to take into account new knowledge and experience.
- Risk management should be an ongoing part of the quality management process. A mechanism to review or monitor events should be implemented.

The output/results of the risk management process should be reviewed to take into account new Knowledge and experience.

This document applies the risk management principles to identify the risks associated with the design, Construction and operational features of the proposed sterile formulation facility.

The objectives of this risk assessment are to:

- Review the design around a structured methodology
- Identify the failure modes and associated risks
- Check if the proposed control measures are adequate
- Identify recommendations to obtain a more acceptable risk level if required

#### 6.1 Identifying GMP risk

Identification of Risk associated with the equipment, is generally based on prior experience and the concerns of the participants of risk assessment document.

The risks identified are categorized as "GMP risk" or "Non-GMP risk".

GMP is defined as "the practices which ensures that products are consistently produced and controlled to the quality standards appropriate to their intended use and as required by the marketing authorization."

Thus, GMP covers all aspects of the manufacturing process: defined manufacturing process; validated critical manufacturing steps; suitable premises, storage, transport; qualified and trained production and quality control personnel; adequate laboratory facilities; approved written procedures and instructions; records to show all steps of defined procedures have been taken; full traceability of a product through batch records and distribution records; and systems for recall and investigation of complaints.

Thus those risks which might have a direct or indirect impact on the quality of the product are classified as "GMP risk". Also, those risks which might result in regulatory guidelines non-compliance are also classified as "GMP risk".

For example: The Hygiene level of the manufacturing areas has a direct impact on the quality of the Product. Thus, it is classified as GMP risk.

The "Non GMP" risks include risks related to EHS, operational and other non-critical hazards.

Following types of risks are mainly identified during risk assessment process:

- Risk related to hygiene level of the manufacturing and supporting areas
- Risks related to appropriate utilities and their control (eg. power source, compressed air etc.)
- Risks related to calibration/ preventive maintenance
- Risks related to protection the environment and health & safety of personnel.
- Risks related to cleaning & sterilization



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

#### **RISK ASSESSMENT FOR BLISTER PACKING MACHINE**

- Risks related to unidirectional flow of the material
- Risks related to cross contamination of the products
- Risks related to entry and exit of personnel and material.
- Risks related to all the environment features of the manufacturing areas.
- Risks related to requirement of particular rooms for different activities.
- Risks related to environment health and safety of personnel.

#### 6.2 RISK ANALYSIS & EVALUATION

The risk analysis is performed using a qualitative basis of approach.

Qualitative analysis uses word form or descriptive scales to describe the magnitude of potential consequences/impact and the likelihood that those consequences will occur.

The qualitative measures of likelihood includes descriptors like "Unlikely", "Possible" and "Likely", whereas the qualitative measures of consequence/ impact includes descriptors like "Minor", "Moderate" and "Major".

#### Qualitative measures of likelihood

| Level | Descriptor | Example detail description                |
|-------|------------|---|
| 1     | Unlikely   | May occur at some time                    |
| 2     | Possible   | Might occur at some time                  |
| 3     | Likely     | Will probably occur in most circumstances |

#### Qualitative measures of consequence/impact

| Level | Descriptor | Example detail description   |
|-------|------------|--|
| 1     | Minor      | <ul> <li>No impact on the product quality or outcome of the equipment.</li> <li>Features required for easing equipment operation.</li> </ul>   |
| 2     | Moderate   | <ul> <li>No direct impact on product quality/ outcome of equipment. However may indirectly affect the product quality.</li> <li>Minor effect on personnel health</li> <li>Used in the initial stage of operation, however it may affect the final output but those are not used for final release of output.</li> <li>Effect on environment such as clean room.</li> </ul>   |
| 3     | Major      | <ul> <li>Features having direct impact on product quality/ outcome of equipment like contact parts MOC, Surface finish, Control system, Process air quality etc.</li> <li>Failure could lead to regulatory non-compliance.</li> <li>Loss/ damage to equipment or its critical sub-components</li> <li>Critical instruments not calibrated or not of desired range or accuracy.</li> <li>Proper supporting documentation not provided.</li> <li>Major effect on personnel health</li> </ul> |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMEN

#### RISK ASSESSMENT FOR BLISTER PACKING MACHINE

Based on the above parameters of likelihood and consequence a qualitative risk analysis matrix is prepared to identify the overall Level of Risk, as mentioned in table below.

#### Qualitative risk analysis matrix – level of risk

| Likelihood   | Consequences/ Impact |              |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|----------------------|--------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Likeiiilouu  | 1 – Minor            | 2 – Moderate | 3 – Major |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 (Unlikely) | Low                  | Medium       | High      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 (Possible) | Low                  | Medium       | High      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 (Likely)   | Medium               | High         | High      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The final Risk level shall thus be described using descriptors such as "Low", "Medium" & "High", where each descriptor implies the following meaning:

**Low** – Risk can be accepted or ignored. These do not affect the final quality of the equipment/ system and it can be managed by routine procedures and are unlikely to need specific application of resources.

**Medium** – Risk required ongoing monitoring and review, to ensure level of risk does not increase. Otherwise managed by routine procedures.

**High** – Action plans must be developed, with clear assignments of individual responsibilities and timeframes.

#### 7.0 Risk Assessment

In the following section a table is produced for the risk assessment. The significance or instruction for each column is described in the following paragraph.

Column 1 : **Serial number** of the Risk assessment item

Column 2 : Process step/Component: Identify the process step or component associated with

the risk.

Column 3 : **Risks:** Identify the type of risk associated with the process or component

Column 4 : Verify that whether risk have **GMP impact** in terms of Yes/No.

Column 5 : **Justification:** Provide justification for declaring both Yes/No for GMP impact in

column 4.

Column 6 : For the risk **other than of GMP impact**, write that what is/ are the type of risks e.g.

EHS, operational, etc.

Column 7 : **Justification:** Provide justification for considering the risk.

Column 8 : **Risk level:** Determine the risk level as High, Medium or low based on the impact.

Column 9 : **Risk Control:** It is further divided into the following three sections:

Column 9a : **Mitigation Method:** Write the risk mitigation strategy as considered in the design.



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

#### RISK ASSESSMENT FOR BLISTER PACKING MACHINE

Column 9b : **Residual risk level:** After the risk mitigation what is the residual risk level, whether

it is Acceptable, Low or Medium.

Column 9c : Test document: Write the test point where the risk mitigation strategy will be

verified.

Column 10 : Status of RA: Mention the status of the Risk assessment point i.e. whether it is

'Closed" or "Open", after the execution/ approval of the Test document.



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

|    |                           | Risk (3)  | GMP                   | Justification (5)  | Other Risk      | Justification  | Risk         | KISK CO   | ntrol (9)                      |                   | Status of |
|----|---------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|-----------------|--|--------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|    | steps/component (2)       |   | Risk<br>Yes/No<br>(4) |  | type (6)        | (7)  | Level<br>(8) | Mitigation Method (9a)  | Residual<br>risk level<br>(9b) | Verification (9c) | RA (10)   |
|    |                           |   |                       |  | Cha             | rging  |              |   |                                |                   |           |
| 1. | Charging<br>Conveyor      | Vials/ampoules are<br>not available on<br>charging conveyor | Yes                   | Empty blisters or<br>blisters with<br>missed<br>ampoules/vials<br>may be produced. | Operationa<br>l | Product loss   | Mediu<br>m   | View glass shall be provided for assessing the product presence on the conveyor.     Alarm shall be generated in case of low or no vials/ampoules available on charging conveyor with machine stoppage. | Acceptable                     | IQ & OQ           |           |
| 2. | Feeding of ampoules/vials | Feed rate cannot be controlled                              | No                    | Does not impact quality of the product   | Operationa<br>1 | Uncontrolled<br>feeding of<br>product will<br>lead to<br>frequent<br>breakdown<br>and loss of<br>productivity. | Mediu<br>m   | Feed rate should be controlled with control of vibration intensity and sensor should be placed to maintain the level of ampoules/vials in the distribution plate.                                       | Acceptable                     | IQ & OQ           |           |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

| S.No (1) | Process             | Risk (3)  | GMP                   | Justification (5)                                | Other Risk      | Justification  | Risk       | Risk Co   | ontrol (9)                     |                   | Status of |
|----------|---------------------|---|-----------------------|--|-----------------|--|------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|          | steps/component (2) |   | Risk<br>Yes/No<br>(4) |  | type (6)        | (7)  | Level (8)  | Mitigation Method (9a)  | Residual<br>risk level<br>(9b) | Verification (9c) | RA (10)   |
| 3.       | Change parts        | Provision for change parts is not provided.   | No                    | Does not affect<br>the quality of<br>the product | Operation<br>al | Blister packing of ampoules of different sizes and in variable blister format is not feasible. | Mediu<br>m | Machine should be provided with required change parts to pack the ampoules in desired format. | Acceptable                     | IQ                |           |
| 4.       | Process             | Process parameters such as machine speed, sealing temperature, forming temperature, pressure, vacuum etc. cannot be monitored and controlled. | Yes                   | Operation cannot be run in control.              | No              | NA   | High       | Process parameters will be displayed and control by PLC/HMI.                                  | Acceptable                     | IQ & OQ           |           |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

| S.No (1) | Process               | Risk (3)   | GMP                   | Justification (5)   | Other Risk | Justification | Risk      | Risk Co  | ontrol (9)                     |                   | Status of |
|----------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|---|------------|---------------|-----------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|          | steps/component (2)   |  | Risk<br>Yes/No<br>(4) |   | type (6)   | (7)           | Level (8) | Mitigation Method (9a)   | Residual<br>risk level<br>(9b) | Verification (9c) | RA (10)   |
| 5.       | In-process<br>control | Blisters with empty<br>pocket are found<br>with the good<br>blisters | Yes                   | It will produce incorrect blister quantity leading to market complaint.                   | No         | NA            | High      | Non fill detection (NFD) system shall be installed to the machine.   | Acceptable                     | IQ & OQ           |           |
| 6.       | In-process<br>control | Over-printing is incorrect or illegible.                             | Yes                   | Incorrect or illegible printed matter will mislead the patient, lead to market complaint. | No         | NA            | High      | Checking of printed matter and overprinted matter will be done initially and intermittently during entire operation with online camera system.      SOP shall be prepared for In process Checking. | Acceptable                     | OQ &<br>SOP       |           |
| 7.       | Lubricant<br>System   | Lubricant migrates into product                                      | Yes                   | It will cause contamination   | No         | NA            | High      | <ul> <li>Lubrication system<br/>must be leak proof.</li> <li>Food grade lubricant<br/>shall be used.</li> </ul>  | Acceptable                     | OQ                |           |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

| S.No (1) | Process                       | Risk (3)  | GMP                   | Justification (5)                                    | Other Risk      | Justification                                       | Risk         |  | ontrol (9)                     |                   | Status of |
|----------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|-----------------|---|--------------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|          | steps/component (2)           |   | Risk<br>Yes/No<br>(4) |  | type (6)        | (7)   | Level<br>(8) | Mitigation Method (9a)   | Residual<br>risk level<br>(9b) | Verification (9c) | RA (10)   |
| 8.       | Foil<br>dispensing<br>station | Absence of foil cannot be assessed              | No                    | Does not affect<br>the quality of the<br>product     | Operation<br>al | Machine will run without foil leading to breakdown. | Low          | <ul> <li>Foil detection sensor to be considered in the design.</li> <li>Alarm shall be generated with stoppage of machine in case of absence of foil.</li> </ul> | Acceptable                     | IQ & OQ           |           |
| 9.       | Overprinting                  | Overprinting is not feasible                    | Yes                   | Batch identity<br>cannot be<br>overprinted.          | No              | NA  | High         | Provision for overprinting unit to be considered in the design.  | Acceptable                     | IQ & OQ           |           |
| 10.      | Blister cutting               | Blisters are cut with undesired printed matter. | Yes                   | Product may be produced with incomplete information. | No              | NA  | High         | Finished blisters will<br>be checked<br>intermittently during<br>operation as per In<br>process check SOPs.  | Acceptable                     | OQ &<br>SOP       |           |
| 11.      | Blister<br>counting           | Blister output<br>cannot be<br>assessed.        | Yes                   | Yield cannot be monitored.                           | No              | NA  | Mediu<br>m   | Blister counter to be<br>considered in the<br>design   | Acceptable                     | IQ & OQ           |           |
|          |                               |   |                       |  | Dischar         | ge  |              |  |                                |                   |           |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

#### RISK ASSESSMENT FOR BLISTER PACKING MACHINE

| S.No (1) | Process         | Risk (3)           | GMP           | Justification (5) | Other Risk | Justification | Risk  | Risk Co                  | ontrol (9)         |              | Status of |
|----------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|-------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------|
|          | steps/component |                    | Risk          |                   | type (6)   | (7)           | Level | Mitigation Method (9a)   | Residual           | Verification | RA (10)   |
|          | (2)             |                    | Yes/No<br>(4) |                   |            |               | (8)   |                          | risk level<br>(9b) | (9c)         |           |
| 12.      | Blister         | Blisters cannot be | Yes           | Unarranged        | No         | NA            | Mediu | Blister collator with    | Acceptable         | IQ & OQ      |           |
|          | collation       | collated           |               | discharge of      |            |               | m     | compatibility to blister |                    |              |           |
|          |                 |                    |               | blister will lead |            |               |       | format shall be          |                    |              |           |
|          |                 |                    |               | to punctured      |            |               |       | considered.              |                    |              |           |
|          |                 |                    |               | blister.          |            |               |       |                          |                    |              |           |
| 13.      | Reject blister  | Rejected blisters  | Yes           | Blisters with     | No         | NA            | Mediu | Rejected blister chute   | Acceptable         | IQ & OQ      |           |
|          | discharge       | are mixed with     |               | empty pocket      |            |               | m     | should be provided       |                    |              |           |
|          |                 | good blisters.     |               | may go with       |            |               |       | with rejection           |                    |              |           |
|          |                 |                    |               | good blisters     |            |               |       | confirmation system. It  |                    |              |           |
|          |                 |                    |               | lead to market    |            |               |       | will be attached to the  |                    |              |           |
|          |                 |                    |               | complaint.        |            |               |       | rejection box.           |                    |              |           |
|          |                 |                    |               | <b>T</b>          | winment Co | 4 4.          |       |                          |                    |              |           |

**Equipment Construction** 



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

| S.No (1) | Process                     | Risk (3)   | GMP                   | Justification (5)                                      | Other Risk | Justification | Risk      | Risk Co   | ntrol (9)                      |                   | Status of |
|----------|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|------------|---------------|-----------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|          | steps/component (2)         |  | Risk<br>Yes/No<br>(4) |  | type (6)   | (7)           | Level (8) | Mitigation Method (9a)  | Residual<br>risk level<br>(9b) | Verification (9c) | RA (10)   |
| 14.      | Material of<br>Construction | <ul> <li>Surface and construction of the machine is not compatible to product.</li> <li>Material reacts with cleaning media like PW, IPA etc.</li> </ul> | Yes                   | It will lead to product contamination due to corrosion | No         | NA            | High      | <ul> <li>All product contact metallic surfaces should be of SS 316 or better.</li> <li>All welds and joints shall be ground finish; metallic surface will have no crevices.</li> <li>Non Contact surfaces should be SS304 with external surface matt finish.</li> </ul> | Acceptable                     | IQ                |           |
| 15.      | Polymeric<br>materials      | <ul> <li>Polymeric materials are not compatible with product.</li> <li>Polymeric material not replaceable.</li> </ul>                                    | Yes                   | May lead to product contamination.                     | No         | NA            | High      | <ul> <li>Gaskets coming in direct / indirect contact surfaces should be made up of food grade polymeric materials only and are high temperature and pressure resistant.</li> <li>The easy change of gaskets should be possible.</li> </ul>                              | Acceptable                     | IQ                |           |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

| S.No (1) | Process             | Risk (3)   | GMP                   | Justification (5)  | Other Risk | Justification | Risk      |   | ntrol (9)                      |                   | Status of |
|----------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|--|------------|---------------|-----------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|          | steps/component (2) |  | Risk<br>Yes/No<br>(4) |  | type (6)   | (7)           | Level (8) | Mitigation Method (9a)  | Residual<br>risk level<br>(9b) | Verification (9c) | RA (10)   |
| 16.      | Welding Joints      | Uneven and improperly ground weld joints will form a space for dust accumulation                                       | Yes                   | Weld joints not<br>grounded<br>properly and are<br>not passivated. | No         | NA            | High      | All welds shall be ground finished and properly passivated.   | Acceptable                     | IQ                |           |
| 17.      | Finishing           | Accumulation of dust, particles on internal surfaces; possibility of microbial growth and hence product contamination. | Yes                   | Internal surface<br>finish of contact<br>parts is not<br>proper    | No         | NA            | High      | • All internal metallic surface should be electro-polished with ≤ 0.8 µm Ra. • External surface should be free from sharp edges, corners, crevices etc., all metallic external surface shall be matt finished with ≤ 1.0µm Ra, 180 Grit. • Test certificate for the same shall be provided by the vendor. | Acceptable                     | IQ                |           |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

#### RISK ASSESSMENT FOR BLISTER PACKING MACHINE

| S.No (1) | Process         | Risk (3)         | GMP    | Justification (5) | Other Risk      | Justification | Risk  |                         | ntrol (9)  |              | Status of |
|----------|-----------------|------------------|--------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------|-------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------|
|          | steps/component |                  | Risk   |                   | <b>type</b> (6) | (7)           | Level | Mitigation Method (9a)  | Residual   | Verification | RA (10)   |
|          | (2)             |                  | Yes/No |                   |                 |               | (8)   |                         | risk level | (9c)         |           |
|          |                 |                  | (4)    |                   |                 |               |       |                         | (9b)       |              |           |
| 18.      | Joints          | Leaking joints   | Yes    | Joints are not    | No              | NA            | High  | Suitable gaskets should | Acceptable | IQ           |           |
|          |                 | may lead to      |        | air tight.        |                 |               |       | be provided for air     |            |              |           |
|          |                 | contamination of |        | Suitable gaskets  |                 |               |       | tight triclover         |            |              |           |
|          |                 | product.         |        | are not provided  |                 |               |       | connection and should   |            |              |           |
|          |                 | producti         |        | or are not        |                 |               |       | be easily replaceable.  |            |              |           |
|          |                 |                  |        |                   |                 |               |       | se easily replaced ie.  |            |              |           |
|          |                 |                  |        | replaceable.      |                 |               |       |                         |            |              |           |
|          |                 |                  |        |                   | Cleani          |               |       |                         |            |              |           |

Cleaning



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

| S.No (1) | Process             | Risk (3)           | GMP                   | Justification (5)   | Other Risk | Justification | Risk      |  | ntrol (9)                      |                   | Status of |
|----------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---|------------|---------------|-----------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|          | steps/component (2) |                    | Risk<br>Yes/No<br>(4) |   | type (6)   | (7)           | Level (8) | Mitigation Method (9a)   | Residual<br>risk level<br>(9b) | Verification (9c) | RA (10)   |
| 19.      | Cleaning            | Difficult cleaning | Yes                   | Accumulation of particles, contamination of clean room possible | No         | NA            | High      | <ul> <li>Design of the equipment should enhance cleaning feasibility by providing minimum sharp corners, minimum crevices &amp; smooth finished surface.</li> <li>Parts which are required for cleaning are provided with quick fixing arrangement.</li> <li>All bolts, nuts on the exterior part of equipment are provided with cap head or cap nut.</li> </ul> | Acceptable                     | IQ                |           |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

| S.No (1) | Process                 | Risk (3)   | GMP                   | Justification (5)  | Other Risk | Justification | Risk         | Risk Co   | ntrol (9)                      |                   | Status of |
|----------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|------------|---------------|--------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|          | steps/component (2)     |  | Risk<br>Yes/No<br>(4) |  | type (6)   | (7)           | Level<br>(8) | Mitigation Method (9a)  | Residual<br>risk level<br>(9b) | Verification (9c) | RA (10)   |
| 20.      | Cleaning                | Labeling of components/ media inappropriate          | Yes                   | Prerequisite for qualification & maintenance                                     | No         | NA            | Medium       | <ul> <li>Unique identity number / flow direction must be on components / media, operator panel, etc. (e.g. according to P&amp;ID)</li> <li>Labels affixed on the equipment should be heat resistant.</li> <li>All labelling in English language and according to project standard.</li> </ul> | Acceptable                     | IQ                |           |
|          |                         |  |                       |  | Control S  | ystem         |              |   |                                |                   |           |
| 21.      | PLC / Control<br>system | Process parameters are not controlled automatically. | Yes                   | Possibility of<br>human error<br>leads to a<br>process which is<br>not validated | No         | Na            | High         | <ul> <li>The equipment shall control &amp; detect failure mode automatically.</li> <li>The System shall be PLC based and fully automatic.</li> </ul>  | Acceptable                     | IQ & OQ           |           |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

| S.No (1) | Process                 | Risk (3)   | GMP                   | Justification (5)   | Other Risk | Justification | Risk      |   | ontrol (9)                     |                   | Status of |
|----------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|------------|---------------|-----------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|          | steps/component (2)     |  | Risk<br>Yes/No<br>(4) |   | type (6)   | (7)           | Level (8) | Mitigation Method (9a)  | Residual<br>risk level<br>(9b) | Verification (9c) | RA (10)   |
| 22.      | PLC / Control<br>system | Process / process<br>status not visible<br>for operating<br>personnel. | Yes                   | Operating<br>personnel must<br>have knowledge<br>on the process<br>status | No         | NA            | High      | MMI shall be provided with adequate display and clean room suitable key board for operation and entering process parameters.                  | Acceptable                     | IQ                |           |
| 23.      | PLC / Control<br>system | Display language not identified.                                       | Yes                   | Pre-requisite for<br>the GMP<br>compliant<br>operation                    | No         | NA            | High      | The language on the display of MMI should be English language only.   | Acceptable                     | OQ                |           |
| 24.      | PLC / Control<br>system | Recorder failure   | Yes                   | Basis GMP<br>requirement<br>(incomplete / no<br>documentation)            | No         | NA            | High      | <ul> <li>Data backup for process data must be foreseen .</li> <li>Diagnostic function test to be a part of qualification activity.</li> </ul> | Acceptable                     | OQ                |           |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

| S.No (1) | Process             | Risk (3)          | GMP            | Justification (5) | Other Risk      | Justification | Risk      | Risk Co                               | ntrol (9)              |                   | Status of |
|----------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|          | steps/component (2) |                   | Risk<br>Yes/No |                   | <b>type</b> (6) | (7)           | Level (8) | Mitigation Method (9a)                | Residual<br>risk level | Verification (9c) | RA (10)   |
|          | (2)                 |                   | (4)            |                   |                 |               | (6)       |                                       | (9b)                   | (90)              |           |
| 25.      | PLC / Control       | Monitoring/record | Yes            | Basic GMP         | No              | NA            | High      | • It should be possible               | Acceptable             | OQ                |           |
|          | system              | ing and           |                | requirement       |                 |               |           | to monitor/record                     |                        |                   |           |
|          |                     | documentation of  |                |                   |                 |               |           | GMP relevant data                     |                        |                   |           |
|          |                     | GMP relevant      |                |                   |                 |               |           | • Batch records / print               |                        |                   |           |
|          |                     | data not possible |                |                   |                 |               |           | outs to be defined.                   |                        |                   |           |
|          |                     |                   |                |                   |                 |               |           | <ul> <li>Printout facility</li> </ul> |                        |                   |           |
|          |                     |                   |                |                   |                 |               |           | should be available                   |                        |                   |           |
|          |                     |                   |                |                   |                 |               |           | with fade proof                       |                        |                   |           |
|          |                     |                   |                |                   |                 |               |           | prints.                               |                        |                   |           |
| 26.      | PLC / Control       | Control system    | Yes            | Process           | No              | NA            | High      | Failure of set                        | Acceptable             | OQ                |           |
|          | system              | does not detect   |                | optimization and  |                 |               |           | parameters gets                       |                        |                   |           |
|          |                     | failures and      |                | validation is not |                 |               |           | indicated and printed                 |                        |                   |           |
|          |                     | generate alarms   |                | possible          |                 |               |           | as alarms and machine                 |                        |                   |           |
|          |                     |                   |                |                   |                 |               |           | stops.                                |                        |                   |           |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

| S.No (1) | Process              | Risk (3)                       | GMP                   | Justification (5)            | Other Risk | Justification             | Risk         |  | ontrol (9)                     |                   | Status of |
|----------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|          | steps/component (2)  |                                | Risk<br>Yes/No<br>(4) |                              | type (6)   | (7)                       | Level<br>(8) | Mitigation Method (9a)   | Residual<br>risk level<br>(9b) | Verification (9c) | RA (10)   |
| 27.      | PLC / Control system | Power failure / emergency stop | Yes                   | Process out of specification | EHS        | May lead to some accident | High         | <ul> <li>Operator settings unchanged and restored after emergency stop / power failure;</li> <li>Alarm message;</li> <li>Machine must not start automatically without operator intervention after incident</li> <li>UPS supply should be provided for the control system.         <ul> <li>SOP for</li> <li>'Maintenance and operation of Blister Packing Machine'.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | Acceptable                     | OQ &<br>SOP       |           |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

| S.No (1) | Process         | Risk (3)          | GMP           | Justification (5) | Other Risk | Justification | Risk  | Risk Co                               | ntrol (9)          |              | Status of |
|----------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|-------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------|
|          | steps/component |                   | Risk          |                   | type (6)   | (7)           | Level | Mitigation Method (9a)                | Residual           | Verification | RA (10)   |
|          | (2)             |                   | Yes/No<br>(4) |                   |            |               | (8)   |                                       | risk level<br>(9b) | (9c)         |           |
| 28.      | PLC / Control   | Status parameters | Yes           | Process for the   | No         | NA            | High  | <ul> <li>Status parameters</li> </ul> | Acceptable         | OQ           |           |
|          | system          | not clear         |               | particular        |            |               |       | should remain                         |                    |              |           |
|          |                 |                   |               | product at        |            |               |       | displayed at each                     |                    |              |           |
|          |                 |                   |               | particular stage  |            |               |       | process stage.                        |                    |              |           |
|          |                 |                   |               | can't be          |            |               |       | <ul> <li>The flow of the</li> </ul>   |                    |              |           |
|          |                 |                   |               | regulated easily. |            |               |       | process shall be                      |                    |              |           |
|          |                 |                   |               |                   |            |               |       | provided with the                     |                    |              |           |
|          |                 |                   |               |                   |            |               |       | help of arrows.                       |                    |              |           |
|          |                 |                   |               |                   |            |               |       | Alarm should also be                  |                    |              |           |
|          |                 |                   |               |                   |            |               |       | visualized along with                 |                    |              |           |
|          |                 |                   |               |                   |            |               |       | the fault displayed.                  |                    |              |           |
|          |                 |                   |               |                   |            |               |       |                                       |                    |              |           |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

| S.No (1) | Process                 | Risk (3)  | GMP                   | Justification (5)  | Other Risk | Justification | Risk      | Risk Co  | ontrol (9)                     |                   | Status of |
|----------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|------------|---------------|-----------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| ` '      | steps/component (2)     | \   | Risk<br>Yes/No<br>(4) | . ,  | type (6)   | (7)           | Level (8) | Mitigation Method (9a)   | Residual<br>risk level<br>(9b) | Verification (9c) | RA (10)   |
| 29.      | PLC / Control system    | Malfunction   | Yes                   | Correct function basic requirement for GMP-compliant operation | No         | NA            | High      | Supplier analysis     (quality management     system for software     and control system         hardware         development)     Input/ Output test     implementation in         qualification         activities     The system must     contain all necessary     protection devices to         ensure that the     equipment and article         remain in safe         condition. | Acceptable                     | OQ                |           |
| 30.      | PLC / Control<br>system | Parameter settings<br>not identified<br>universally | Yes                   | Basic GMP requirement  | No         | NA            | High      | Parameters settings should be in numeric only.   | Acceptable                     | OQ                |           |
| 31.      | PLC / Control<br>system | Time<br>measurement<br>works incorrect              | Yes                   | Process<br>insufficient  | No         | NA            | High      | <ul> <li>PLC Clock         verification</li> <li>SOP "calibration and         maintenance"</li> <li>Time synchronisation         of system</li> </ul>  | Acceptable                     | OQ &<br>SOP       |           |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

| S.No (1) | Process                  | Risk (3)   | GMP                   | Justification (5)      | Other Risk   | Justification | Risk      |   | ontrol (9)                     |                   | Status of |
|----------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|          | steps/component (2)      |  | Risk<br>Yes/No<br>(4) |                        | type (6)     | (7)           | Level (8) | Mitigation Method (9a)  | Residual<br>risk level<br>(9b) | Verification (9c) | RA (10)   |
| 32.      | PLC / Control system     | No protection of PLC against manipulation & changes. | Yes                   | Basic GMP requirement. | No           | NA            | High      | Minimum 3 level password protections should be provided.  Level 1: for operator settable parameters.  Level 2: for editing cycle parameters.  Level 3: for admin/ engineering level setting.  | Acceptable                     | OQ                |           |
|          | •                        |  |                       | M                      | easuring Ins | struments     |           |   |                                |                   |           |
| 33.      | Measuring<br>Instruments | Measuring Instruments not suitable                   | Yes                   | Improper measurements  | No           | NA            | High      | Measuring     Instruments must     have a suitable     measuring range.      Operational range of     Measuring     Instruments >     equipment working     range.      Measuring     Instruments must     have appropriate     accuracy. | Acceptable                     | IQ                |           |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

#### RISK ASSESSMENT FOR BLISTER PACKING MACHINE

| S.No (1) | Process                                    | Risk (3)                               | GMP                   | Justification (5)   | Other Risk | Justification | Risk         | Risk Co   | ontrol (9)                     |                   | Status of |
|----------|--|--|-----------------------|---|------------|---------------|--------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|          | steps/component (2)                        |  | Risk<br>Yes/No<br>(4) |   | type (6)   | (7)           | Level<br>(8) | Mitigation Method (9a)  | Residual<br>risk level<br>(9b) | Verification (9c) | RA (10)   |
| 34.      | Measuring instruments                      | Measuring instruments not calibrated   | Yes                   | Non calibrated<br>measuring<br>instruments<br>may lead to<br>false machine<br>functions | No         | NA            | High         | <ul> <li>Measuring instruments should be calibrated, traceable to national or international standards.</li> <li>Re-calibration of instruments should be possible.</li> </ul>                      | Acceptable                     | IQ                |           |
| 35.      | GMP relevant<br>measurement<br>instruments | Instruments<br>cannot be<br>dismounted | Yes                   | Defective instruments must be dismounted for exchange and calibration                   | No         | NA            | High         | <ul> <li>Mounting of instruments must give the possibility for dismounting and replacement</li> <li>Constructional solution: easy access for re-calibration activities shall be given.</li> </ul> | Acceptable                     | IQ                |           |

Maintenance



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

| S.No (1) | Process             | Risk (3)   | GMP                   | Justification (5)                                | Other Risk | Justification              | Risk       |  | ontrol (9)                     |                   | Status of |
|----------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|--|------------|----------------------------|------------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|          | steps/component (2) |  | Risk<br>Yes/No<br>(4) |  | type (6)   | (7)                        | Level (8)  | Mitigation Method (9a)   | Residual<br>risk level<br>(9b) | Verification (9c) | RA (10)   |
| 36.      | Maintenance         | Malfunctions due to worn parts                       | Yes                   | Basic GMP requirement                            | No         | NA                         | High       | <ul> <li>Equipment shall be easy to maintain.</li> <li>Preventive maintenance procedure should be available.</li> <li>Vendor to provide special tools for maintenance.</li> <li>The unit must contain necessary protection devices to ensure that the equipment &amp; the article remain in a safe condition.</li> </ul> | Acceptable                     | IQ &<br>SOP       |           |
|          |                     |  |                       | ${f E}$  | nvironment | & Safety                   |            |  |                                |                   |           |
| 37.      | Electrical system   | Electrical systems<br>are not verified for<br>safety | No                    | It will not affect<br>the quality of<br>product. | EHS        | May lead to<br>an accident | Mediu<br>m | All electrical systems shall be tested for safety and shall be provided with safety markings e.g. C.E. marking.  | Acceptable                     | IQ                |           |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

#### RISK ASSESSMENT FOR BLISTER PACKING MACHINE

| S.No (1) |                     |   |                       |   |          | Status of  |              |   |                                |                   |         |
|----------|---------------------|---|-----------------------|---|----------|--|--------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------|
|          | steps/component (2) |   | Risk<br>Yes/No<br>(4) |   | type (6) | (7)  | Level<br>(8) | Mitigation Method (9a)  | Residual<br>risk level<br>(9b) | Verification (9c) | RA (10) |
| 38.      | Noise level         | Noise level liberated by the system is high.                  | No                    | It will not affect<br>the final quality<br>of product.      | EHS      | Heavy noise will cause problems to the service persons   | Medium       | The noise liberated<br>by the system shall<br>not be more than 75<br>db from 1m from the<br>system. | Acceptable                     | OQ                |         |
| 39.      | Emergency<br>stop   | Instantaneous<br>stopping of the<br>equipment not<br>possible | No                    | Does not have<br>any impact on<br>quality of the<br>product | EHS      | Emergency<br>stop<br>function is<br>required for<br>equipment,<br>personnel<br>and product<br>protection | High         | Emergency stop with alarm to be installed on accessible area.                                       | Acceptable                     | IQ & OQ           |         |

**Documentation** 



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

| S.No (1) | Process             | Risk (3)   | GMP                   | Justification (5)                     | Other Risk | Justification | Risk      | Risk Co   | ontrol (9)                     |                   | Status of |
|----------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|---------------|-----------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|          | steps/component (2) |  | Risk<br>Yes/No<br>(4) |                                       | type (6)   | (7)           | Level (8) | Mitigation Method (9a)  | Residual<br>risk level<br>(9b) | Verification (9c) | RA (10)   |
| 40.      | User                | Faulty operation & maintenance   | Yes                   | SOPs are basic<br>GMP-<br>requirement | No         | NA            | High      | <ul> <li>All end-users have to be trained on SOPs</li> <li>Training of SOPs has to be documented.</li> <li>Training on the job of end users by vendor         <ul> <li>Training on operation, setting parameters, trouble shooting &amp; maintenance related activities.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | Acceptable                     | OQ &<br>SOP       |           |
| 41.      | User                | Operation SOP<br>does not contain<br>proper<br>information and<br>user may operate<br>system | Yes                   | User may make<br>a wrong<br>decision. | No         | NA            | High      | <ul> <li>System operation         SOP must be         reviewed with all         aspects and approved.</li> <li>Vendor shall provide         execution support to         the user to complete         all stages of the         qualification report.</li> </ul>                                | Acceptable                     | OQ                |           |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

| S.No (1) | Process             | Risk (3)  | GMP                   | Justification (5)   | Other Risk | Justification | Risk         | Risk Co   | ntrol (9)                      |                   | Status of |
|----------|---------------------|---|-----------------------|---|------------|---------------|--------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|          | steps/component (2) |   | Risk<br>Yes/No<br>(4) |   | type (6)   | (7)           | Level<br>(8) | Mitigation Method (9a)  | Residual<br>risk level<br>(9b) | Verification (9c) | RA (10)   |
| 42.      | User                | Unauthorized<br>person tries to<br>start/stop the<br>system | Yes                   | Untrained<br>persons may<br>damage the<br>system or<br>product quality<br>may be affected | No         | NA            | High         | <ul> <li>System should not start without password.</li> <li>Key-switch should be provided for system power up. OR</li> <li>Physical entry to equipment room is restricted.</li> </ul> | Acceptable                     | IQ & OQ           |           |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

| S.No (1) | Process             | Risk (3)   | GMP                   | Justification (5)   | Other Risk | Justification | Risk      | Risk Co  | ontrol (9)                     |                   |         |  |
|----------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|---|------------|---------------|-----------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------|--|
|          | steps/component (2) |  | Risk<br>Yes/No<br>(4) |   | type (6)   | (7)           | Level (8) | Mitigation Method (9a)   | Residual<br>risk level<br>(9b) | Verification (9c) | RA (10) |  |
| 43.      | Documentatio        | Technical documentation from vendor not adequate | Yes                   | Adequate technical documentation is basic GMP requirement | No         | NA            | High      | Vendor documentation shall comprise:     Material certificates     DQ, IQ & OQ protocols     Operation and maintenance instructions     Spare parts list     Operating manual of bought out components     Functional design specification     List of failure indications     HMI functions with screen shots     Drawings     P&I-diagrams     Electrical diagrams     GA diagram     Calibration certificates of measuring instruments. | Acceptable                     | IQ                |         |  |



# PHARMA DEVILS QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

#### **RISK ASSESSMENT FOR BLISTER PACKING MACHINE**

#### 8.0 Summary & Conclusion

- The Risk Assessment was performed to establish the design parameters of the equipment so as to meet the desired performance of the equipment i.e. Blister Packing Machine.
- The critical risks pertained to GMP and other than GMP, were analyzed with justification and mitigation procedures.
- For each recognized GMP-risk and other than GMP risks, necessary measures are defined. Organizational measures, like SOPs, are also possible measures for special GMP-risks. The availability of these SOPs will be checked during the performance of the OQ.
- The risks where conceptual procedures shall be employed, standard operating procedures (SOPs), Preventive maintenance schedules, Certificates and related documents indicated as mitigation procedures shall be ensured at respective test points

"It is concluded that the **Risk Assessment** performed for the equipment will prevent the risk of failures of critical parameters during, design commissioning, installation, operation and performance of the equipment".

#### 9.0 Abbreviations

EU-GMP : European – Good Manufacturing Practice

EHS : Environment Health Safety
GMP : Good Manufacturing Practice

RA : Risk Assessment NMT : Not More Than

SOP : Standard Operating Procedure

SS : Stainless Steel Ra : Roughness Average

P&ID : Process/ Piping & Instrumentation Diagram

PLC : Programmable Logic Controller

MMI : Man Machine InterfaceCFR : Code of Federal RegulationsUPS : Uninterrupted Power Supply

CE : Conformité Européene

db : Decibel

FS : Functional Specification
IQ : Installation Qualification
OQ : Operational Qualification
PQ : Performance Qualification
O&M : Operation and Maintenance

GA : General Arrangement

IPSI : Integrated Project Services International, New Delhi



#### RISK ASSESSMENT FOR BLISTER PACKING MACHINE

#### 10.0 Revision History

| Date | Revision | Reason for Revision |
|------|----------|---------------------|
|      | 00       | New Document        |
|      |          |                     |