

PROTOCOL No.:

PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION REPORT FOR VACUUM LEAK TESTER

EQUIPMENT ID. No.	
LOCATION	FFS Packing Area
DATE OF QUALIFICATION	
SUPERSEDES REPORT No.	NIL

REPORT CONTENTS



PROTOCOL No.:

S.No.	TITLE	PAGE No.
1.0	REPORT PRE-APPROVAL	3
2.0	OBJECTIVE	4
3.0	SCOPE	4
4.0	RESPONSIBILITY	5
5.0	EQUIPMENT DETAILS	6
6.0	PRE-QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENT	7-8
7.0	TESTS & CHECKS	9-16
8.0	CHECKLIST OF ALL TESTS & CHECKS	17
9.0	DOCUMENTS TO BE ATTACHED	18
10.0	NON COMPLIANCE	18
11.0	DEVIATION FROM PRE-DEFINED SPECIFICATION, IF ANY	18
12.0	CHANGE CONTROL, IF ANY	18
13.0	REVIEW (INCLUSIVE OF FOLLOW UP ACTION, IF ANY)	19
14.0	CONCLUSION	19
15.0	RECOMMENDATION	19
16.0	ABBREVIATIONS	20
17.0	REPORT POST-APPROVAL	21



\mathbf{n}	_	NП	_	$\overline{}$		\sim	$\overline{}$. T	 •	т			
PR	•	D 1			и	''		ш	N	14	n		•
		,			А			48		и		•	•

1.	0	REPORT	PRE –	APPROVAL:

PREPARED BY:

DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
OFFICER/EXECUTIVE			
(QUALITY ASSURANCE)			

REVIEWED BY:

DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
OPERATING MANAGER (QUALITY ASSURANCE)			
HEAD (ENGINEERING)			

APPROVED BY:

DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
HEAD (PRODUCTION			



PROTOCOL No.:

2.0 OBJECTIVE:

- To provide documented evidence that the Equipment is performing consistently, repeatedly and reproducibly within its established operating range and the results of all the test parameters meet the predefined acceptance criteria.
- To confirm the suitability of the Standard Operating Procedures for all routine activities associated with the system.

3.0 SCOPE:

- The score of this report is limited for qualification of Vacuum Leak Tester installed in Filling to FFS
 Packing Area.
- This report provides all the relevant information of the performance qualification activity, In-process observations and analytical data of testing of collected samples.



PROTOCOL No.:

4.0 **RESPONSIBILITY:**

The Validation Group, comprising of a representative from each of the following departments, shall be responsible for the overall compliance of this Report:

DEPARTMENTS	RESPONSIBILITIES
Quality Assurance	Preparation , Review , Authorization and Compilation of the
	Performance Qualification.
	Co-ordination with Quality Control, Production and Engineering to
	carryout Performance Qualification Activity.
	Monitoring of Performance Qualification.
Production	Review of Performance Qualification Report.
	To co-ordinate and support Performance Qualification Activity.
	Post Approval of Performance Qualification report after Execution.
Engineering	Reviewing of qualification Report for correctness, completeness and
	technical excellence
	 Responsible for trouble shooting (if occurred during execution).
	Maintenance & preventive maintenance as per schedule.
	Post Approval of Performance Qualification report after Execution.
External Qualification Agency (if Applicable)	Performance of qualification activity as per protocol



PR	\sim r	$\Gamma \cap$		\sim T	T .	r .
PK						\mathbf{n}
1 17	•		,,,			17.

5.0 EQUIPMENT DETAILS:

Equipment Name	Vacuum Leak Tester
Equipment	
Manufacturer's Name	
Supplier's Name	
Location of Installation	

6.0 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION:

Vacuum Leak Tester is a equipment to find out leak in the flexible plastic blown Vials / Ampoules after filling & sealing, which is very essential in Pharma products to check individually on mechanical system like LVP/SVP containers, is a time consuming process, hence as a lot it can be checked under Vacuum in vertical position & then upside down to ensure the checking of complete Vials / Ampoules Surface. This process can be carried out in Vacuum Leak Tester with an adjustable cycle.



DD	\sim $^{\rm n}$	\neg	α	T 1	т
יעע				LN	
1 17	(/)		\cdot		W.

7.0	PRE -	QUALIFICATION	ON REQUIREMENTS:
-----	-------	----------------------	------------------

Verification for availability, completeness and approval status of all the required relevant documents shall be done and observations shall be recorded in the performance qualification report.

7.1 Verification of Documents:

Record the observations for documents in the below mentioned table.

S.No.	DOCUMENT NAME	DOCUMENT / SOP NO.	COMPLETED (YES/NO)	CHECKED BY (ENGINEERING) SIGN/DATE	VERIFIED BY (QA) SIGN/DATE
1.	Executed and approved				
	Design Qualification cum report				
2.	Executed and approved Installation Qualification cum report				
3.	Executed and approved Operational Qualification cum report				
4.	Approved PQ Protocol				

Inference:	
	Reviewed By
	(Manager QA)
	(Sign & Date):



PR(P. II.		' '			\mathbf{a}	
1 1/1	, ,	``		, ,	1.4	u.	

8.	Λ	TECTC	R,	CHECKS:	
о.	U	16010	α	CHECKS:	

8.1 EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE By USING FIRST BAT
--

8.1.1 Cycle-01

Date of test	Equipment ID No.	
Product Name	Batch No.	
Vacuum observed	Vacuum Hold Time	

Respule No.	Observation	Respule No.	Observation
1		11	
2		12	
3		13	
4		14	
5		15	
6		16	
7		17	
8		18	
9		19	
10		20	

Checked By (Production) Sign/Date:	Verified By (Quality Assurance) Sign/Date:
Inference:	
	Reviewed By (Manager QA) Sign/Date:



DD	\sim $^{-1}$	$\Gamma \cap$	α	\T	T .	r
PR	U)	W	w	L	1	0.

8.1.2	Cycle-02

Date of test	Equipment ID No.	
Product Name	Batch No.	
Vacuum observed	Vacuum Hold Time	

Respule No.	Observation	Respule No.	Observation
1		11	
2		12	
3		13	
4		14	
5		15	
6		16	
7		17	
8		18	
9		19	
10		20	

Checked By (Production) Sign/Date:	Verified By (Quality Assurance) Sign/Date:
Inference:	
	Reviewed By (Manager QA) Sign/Date:



\mathbf{n}	\sim r	\mathbf{r}	α	T	т
$\nu \nu$				LN	
1 1/	` '			,	

8.1.3	Cycle-03
0.1.0	

Date of test	Equipment ID No.	
Product Name	Batch No.	
Vacuum observed	Vacuum Hold Time	

Respule No.	Observation	Respule No.	Observation
1		11	
2		12	
3		13	
4		14	
5		15	
6		16	
7		17	
8		18	
9		19	
10		20	

Checked By (Production) Sign/Date:	Verified By (Quality Assurance) Sign/Date:
Inference:	
	Reviewed By
	(Manager QA) Sign/Date:



DD	\sim $^{\rm n}$	\neg	α	T 1	т
יעע				LN	
1 17	(/)		\cdot		W.

8.2	EVALUATION	OF PERFORMANCE BB	USING SECOND BATCH:
-----	------------	-------------------	---------------------

8.2.1	Cycle-01

Date of test	Equipment ID No.	
Product Name	Batch No.	
Vacuum observed	Vacuum Hold Time	

Respule No.	Observation	Respule No.	Observation
1		11	
2		12	
3		13	
4		14	
5		15	
6		16	
7		17	
8		18	
9		19	
10		20	

Checked By (Production) Sign/Date:	Verified By (Quality Assurance) Sign/Date:
Inference:	
	Reviewed By (Manager QA) Sign/Date:



DD	\sim $^{-1}$	$\Gamma \cap$	α	\T	T .	r
PR	U)	W	w	L	1	0.

8.2.2	Cycle-02
~	- , cre o-

Date of test	Equipment ID No.	
Product Name	Batch No.	
Vacuum observed	Vacuum Hold Time	

Respule No.	Observation	Respule No.	Observation
1		11	
2		12	
3		13	
4		14	
5		15	
6		16	
7		17	
8		18	
9		19	
10		20	

Checked By	Verified By
(Production)	(Quality Assurance)
Sign/Date:	Sign/Date:
Inference:	
	Danisana I Dan
	Reviewed By
	(Manager QA) Sign/Date:
	Sign/Date:



\mathbf{n}	\sim r	\mathbf{r}	α	T	т
$\nu \nu$				LN	
1 1/	` '			,	

8.2.3 Cycle-03

Date of test	Equipment ID No.	
Product Name	Batch No.	
Vacuum observed	Vacuum Hold Time	

Respule No.	Observation	Respule No.	Observation
1		11	
2		12	
3		13	
4		14	
5		15	
6		16	
7		17	
8		18	
9		19	
10		20	

Verified By
(Quality Assurance)
Sign/Date:
Reviewed By
(Manager QA) Sign/Date:



DD	\sim $^{-1}$	$\Gamma \cap$	α	\T	T .	r
PR	U)	W	w	L	1	0.

8.3	EVALUATION	OF PERFORMANCE BY	USING THIRD BATCH:

8.3.1	Cycle-01

Date of test	Equipment ID No.	
Product Name	Batch No.	
Vacuum observed	Vacuum Hold Time	

Respule No.	Observation	Respule No.	Observation
1		11	
2		12	
3		13	
4		14	
5		15	
6		16	
7		17	
8		18	
9		19	
10		20	

Checked By (Production) Sign/Date:	Verified By (Quality Assurance) Sign/Date:
Inference:	
	Reviewed By (Manager QA) Sign/Date:



\mathbf{n}	\sim r	\mathbf{r}	α	T	т
$\nu \nu$				LN	
1 1/	` '			,	

8.3.2	Cycle-02
0.0.2	

Date of test	Equipment ID No.	
Product Name	Batch No.	
Vacuum observed	Vacuum Hold Time	

Respule No.	Observation	Respule No.	Observation
1		11	
2		12	
3		13	
4		14	
5		15	
6		16	
7		17	
8		18	
9		19	
10		20	

Checked By (Production) Sign/Date:	Verified By (Quality Assurance) Sign/Date:
Inference:	
	Reviewed By (Manager QA) Sign/Date:



D	D	A	т	A		$\cap \mathbf{I}$		I	
L.	1/	ι,		、 ,	·	(/ 1	⊿ 1.	w	

8.3.3	Cycle-03
~.~.	0,, 0-0

Date of test	Equipment ID No.	
Product Name	Batch No.	
Vacuum observed	Vacuum Hold Time	

Respule No.	Observation	Respule No.	Observation
1		11	
2		12	
3		13	
4		14	
5		15	
6		16	
7		17	
8		18	
9		19	
10		20	

Checked By (Production) Sign/Date:	Verified By (Quality Assurance) Sign/Date:
Inference:	
	Reviewed By (Manager QA) Sign/Date:



DD	\sim $^{-1}$	$\Gamma \cap$	α	\T	T .	r _
PR	U)	w	w	L	1	0.

9.0 CHECKLIST OF ALL TESTS & CHECKS:

S.No.	NAME OF TEST OR CHECK	EXECUTION	REMARK	VERIFIED BY
		(YES/NO.)		(SIGN & DATE)
1.	Evaluation of Performance by			
	Using First Batch			
2.	Evaluation of Performance by			
	Using Second Batch			
3.	Evaluation of Performance by			
	Using Third Batch			

Inference:	
	n / In
	Reviewed By
	(Manager QA)
	Sign/Date:



PROTOCOL No.:

10.0 DOCUMENTS TO BE ATTACHED:

• Any Other Relevant Document

11.0	NON COMPLIANCE:
12.0	DEVIATION FROM PREDEFINED SPECIFICATION IF, ANY:
13.0	CHANGE CONTROL, IF ANY:
14.0	REVIEW (INCLUSIVE OF FOLLOW UP ACTION, IF ANY):



PROTOCOL No.:

ГПАГ	AMA DEVILS)	
15.0	CONCLUSIO	ON:	
	•••••	•	
	•••••	•	
	•••••	•	
	•••••	••••••	
	•••••		
	•••••	•	
	•••••	••••••	
	•••••	•	
16.0	RECOMME	NDATI	ON:
	•••••		
	•••••		
	•••••		
17.0	ABBREVIA'	TIONS:	
17.0	VLT	:	Vacuum Leak tester
	FFS	:	Form Fill Seal
	m^3	:	meter cube
	min	:	Minute
	mm	:	Millimeter
	NA	:	Not Applicable
	No.	:	Number
	PVT	:	Private
	QA	:	Quality Assurance
	SOP	:	Standard operating procedure



PROTOCOL No	
	•

18.0 REPORT POST-APPROVAL:

PREPARED BY:

DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
OFFICER/EXECUTIVE			
(QUALITY ASSURANCE)			

REVIEWED BY:

DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
OPERATING MANAGER (QUALITY ASSURANCE)			
HEAD (ENGINEERING)			

APPROVED BY:

DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
HEAD (PRODUCTION			