

PROTOCOL No.:

PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION REPORT FOR

AMPOULE VERTICAL ULTRASONIC WASHING MACHINE

EQUIPMENT ID. No.	
LOCATION	Ampoule Washing & Sterilizing Room
DATE OF QUALIFICATION	



PROTOCOL No.:

REPORT CONTENTS

S.No.	TITLE	PAGE No.
1.0	Report Pre-Approval	3
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Responsibility	5
5.0	Equipment Details	6
6.0	Pre-Qualification Requirement	6
7.0	Tests & Checks	7-17
8.0	Checklist Of All Tests And Checks	18
9.0	Documents To Be Attached	19
10.0	Non Compliance	19
11.0	Deviation From Pre-Defined Specification, If Any	19
12.0	Change Control, If Any	19
13.0	Review Inclusive of Follow Up Action, If Any	20
14.0	Conclusion	20
15.0	Recommendations	20
16.0	Abbreviations	21
17.0	Report Post Approval	22



PROTOCOL No.	PR	OT	\mathbf{OC}	OL	No.
--------------	----	----	---------------	----	-----

1.0 REPORT PRE-APPROVAL:

INITIATED BY:

DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
OFFICER/EXECUTIVE (QUALITY ASSURANCE)			

REVIEWED BY:

DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
HEAD (PRODUCTION)			
HEAD (ENGINEERING)			

APPROVED BY:

DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
HEAD (QUALITY ASSURANCE)			



MACHINE

PROTOCOL No.:

2.0 OBJECTIVE:

- To provide documented evidence that the Equipment is performing consistently, repeatedly and
 reproducibly within its established operating range and the results of all the test parameters meet the
 pre-defined acceptance criteria.
- To confirm the suitability of the Standard Operating Procedures for all routine activities associated with the system.

3.0 SCOPE:

- The scope of this report is limited for Qualification of Ampoule Vertical Ultrasonic Washing
 Machine installed in Ampoule Washing & Sterilizing Room.
- This report provides all the relevant information of the performance qualification activity, In-process observations and analytical data of testing of collected samples.



PROTOCOL No.:

4.0 **RESPONSIBILITY:**

The Validation Group, comprising of a representative from each of the following departments, shall be responsible for the overall compliance of this Report:

DEPARTMENTS		RESPONSIBILITIES	
Quality Assurance	•	Preparation, Approval and Compilation of the Performance	
		Re-Qualification Report.	
	•	Co-ordination with Quality Control, Production and Engineering to	
		carryout Performance Re-Qualification Activity.	
	•	Monitoring of Performance Re-Qualification.	
Production	•	Review & Approval of Report.	
	•	To co-ordinate and support Performance Re-Qualification Activity.	
Quality Control	•	Analytical Support (Microbiological Testing/Analysis)	
Engineering	•	Reviewing of Re-qualification protocol for correctness, completeness	
		and technical excellence	
	•	Responsible for trouble shooting (if occurred during execution).	
	•	Maintenance & preventive maintenance as per schedule.	
	•	Post Approval of Performance Re-Qualification Report after Execution.	



PR	CO	TO	CC	\mathbf{I}	No.	•

5.0 EQUIPMENT DETAILS:

Equipment Name	Vertical Ultrasonic Ampoule Washing Machine
Equipment	
Manufacturer's Name	Truking Technologies Ltd.
Model	
Supplier's Name	Truking Technologies Ltd.
Location of Installation	Ampoule Washing & Sterilization Room

6.0 PRE – QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS:

Verification for availability, completeness and approval status of all the required relevant documents shall be done and observations shall be recorded in the performance Qualification report.

- SOP for Operation & Cleaning of Ampoule Vertical Ultrasonic Washing Machine.
- SOP for Preventive Maintenance Ampoule Vertical Ultrasonic Washing Machine.



PR	\mathbf{O}'	ΓC	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{OI}	. N	n
1 1/	v.	L	,	\mathbf{OL}	/ L 7	v.

7.0 TESTS AND	CHECKS:
---------------	---------

7.1 Verification of Documents	7.1	Verification	of Documents
-------------------------------	-----	--------------	--------------

Record the observations for documents in the below mentioned table.

S.No.	Document Name	Document/SOP No.	Completed (Yes/No)	Checked By (Engineering) Sign/Date	Verified By (QA) Sign/Date
1.	Executed and approved				
	Design Qualification				
	document				
2.	Executed and approved				
	Installation Qualification				
	document				
3.	Executed and approved				
	Operational Qualification				
	document				
4.	PQ Protocol approved				
5.	SOP for Operation &				
	Cleaning of Ampoule				
	Vertical Ultrasonic				
	Washing Machine				
6.	SOP for Preventive				
	Maintenance of Ampoule				
	Vertical Ultrasonic				
	Washing Machine				
			_		

rtical Ultrasonic	
ashing Machine	
Bv	Verified By
•	(Quality Assurance)
(Production)(Quality Assurance)Sign/Date:Sign/Date:	
	Reviewed By
	(Manager QA)
	Sign/Date:
}	shing Machine By on)



PR	CT	O	CO	T.	No.
T T/	\mathbf{v}	•	\sim		T 10.

7.2 Physical Parameter Test Results

Date of Test	Ampoule Size	Machine Speed

Pressure	Limit	Observation
Compressed Air Pressure	0.2 to 0.6 Mpa	
Purified Water Pressure	0.2 to 0.65 Mpa	
Circulated Water Pressure	0.2 to 0.5 Mpa	
WFI Pressure	0.2 to 0.5 Mpa	

A. Production Capacity

Cycles	Testing Time	Production Quantity	Capacity Output (pcs/min = Total no of each runs washing ampoules / actual running time
1			
2			
3			
	Average pro	duction Capacity	

Acceptance Criteria:

Production Capacity - 500 pcs/ min for 1,2,3 ml & 420 pcs/ min for 5ml:(100%Speed).

Production Capacity - 400 pcs/ min for 1,2,3 ml & 336 pcs/ min for 5ml (80% Speed)

Production Capacity - 250 pcs/ min for 1,2,3 ml & 210 pcs/ min for 5ml (50% Speed)



PR(TC	OC	OL	No.
T TF/	<i>_</i>	-	\mathbf{v}	T 10.

В.	Damage	Rate

D. Dailla	ge Nate		
Cycles	Feeding Ampoules Quantity	Nos. of Broken Ampoules	Damage Rate = Total damaged ampoules x 100 / Total feeding Ampoules
1			
2			
3			
	Average Damage	Rate	
Acceptance	e Criteria: Damage rate	of Ampoules should not be	more than 0.5 %.
C. Clarit	y Test		
Cycles N	os. of Inspected Ampoulo	es Nos. of Passed	= Total no, of passed ampoules x 100

Cycles	Nos. of Inspected Ampoules Quantity	Nos. of Passed Ampoules	= Total no, of passed ampoules x 100 / Total Inspected Ampoules
1			
2			
3			
	A	verage Passing rate	
Accepta	nce Criteria: Clarity of Ampo	ules should be more than	98 %.

Checked By (Production) Sign/Date:	Verified By (Quality Assurance) Sign/Date:
Inference:	
	Reviewed By (Manager QA) Sign/Date:



PROTOCOL No.:

7.3 Riboflavin Test

Cycles	I Cycle	II Cycle	III Cycle
Date of Test			
Ampoule Size			
Machine Speed			

Ampoule					
no.	I Cycle	II Cycle	III Cycle	Quality Assurance (Sign/Date)	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					



P	R	\cap	\mathbf{T}	A	C	N	T	N	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$,
Г	\mathbf{L}	v	ľ	v	U	V.	L	I	v.	i

Ampoule	Observation				
no.	I Cycle	II Cycle	III Cycle	Assurance (Sign/Date)	
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
Accept	tance criteria: All individu	al washed Ampoules should t	be free from surface coating of i	riboflavin.	
Note: OK	- With in acceptance criter	ia, Not OK – Not with in acco	eptance criteria		
Inference:	:				
			Reviewed By (Manager QA) Sign/Date:		



PROTOCOL I	No.
------------	-----

7.4	Chlor	ide	Test

Cycles	I Cycle	II Cycle	III Cycle
Date of Test			
Ampoule Size			
Machine Speed			

Ampoule		Observation				
no.	I Cycle	II Cycle	III Cycle	- Quality Assurance (Sign/Date)		
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						



P	R	N	\mathbf{T}	N	C	U.	T.	N	'n	•
L	1/	v	1	v	v	v.	L	T.4	v.	

Ampoule		Observation		Verified by
no.	I Cycle	II Cycle	III Cycle	Assurance (Sign/Date)
				(Signification)
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
Acceptan	ce criteria: All individual	washed Ampoules should not	show turbidity or opalescence	after adding
reagents.				
Note: OK	- With in acceptance criter	ria, Not OK – Not with in acce	eptance criteria	
Inference:				
			Reviewed By (Manager QA) Sign/Date:	



MACHINE

PRO	TO	CO	L No.
------------	----	----	-------

7.5 Glass Particle Test

Cycles	I Cycle	II Cycle	III Cycle
Date of Test			
Ampoule Size			
Machine Speed			

Ampoule			Checked By	
no.	I Cycle	II Cycle	III Cycle	Checked By (Production) (Sign/Date)
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				



P	R	N	\mathbf{T}	N	C	U.	T.	N	'n	•
L	1/	v	1	v	v	v.	L	T.4	v.	

	<u> </u>		,	
Ampoule		n	Checked By	
no.	I Cycle	e II Cycle III Cycle		(Production) (Sign/Date)
17				(= 8
18				
19				
20				
Acceptance	e criteria: The ampoule sl	hould be free from the	glass particles (Visual inspection)).
Note: OK	— With in acceptance criter	ria Not OK – Not with	n in accentance criteria	
1,000 011	,, in in woop who or ive	1100 022 1100 1100	woodpooling	
			Verified By	
			(Quality Assurance	ce)
			Sign/Date:	
Inference:				
interence.				
•••••				•••••
•••••	•••••	•••••		•••••
			Reviewed By	
			(Manager QA)	
			Sign/Date:	•••••



MACHINE

P	R	O'	ГC	C	Ol	LN	Vo.
		$\mathbf{\circ}$		\sim	\mathbf{v}	_	10.

7.6 Endotoxin Test

Cycles	I Cycle	II Cycle	III Cycle
Date of Test			
Ampoule Size			
Machine Speed			
Sample Quantity			

a		Verified by			
S.No.	I Cycle	II Cycle	III Cycle	Quality Assurance (Sign/Date)	
1					
Acceptance	e criteria: NMT 0.25 EU/	ml	I	I	

Inference:	
	n. t
	Reviewed By
	(Manager QA)
	Reviewed By (Manager QA) Sign/Date:



PROTOCOL No

Cycles	I Cycle	II Cycle	III Cycle
Date of Test			
Ampoule Size			
Machine Speed			
Sample Quantity			

G.N.		Verified by Quality		
S.No.	I Cycle	II Cycle	III Cycle	Assurance (Sign/Date)
1				
Acceptance (criteria: NMT 10 CF	FU/100 ml	1	1
Inference:				
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Reviewed By
(Manager QA)
Sign/Date:



P	R	\cap	\mathbf{T}	A	C	N	T	N	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$,
Г	\mathbf{L}	v	ľ	v	U	V.	L	I	v.	i

8.0 CHECKLIST OF ALL TESTS & CHECKS:

This checklist is provided to ensure that all tests or checks required for this protocol have been executed.

Tests or Checks	Executed (Yes/No)	Remarks
Verification of Documents	(Tes/110)	
Verification of Machine Performance		
Verification for Riboflavin Test		
Verification for Chloride Content Test		
Verification of glass particle Test		
Verification of Endotoxin Test		
Verification of Bioburden Test		
Checked By		Verified By
(Production) Sign/Date:		(Quality Assurance) Sign/Date
		(Quality Assurance)
Sign/Date: Inference:		(Quality Assurance) Sign/Date
Sign/Date: Inference:		(Quality Assurance) Sign/Date
Sign/Date:		(Quality Assurance) Sign/Date Reviewed By (Manager QA)



P	R	\cap	\mathbf{T}	N	C	U.	T.	N	'n	•
L	17.	v	1	v	v	v.	_	Τ.4	v.	

9.0	DOCUMENTS	TO BE	ATTA	CHED:
-----	------------------	-------	-------------	-------

• Executed Raw Data.

	Any Other Relevant Documents.
10.0	NON COMPLIANCE:
11.0	DEVIATION FROM PREDEFINED SPECIFICATION IF, ANY:
12.0	CHANGE CONTROL, IF ANY:



PR(OT	O'	C	OL	N	o.	:

13.0	REVIEW (INCLUSIVE OF FOLLOW UP ACTION, IF ANY):
14.0	CONCLUSION:
1100	
15.0	RECOMMENDATION:



PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION REPORT FOR

AMPOULE VERTICAL ULTRASONIC WASHING MACHINE

PROTOCOL No.:

16.0 ABBREVIATIONS:

Asst. : Assistant

CFR : Code of Federal Regulations

cGMP : Current Good Manufacturing Practices

DQ : Design Qualification

EU : European Union

FDA : Food and Drug Administration

IQ : Installation Qualification

Kg : Kilogram

Ltd. : Limited

mm : Millimetre

No. : Number

OQ : Operational Qualification

PQ : Performance Qualification

QA : Quality Assurance

SOP : Standard Operating Procedure

WHO : World Health Organization

WFI : Water for injection



PR	\cap	TO	C	ŊΙ.	No.	•
T T/	\mathbf{v}		\sim	-	T 10.	•

17.0 REPORT POST APPROVAL:

INITIATED BY:

DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
OFFICER/EXECUTIVE (QUALITY ASSURANCE)			

REVIEWED BY:

DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
HEAD (PRODUCTION)			
HEAD (ENGINEERING)			

APPROVED BY:

DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
HEAD (QUALITY ASSURANCE)			