

PROTOCOL No.:

PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION PROTOCOL FOR INDUCTION SEALING MACHINE

EQUIPMENT ID No.	
LOCATION	PREMIX FILLING
DATE OF QUALIFICATION	
SUPERSEDES PROTOCOL No.	NIL



PROTOCOL No.:

PROTOCOL CONTENTS

S.No.	TITLE	PAGE No.
1.0	Protocol Approval	3
2.0	Objective	4
3.0	Scope	4
4.0	Responsibility	5
5.0	Equipment Details	6
6.0	System Description	6
7.0	Reason For Qualification	6
8.0	Site of Study	6
9.0	Frequency of Qualification	6
10.0	Pre-Qualification Requirement	7
11.0	Tests & Checks	8
12.0	Checklist of All Tests & Checks	9
13.0	References	10
14.0	Documents To Be Attached	10
15.0	Noncompliance	10
16.0	Deviation From Pre–Defined Specification, If Any	11
17.0	Change Control, If Any	11
18.0	Abbreviations	12
19.0	Annexure	13



PRO	TC	CO	T.	Nο	
III	μ	$\mathcal{L}\mathbf{U}$	ı	TIO	

1.0 PROTOCOL APPROVAL:

INITIATED BY:

DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
OFFICER / EXECUTIVE (QUALITY ASSURANCE)			

REVIEWED BY:

DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
HEAD (PRODUCTION)			
HEAD (QUALITY CONTROL)			
HEAD (ENGINEERING)			

APPROVED BY:

DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
HEAD (QUALITY ASSURANCE)			



PR	O^r	$\Gamma \Omega$	CO	T.	No.
1 1/	v.	w	\mathbf{v}	ı	TIU.

2.0 OBJECTIVE:

- To provide documented evidence that the Equipment is performing as per the parameter defined in Performance Qualification and that it gives result as per the predetermined acceptance criteria.
- To demonstrate that the system will operate reproducibly and consistently within its operating range.
- To confirm the suitability of the Standard Operating Procedures for all routine activities associated with the system.

3.0 SCOPE:

This Protocol is applicable for performance qualification of Induction Sealing Machine installed in Dry Powder filling area The equipment is to be used for Sealing of bottle.



PROTOCOL No.:

4.0 RESPONSIBILITY:

The Qualification team, comprising of a representative from each of the following departments, shall be responsible for the overall compliance of this Protocol.

DEPARTMENTS	RESPONSIBILITIES				
Quality Assurance	 Preparation, Review, Approval and Compilation of the Performance Qualification Protocol. Co-ordination with Quality Control, Production and Engineering to carryout Performance Qualification Activity. Monitoring of Performance Qualification. 				
Production	 Review of Protocol. To co-ordinate and support Performance Qualification Activity. 				
Engineering	 Reviewing of qualification Protocol for correctness, completeness and technical excellence. Responsible for trouble shooting (if occurred during execution). Maintenance & preventive maintenance as per schedule. 				
Quality Control	 Review of Performance Qualification report. Approval of report post approval. 				



PR	NT	O'	\mathbf{CO}	T.	No.:
T T/	\mathbf{v}	$\mathbf{\mathbf{v}}$	\sim	_	1 100

5.0 EQUIPMENT DETAILS:

Equipment Name	Induction Sealing Machine
Equipment ID.	
Manufacturer's Name	Electronic Device
Supplier's Name	Electronic Device
Location of Installation	

6.0 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION:

The closure is supplied to the bottler with foil liner already inserted. Although there are various liners to choose from, a typical induction liner is multi-layered. The top layer is a paper pulp that is generally spot-glued to the cap. The next layer is wax that is used to bond a layer of aluminum foil to the pulp. The bottom layer is a polymer film laminated to the foil. After the cap or closure is applied, the container passes under an induction coil, which emits an oscillating electromagnetic field. As the container passes under the induction coil (sealing head) the conductive aluminum foil liner begins to heat. The heat melts the wax, which is absorbed into the pulp backing and releases the foil from the cap. The polymer film also heats and flows onto the lip of the container. When cooled, the polymer creates a bond with the container resulting in a hermetically sealed product. Neither the container nor its contents are affected, and this all happens in a matter of seconds.

7.0 REASON FOR QUALIFICATION:

After completion of the Operational Qualification of the equipments, it is imperative to perform the Performance Qualification. The study will establish that the parameters are followed, critical variables are under control and the quality of the output is, as desired.

8.0 SITE OF STUDY:

.....

9.0 FREQUENCY OF QUALIFICATION:

- Once in two year time period.
- After any major breakdown or after major modification.
- After Change of Location.



PR	വ	$\Gamma \Omega$	CC	T.	N	'n
1 1/	\mathbf{v}	$\cdot \cdot$	\sim	ı	Τ.	V••

10.0 PRE-QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS:

10.1 TRAINING: Training shall be given to the concerned persons and details recorded into the **Annexure-I.**

10.2 SYSTEM PRE-REQUISITES:

Verify that the DQ / IQ / OQ of the Induction Sealing machine have been executed and approved.

Verify that the SOP for Operating, Cleaning and Preventive Maintenance of the Induction Sealing Machine has been prepared.

S.No.	DESCRIPTION OF PRE-REQUISITE	COMPLETED (YES / NO)	CHECKED BY ENGINEERING SIGN / DATE	VERIFIED BY QA SIGN / DATE
	Verify that the DQ / IQ / OQ of the			
	induction sealing machine has been			
	executed and approved.			
1.	DQ Protocol Document No.:			
2.	IQ Protocol Document No.:			
3.	OQ Protocol Document No.:			
4.	SOP of "Operation and Cleaning			
	of Induction Sealing Machine"			



PROTOCOL No.:

11.0 TESTS & CHECKS:

11.1 CONVEYER SPEED TEST:

Objective:

The objective of the test to determine the effect of Conveyer speed on product quality.

Scope:

The Scope of this test limited to the Dry Powder Filling Area.

Procedure:

- > Switch on the conveyer Belt Machine.
- > Operate the machine as per respective SOP.
- > Set the Minimum, Standard and Maximum speed of Conveyer Belt.
- > Put the Bottles on the conveyer belt.
- > Check the effect of speed on bottle.
- > The result record into the **Annexure-I.**

Acceptance criteria: The conveyer belt should not affect the product quality

11.2 LEAK TEST:

Objective:

The objective of the test to determine the leakage in bottle.

Scope:

The Scope of this test limited to the Dry Powder Filling Area.

Test Instrument:

Leak Test Apparatus

PROCEDURE:

- ➤ The test shall be performing at the preset speed.
- > The test shall be perform on 3 consecutive batches.
- ➤ Switch "ON" the machine & operate as per SOP.
- ➤ Set the Temperature at 90°C -100°C.
- Collect the 10 bottles continuous initial, middle and Final and each variability.
- > Perform leak test at specified in BPR.
- > Record the data in **Annexure-III**.



PR	വ	$\Gamma \Omega$	CC	T.	N	'n
1 1/	\mathbf{v}	$\cdot \cdot$	\sim	ı	Τ.	V••

11.3 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA:

Leakage should not observed.

12.0 CHECKLIST OF ALL TESTS & CHECKS:

The following table lists the number of tests / samples to be carried out & comments on the sample record sheet.

TESTS OR CHECKS	EXECUTED [Y/N]	COMMENT
CONVEYER SPEED TEST		
LEAK TEST		

13.0 REFERENCES:

The Principle Reference is the following:

- Validation Master Plan
- Schedule M: "Good Manufacturing Practices and Requirements of Premises, Plant and Equipment for Pharmaceutical Products."
- WHO Essential Drugs and Medicines Policy, QA of Pharmaceuticals, Vol-2: Good Manufacturing Practices and Inspection.

The following references are used to give addition guidance:

- FDA/ISPE Baseline Pharmaceutical Engineering Guide-Volume 5: Commissioning and Qualification Guide, First Edition / March 2001.
- Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Title 21, Part 210, Current Good Manufacturing Practice (cGMP) in Manufacturing, Processing, Packing, or Holding of Drugs, Beta. April 1, 1998.
- Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Title 21, Part 211, Current Good Manufacturing Practice (cGMP) for Finished Pharmaceuticals, April 1, 1998.
- EU Guide to Good Manufacturing Practice, Part 4, 1997.
- European Commission's working party on control of medicines and inspections document, Validation Master Plan, Design Qualification, Installation & Operational Qualification, Non Sterile Process Validation, Cleaning Validation, October 1999.
- GMP Guide, Validation of Automated Systems in Pharmaceutical Manufacture, Version 4.0, December 2001.



PR	\mathbf{O}^{r}	$\Gamma \cap$	CO	T	No	,
РK	v.	W	w	ய	INO	

14.0 DOCUMENTS TO BE ATTACHED:

• Any Other Relevant Documents.

15.0 NON COMPLIANCE:

All the Non compliances of procedure, specifications, and sampling, analysis and documentation activities shall be monitored & recorded.

16.0 DEVIATION FROM PRE-DEFINED SPECIFICATION, IF ANY:

- In case of any deviation observed during PQ, inform to Head QA for necessary action.
- Document the deviation detail in observed deviation section.
- The Head QA shall study the impact of deviation. If deviation is acceptable and it does not have an impact on operation as well as on performance of the machine, prepare final conclusion.

17.0 CHANGE CONTROL, IF ANY:

- If any change control is required during PQ, inform to Head QA for necessary action.
- Document the details observed.
- The Head QA shall study the impact of change. If change is acceptable and it does not have an impact on operation as well as on performance of the machine, prepare final conclusion.



PROTOCOL No.:

18.0 ABBREVIATIONS:

Sr. : Senior

No. : Number

gm : gram

BSS : British Standard Sieve

BMR : Batch Manufacturing Record

WHO : World Health Organization

FDA : Food and Drug Administration

CFR : Code of Federal Regulations

GMP : Good Manufacturing Practices

SOP : Standard Operating Procedure

RH : Relative Humidity

°C : Degree Centigrade

mm : Millimeter

Amp. : Amper

DQ : Design Qualification

IQ : Installation Qualification

OQ : Operational Qualification

PQ : Performance Qualification



PR	\mathbf{O}^{r}	$\Gamma \cap$	CO	T	No	,
РK	v.	W	w	ய	INO	

19.0 ANNEXURE:

S.No.	Title
1.	Training Record
2.	Result of Conveyer Speed Test
3.	Result of Leak Test



$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{D}$	Ω	n	CO	·Τ	No.
PK	w	w	w	ıL	INO.

Annexure-I (Training Detail)

S. No.	Name	Department	Sign.	Duration	Remarks

Trainer Na	me:
------------	-----

Date & Sign:



PR	OT	OCC	OL.	No.

Annexure-II (Result of Conveyer Speed Test)

(Result of Conveyer Speed Test)								
Product	Product Name: Batch No.:							
Manufa	cturing da	te:		E	xpiry Date	e:		
At Mini	mum Spee	d (30 feet/min):						
Date	Interval	Qty. Taken	Leakage	Rejected	Pass	Checked By	Verified By	
	Initial							
	Middle		_					
	Final							
		l (40 feet/min):						
Date	Interval	Qty. Taken	Leakage	Rejected	Pass	Checked By	Verified By	
	Initial							
	Middle							
	Final							
At Maxi	mum Spee	d (50 feet/min):						
Date	Interval	Qty. Taken	Leakage	Rejected	Pass	Checked By	Verified By	
	Initial							
	Middle							
	Final							
Checked Product Sign / D	•			Q	erified By quality Ass ign / Date.			
Inference:								
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	
					eviewed B			
					Ianager Q	A		
				Si	ign / Date			



PR	\mathbf{O}^{r}	$\Gamma \cap$	CO	T	No	,
РK	v.	W	w	ய	INO	

Annexure-II (Result of Leak Test)

(Result of Leak Test)							
Produc	t Name:			В	atch No.	:	
Manufa	acturing da	te:		E	xpiry Da	ite:	
At Min	imum Tem	perature (90°C):					
Date	Interval	Qty. Taken	Leakage	Rejected	Pass	Checked By	Verified By
	Initial						•
	Middle						
	Final						
At Stan	dard Temp	perature (95°C):					
Date	Interval	Qty. Taken	Leakage	Rejected	Pass	Checked By	Verified By
	Initial						
	Middle						
	Final						
At Max	imum Tem	perature (100°C):					
Date	Interval	Qty. Taken	Leakage	Rejected	Pass	Checked By	Verified By
	Initial						
	Middle						
	Final						
Checke Produc Sign / D	tion	••••••		Q		ssurance e	•••••
Inferen	ce:		••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	••••••
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••••
				R	eviewed	By:	
				\mathbf{N}	Ianager (QA	
				Si	ign / Dat	e	