

QUALITY CONTROL DEPARTMENT

PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION FOR AUTOMATED FRIABILATOR EF-2 (USP)

PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION FOR AUTOMATED FRIABILATOR EF-2 (USP)

QUALITY CONTROL DEPARTMENT

PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION FOR AUTOMATED FRIABILATOR EF-2 (USP)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 Pre-Approval

2.0 Overview

- 2.1 Purpose
- 2.2 Scope
- 2.3 Responsibility
- 2.4 Requalification
- 2.5 Instrument Identification

3.0 Performance Qualification

- 3.1 Performance Qualification Procedure.
- 3.2 SOP verification
- 3.3 Performance Qualification test data sheets
- 3.4 Deficiency (if any) and Corrective Action Report

4.0 Acceptance Criteria

5.0 Summary

- 5.1 Conclusion
- 5.2 Post Approval

6.0 Appendix

6.1 Abbreviations and Definitions



PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION FOR AUTOMATED FRIABILATOR EF-2 (USP)

1.0 Pre-Approval:

Signing of this Approval page of Performance Qualification Protocol No....... indicates agreement with the Performance Qualification approach described in this document. Should Modifications to the Performance Qualification become necessary, an addendum will be prepared and approved.

Written By	Signature	Date
Production		

Checked By	Signature	Date
Production		
Quality Assurance		

Approved By	Signature	Date
Quality Assurance		
Plant Head		



PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION FOR AUTOMATED FRIABILATOR EF-2 (USP)

•	Λ	\sim	
2.	"	()x	erview:
	.,		1.1 VII. VV.

2.1 Purpose:

The purpose of this protocol is:

- To verify the performance attributes of the *Automated Friabilator EF-2* (*USP*) critical to serve the intended purpose.
- To document the observations for future reference.
- To provide documented evidence that the *Automated Friabilator EF-2 (USP)* is operated and performed as per the Standard Operating Procedure.

2.2 Scope:

This protocol covers the Performance Qualifications of the Automated Friabilator EF - 2 (USP).



PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION FOR AUTOMATED FRIABILATOR EF-2 (USP)

2.3 Responsibility:

The group comprising of representatives from each of the following departments shall be responsible for the overall compliance with this protocol:

- ♦ Production Department
- ♦ Quality Assurance Department

The Production and In Process Quality Control shall be responsible for Performing as well as the checking of the Performance Qualification along with the Quality Assurance and recording data as per the procedures outlined in this protocol.

Quality Assurance shall collect all the test data and shall compile the results to make the reports of qualification studies.

The Reports shall be checked by Quality Assurance.

The -Quality Assurance and the Plant Head shall finally approve the Qualification report.



PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION FOR AUTOMATED FRIABILATOR EF-2 (USP)

2.4 Requalification:

Performance Qualification to be repeated incase of

- Replacement of any major component.
- Major modification in the existing instrument.
- During monitoring if instrument. is found to be malfunctioning.
- Shifting of the instrument from one location to another.

2.5 Instrument Identification:

The subjected instrument is identified as Automated Friabilator EF-2 (USP)

Serial No. :

In-house Instrument No.:

Name of the Supplier : Electrolab

Purchase Order No. :



PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION FOR AUTOMATED FRIABILATOR EF-2 (USP)

- 3.0 Performance Qualification:
- 3.1 Performance Qualification Procedure

Perform the Qualification as per the following procedure.

- 3.1.1 Operate the instrument as per the SOP.
- 3.1.2 Note the number of revolutions per minute by setting the count on 25, 100, 150 & 300 revolutions and record the observations in Section 3.2
- 3.1.3 Report the deficiency from the specified function, if any in the section 3.3



QUALITY CONTROL DEPARTMENT

PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION FOR AUTOMATED FRIABILATOR EF-2 (USP)

3.2 Performance Qualification Test Data Sheet:

3.2.1 Number of revolutions per minute:

S.No.	Standard Revolutions	Actual	Acceptance Criteria	Remarks
1	25		± 1	
2	100		± 1	
3	150		± 1	
4	300		± 1	

Verified By:		
Name:	Signature :	Date :



PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION FOR AUTOMATED FRIABILATOR EF-2 (USP)

3.3 Deficiency (if any) and Corrective Action Report

If there is no deficiency, Description of deficienc		
Person, responsible for o	corrective action and date as	signed:
Corrective actions taken	and date conducted:	
Conducted By:	Approved	By:
Date :	Date :	
Comments (if any):		
erified By:		
Jame:	Signature:	Date:



PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION FOR AUTOMATED FRIABILATOR EF-2 (USP)

4.0 Acceptance Criteria:

Performance Qualification shall be considered acceptable when all the conditions specified in various data sheets under section 3.0 have been met.

Any deviation from the acceptance criteria of the specific check point shall be reported and decision should be taken for the rejection, replacement or rectification of the instrument / component.



PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION FOR AUTOMATED FRIABILATOR EF-2 (USP)

5.0 Summary:

Checks	Observations Yes / No	Remarks (if any)
Whether the acceptance		
criteria of the protocol and		
specific checkpoints are met.		

5.1 Conclusion:

The Automa	ated	Friabilator EF-	-2 (USP) Equip	ment N	Vо	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,	is / is not
qualifying	the	Performance	Qualification	tests	as	per	the	Protocol
No	The	Instrument car	n / cannot be u	sed for	r the	routi	ine ar	nalysis.

QUALITY CONTROL DEPARTMENT

PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION FOR AUTOMATED FRIABILATOR EF-2 (USP)

5.2 Post-Approval:

Name	Signature	Date
Quality Assurance		
Plant Head		
Quality Assurance		

6.0 Appendix:

6.1 Abbreviations and Definitions

PQ - Performance Qualification

mm - Millimeter
Min - Minutes
V - Volt
Hz - Hertz
Kg. - Kilogram

cm - Centimeter N.A. - Not Applicable

Sr. - Senior

S. No. - Serial Number mV - milli Volt

°C - Degree Centigrade AC - Alternate Current DC - Direct Current

g - Gram

RH - Relative Humidity

USP - United States Pharmacopoeia



QUALITY CONTROL DEPARTMENT

PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION FOR AUTOMATED FRIABILATOR EF-2 (USP)

Acceptance criteria : The product, instrument., and / or process specifications

and limits, such as acceptable quality level and unacceptable quality level, that are necessary for making

a decision to accept or reject.

Performance : The documented verification that all aspects of a facility, **Qualification** : the document that can affect product quality

utility, or equipment that can affect product quality perform as intended meeting predetermined acceptance

criteria.

Validation : Establishing documented evidence that a system does

what it purports to do.

Revalidation : Repetition of the validation process or a specific portion

of it