

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE			
Department: Quality Control SOP No.:			
Title: Analytical Method Validation	Effective Date:		
Supersedes: Nil	Review Date:		
Issue Date:	Page No.:		

1.0 OBJECTIVE:

To lay down a procedure for accurate, reliable and consistent data by the use of validated analytical methods for the assessment of pharmaceutical products.

2.0 **SCOPE**:

This SOP is applicable for.

- (a) Routine Pharmacopoeial analytical methods that are transferred.
- (b) Standard chromatographic methods in Quality Control Department.

3.0 **RESPONSIBILITY:**

- 3.1 Preparation Executive QC.
- 3.2 Checking Assistant Manager QC.
- 3.3 Approval Manager QC

4.0 **PROCEDURE:**

- 4.1 The analytical parameters for method validation shall be selected as mentioned in the respective tables as follows -
- 4.1.1 For Methods transferred from PRC Table 1
- 4.1.2 For Pharmacopeial Methods Table 2
- 4.1.3 For In-house developed Methods Table 3

Table - 1 (For Transferred Methods)

Category	Parameters to be Performed	
	Ruggedness	
Assay		



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE			
Department: Quality Control SOP No.:			
Title: Analytical Method Validation	Effective Date:		
Supersedes: Nil	Review Date:		
Issue Date:	Page No.:		

Table- 2 (For Pharmacopoeial Methods)

	Parameters to be Performed				
Category	Specificity	Accuracy	LOD	LOQ	
Assay			Х	Х	
Chromatographic Purity / RS	\checkmark	* √	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Residual Solvent					
Swab Analysis					

Note : * only to be carried out when the impurities are known and available.

	Parameters to be Performed							
Category	Specificity	Precision	Linearity & Range	Accuracy	Ruggedness	Robustness	LOD	LOQ
Assay	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	Х
Chromatographic Purity / RS	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	* √	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Residual Solvent	\checkmark	\checkmark				Х	\checkmark	\checkmark
Swab Analysis		\checkmark				Х	\checkmark	

Note : * only to be carried out when the impurities are known and available.

4.2 The Method Validation Protocol shall be prepared as per Annexure -I

4.2.1 Analytical Method Validation Protocol shall be numbered as

AMVP = Analytical Method Validation Protocol

XXX = Serial No. starting from 001.

- 4.2.2 The records of Analytical Method Validation Protocol Number shall be maintained on Annexure -II
- 4.3 The experimental design, comprising the tests or methods to be validated, the relevant analytical parameters to be checked, shall be indicated in the protocol on the basis of the category given in the above table.
- 4.4 Analytical Parameter -



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE			
Department: Quality ControlSOP No.:			
Title: Analytical Method Validation	Effective Date:		
Supersedes: Nil Review Date:			
Issue Date:	Page No.:		

4.4.1 Specificity -

4.4.1.1 Assay

Experimental Design -

- System Suitability determination.
- Diluents / Blank, impurity & placebo interferences.
- Separate injections of individual impurities for identification and purity check by PDA, if required.
- 5 replicates for standard & 6 replicates for test & determination of % RSD of peak area response or absorbance and RT for replicate.

Acceptance Criteria -

- As per respective STP. If not defined/ specified, Theoretical Plates NLT 2000, Tailing Factor- NMT 2.0, Resolution NLT 1.0.
- No interference of diluents/ blank & swab at the RT of drug substances for HPLC & for UV- NMT 1.0%. In case of placebo, interference for HPLC- NMT0.1% & for UV- NMT 1%.
- Purity of impurity used should be NLT 90%.
- % RSD: For Area- NMT 2.0%

For RT- NMT 1.0%

4.4.1.2 Related Impurities/ Chromatographic Purity

Experimental Design -

- 1 to 4 as defined in Assay.
- Calculate RRT of known impurity.
- Determine RRF by slope of Linearity Graph.

Acceptance Criteria -

- 1 to 4 as defined in Assay- except % RSD For Area- NMT 5%.
- RRT of the individual impurity should be comparable to the corresponding RRT in system precision solution.
- If RRF is between 0.8 to 1.2, calculate the impurities by using the response of the low load of the drug substance.
- If RRF is less than 0.8& more than 1.2, calculate the impurities by using RRF of the respective impurities & by using response of low load of drug substance.
- RRF should be between 0.2 to 5.0.

4.4.1.3 Residual Solvent

Experimental Design -



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE			
Depar	rtment: Quality Control	SOP No.:	
Title:	Analytical Method Validation	Effective Date:	
-	rsedes: Nil	Review Date:	
Issue	Date:	Page No.:	
	• 1 to 4 as defined in Assay.		
	Acceptance Criteria -		
	• 1 to 4 as defined in Assay - except % RSD - For A	Area- NMT 15.0%.	
	• For RT- NMT 2.0% and Interference should be no	ot more than 2.0%.	
4.4.1.4	Cleaning Validation (Swab Analysis)		
	Experimental Details & Acceptance Criteria: Same as defi	ined in Assay.	
4.4.2	Linearity and range		
4.4.2.1	Assay		
	Experimental Design -		
	• Determination of minimum 5 levels of standard in concentration including limit level concentration. replicates and other levels in duplicates & take methods.	Carry out linearity for first & last level in 5	
	• Determine the precision of lower and highest leve	l in 5 replicates.	
	Acceptance Criteria –		
	• Linearity Coefficient of mean response of r concentration should not be less than 0.99.	replicate determination plotted against respectiv	
	• % RSD - For Peak Area lowest & highest level- N	JMT 2.0%	
	For RT- NMT 1.0%		
4.4.2.2	Related Impurities/ Chromatographic Purity		
	Experimental Details & Acceptance Criteria: Same as defi	ined in Assay.	
4.4.2.3	Residual Solvent		
	Experimental Details & Acceptance Criteria: Same as defi	ined in Assay.	
4.4.2.4	Cleaning Validation (Swab Analysis)		
	Experimental Details & Acceptance Criteria: Same as defi	ined in Assay.	
4.4.3	Precision		
4.4.3.1	Assay		
	Experimental Design -		
	r		

• Repeatability of 5 replicates determinations for assay of standard at working level concentration and determination of % RSD of response of standard replicates & retention time.



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE			
Department: Quality ControlSOP No.:			
Title: Analytical Method ValidationEffective Date:			
Supersedes: NilReview Date:			
Issue Date:	Page No.:		

• Method Precision of at least 6 replicate assay of a sample & determination of % RSD.

Acceptance Criteria –

- System suitability as per specificity.
- % RSD For Peak Area Response of replicate standards- NMT 2.0%.

For RT- NMT 1.0%.

- % RSD For Assay- NMT 2.0%.
- 4.4.3.2 Related Impurities/ Chromatographic Purity

Experimental Design -

- Repeatability of 6 replicate determinations of Impurity solution for known impurities & drug solutions for unknown impurities at the limit level concentration. Determine % RSD for Peak Area Response & RT.
- Method precision of at least 6 replicate determinations of a sample. Determine % RSD of the content of known impurities, single maximum unknown impurity and total impurities.
- If unknown impurities are not detectable in sample then spike working level concentration of known impurities in sample.

Acceptance Criteria -

- System suitability as per specificity.
- % RSD For Peak Area Response of replicate standards- NMT 2.0%.

For RT- NMT 1.0%.

- % RSD Of known, single maximum unknown impurity & total impurities NMT10.0%.
- % RSD of % Recovery of known impurities- NMT 10%.

4.4.3.3 Residual Solvent

Experimental Design -

- Repeatability of 6 replicate injections of solvent standards at working level Determine % RSD of peak area response & RT of individual solvents.
- Method precision of at least 6 replicate determinations of a sample. Determine % RSD of the content of solvents.
- If unknown impurities are not detectable in sample then spike working level concentration of known impurities in sample.

Acceptance Criteria -

• % RSD - For Peak Area- NMT 15.0%.

For RT- NMT 2.0%

• % RSD - For Content of Solvent- NMT 15.0%.



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE			
Department: Quality Control SOP No.:			
Title: Analytical Method Validation	Effective Date:		
Supersedes: Nil	Review Date:		
Issue Date:	Page No.:		

- % RSD Recovery of Solvent- NMT 15.0%.
- 4.4.3.4 Cleaning Validation (Swab Analysis)

Experimental Design -

- Repeatability of 6 replicate injections of standard at working level. Determine % RSD of peak area response of replicate standards and RT of components.
- Repeatability of the accuracy in the limit level concentration of 6 replicates.

Acceptance Criteria -

- Same as defined in Assay.
- % RSD Recovery for 6 replicate determinations- NMT 10.0% and individual
 - % recovery should be more than 80%.

4.4.4 Accuracy

4.4.4.1 Assay

Experimental Design -

- Inject 5 replicates of standard solution. Determine % RSD of Peak Area Response & retention Time.
- To the placebo, add drug substances corresponding to 50,100 & 150% of the working level concentration & determine the assay. Prepare each level in triplicates & inject once.
- In case of lower dosage form where drug weight equivalent or less than 5.0mg, prepare the standard stock solution & spike this standard in placebo solution.
- In case of higher dosage form where drug weight more than 5.0mg, spike the known amount of working standard in placebo mixture.

Acceptance Criteria -

• % RSD – For Peak Area- NMT 2.0%.

For RT- NMT 1.0%

- Recovery should be between 98 and 102%.
- 4.4.4.2 Related Impurity/ Chromatographic Purity

Experimental Design -

• To a sample in which content of impurities is already known, the individual linearity levels of known impurities are added & the recovery is calculated. Carry out this exercise in minimum 3 replicates for at least 3 levels (50% to 150% of limit level).

Acceptance Criteria -

• Recovery should be between 90 & 110%.

4.4.4.3 Residual Organic Solvent Test



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE			
Department: Quality Control SOP No.:			
Title: Analytical Method Validation	Effective Date:		
Supersedes: Nil	Review Date:		
Issue Date:	Page No.:		

Experimental Design -

• Same as defined in Related Impurity/ Chromatographic Purity.

Acceptance Criteria -

- Recovery should be between 85 & 115%.
- 4.4.4.4 Cleaning Validation (Swab Analysis)

Experimental Design -

• Prepare standard stock solutions of drug substances in presence of placebo. Transfer the known quantity on SS plate, evaporate to dryness on water bath. Swab the surface of the plate with a swab. Transfer the swab in volumetric flask. Add known amount of diluents, swirl the flask & carry out the determination. Prepare the standard solutions of the each level as above without evaporation. Carry out recovery study for at least 3 levels in at least 3 replicates & calculate % recovery.

Acceptance Criteria -

- Recovery should be 80 and 120%.
- 4.4.5 Reproducibility (Intermediate Precision)

```
4.4.5.1 Assay
```

Experimental Design -

- Repeatability of 6 replicate determination of standard at assay level concentration. Determine % RSD of Peak area response & Retention Time.
- Intermediate precision 6 replicates for assay of a sample by a different analyst/ day at normal laboratory condition/ instrument/ different columns of same make. Determine % RSD of assays.

Acceptance Criteria -

- System suitability as per specificity.
- % RSD For Peak Area of replicate standards NMT 2.0%

For RT–NMT 1.0%.

- % RSD For Assay- NMT 2.0%.
- Cumulative % RSD of Assay- NMT 2.0%.
- 4.4.5.2 Related Impurity/ Chromatographic Purity

Experimental Design -

- Carry out specificity & system suitability.
- Repeatability of 6 replicate determinations of impurity solution for known impurities & drug solutions for unknown impurities at limit level concentration. Determine % RSD for peak area & retention time.



STANDARD OP	PERATING PROCEDURE
Department: Quality Control	SOP No.:
Title: Analytical Method Validation	Effective Date:
Supersedes: Nil	Review Date:
Issue Date:	Page No.:
	eted once by a different analyst/ day at normal laboratory of same make. Determine % RSD of known, single purities.
• Determine cumulative % RSD of known	, single maximum unknown impurity & total impurities.
• If known impurities are not detectable in impurities in the sample (6 sample prepared)	sample then spike working level concentration of the know ration and inject once).
Acceptance Criteria –	
• System suitability as per specificity.	
• % RSD – For peak area response of repli	cate standards- NMT 5.0%.
For RT- NMT 1.0%.	
• % RSD for known, single maximum unk	nown impurity & total impurities- NMT 10.0%.
• Cumulative % RSD for known, single maximum unknown impurity & total impurities- NMT 10	
• Cumulative % RSD of % recovery of kn than 90%).	nown impurities – NMT 10.0%. (% Recovery should be me
4.4.5.3 Residual Organic Solvent Test	
Experimental Design -	
• System suitability determination.	
• Diluents Interference.	
• Repeatability of 6 replicate injections of retention time of individual solvent.	solvent standard. Determine % RSD for peak area &
day at normal laboratory conditions/ inst	erminations of trace solvents of a sample by different analys rument/ different column of same make. Determine % RSD ve % RSD of Content of Solvents I precision study &
	hen spike working level concentration the sample. Determin vents I precision study & intermediate precision study
Acceptance Criteria –	
• Resolution should be more than 1.0.	
• No interference of the diluents. If interf	ference observed, the response of the interference should

• % RSD – For peak area- NMT 15.0%

NMT 2.0%.

For RT- NMT 2.0%.

- % RSD For content of solvents- NMT 15.0%.
- Cumulative % RSD for content of solvent- NMT 15.0%



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE	
Department: Quality Control	SOP No.:
Title: Analytical Method Validation	Effective Date:
Supersedes: Nil	Review Date:
Issue Date:	Page No.:

- Cumulative % RSD for % recovery of solvents- NMT 15.0%. (% Recovery should be between 85 to 115%.
- 4.4.5.4 Cleaning Validation (Swab Analysis)

Experimental Design -

• Repeat the precision study on a different instrument/ different day at normal laboratory temperature/ with different analyst and determine the reproducibility of replicate determinations. Carry out 6 replicate determinations for limit level. Calculate RSD of % recoveries. Also calculate % RSD of % recoveries of precision study & intermediate precision study.

Acceptance Criteria -

• % RSD- For Peak Area - NMT 2.0%.

For RT- NMT 1.0%.

- % RSD of % recovery of 6 replicate determinations- NMT 10% with individual recovery should be more than 80%.
- Cumulative % RSD NMT 10.0%.
- 4.4.6 Robustness

```
4.4.6.1 Assay
```

Experimental Design -

• Deliberately alter any 3 critical parameters by minor variation.

Mobile phase composition (higher concentration by 2% or lower concentration by 5-10%)

pH of mobile phase / buffer (± 0.2)

Column oven temperature ($\pm 2.0^{\circ}$ C)

Mobile phase flow rate (± 0.2 ml/min)

- Repeatability of 6 replicate determination of standard at working level concentration. Determine the % RSD of peak area response of replicates and retention time.
- Determine the cumulative % RSD of Assay of precision study and robustness study together.

Acceptance Criteria –

- System Suitability as per specificity.
- % RSD- For Peak Area- NMT 2.0%

For RT- NMT 1.0%

• % Cumulative RSD- NMT 2.0%

4.4.6.2 Related Impurity/ Chromatographic Purity

Experimental Design -



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE	
Department: Quality Control	SOP No.:
Title: Analytical Method Validation	Effective Date:
Supersedes: Nil	Review Date:
Issue Date:	Page No.:

- Inject 6 replicates of standard & determine the % RSD for peak area response and retention time.
- 2 to 3 same as defined in Assay.

Acceptance Criteria –

- System Suitability as per specificity.
- % RSD- For Peak Area- NMT 5.0%.

For RT- NMT 1.0%

- % Cumulative RSD- NMT 10.0%
- 4.4.6.3 Residual Organic Solvent Test

Not Applicable

4.4.6.4 Cleaning Validation (Swab Analysis)

Not Applicable

- 4.4.7 Limit Of Quantification (LOQ)
- 4.4.7.1 Assay

Not applicable

4.4.7.2 Related Impurity/ Chromatographic Purity

Experimental Design -

- Determine the signal-to-noise ratio at lower levels (equal to the first level of linearity & higher than LOD). Carry out 6 replicate determinations at this concentration.
- Signal to noise ratio should be calculated by the following formula:

S / N = 2 H / h

Where H = height of peak corresponding to the component concerned in the chromatogram obtained with the prescribed reference solution, measured.

from the maximum of the peak to the extrapolated baseline of the signal observed over a distance equal to 20 times the width at half height.

h = Range of the background noise in the chromatogram obtained after injection or application of a blank, observed over a distance equal to 20 times

of the width at half height of the peak in the chromatogram obtained with the prescribed reference solution & if possible, suited equally around the place where peak would be found.

• Determine % RSD for Peak Area response & Retention Time.

Acceptance Criteria -

• The concentration is acceptable as LOQ if the signal-to-noise ratio is equal to or more than 10.



Department: Quality Control	SOP No.:	
Title: Analytical Method Validation	Effective Date:	
Supersedes: Nil	Review Date:	
Issue Date:	Page No.:	
• % RSD- For Peak Area- NMT 5.0%		
For RT- NMT 1.0%		
.4.7.3 Residual Organic Solvent Test		
Experimental Design -		
• Same as defined in Related Impurity/ Chromatographic	Purity.	
Acceptance Criteria –		
• Same as defined above except % RSD- For Peak Area- 1	NMT 15.0%	
For RT- 2.0%		
4.4.7.4 Cleaning Validation (Swab Analysis)		
Experimental Design -		
• 1 to 3 same as defined in Related Impurity/ Chromatogra	aphic Purity.	
Acceptance Criteria –		
• 1 to 2 same as defined in Related Impurity/ Chromatogra	aphic Purity.	
Limit Of Detection (LOD)		
4.4.8.1 Assay		
Not applicable		
4.4.8.2 Related Impurity/ Chromatographic Purity		
Experimental Design -		
• 1 & 2 same as defined in LOQ for Related Impurity/ Chi	romatographic Purity.	
Acceptance Criteria –		
• The concentration is acceptable as LOD if the signal-to-	noise ratio is equal to or more than 3	
4.4.8.3 Residual Organic Solvent Test		
Experimental Design & Acceptance Criteria		
• Same as defined in Related Impurity/ Chromatographic	Purity.	
4.4.8.4 Cleaning Validation (Swab Analysis)		
Experimental Design -		

Acceptance Criteria -



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE		
Department: Quality ControlSOP No.:		
Title: Analytical Method Validation	Effective Date:	
Supersedes: Nil	Review Date:	
Issue Date:	Page No.:	

• The concentration is acceptable as LOD if the signal-to-noise ratio is equal to or more than 3.

• The limit should be subsequently validated by the analysis of a suitable number of samples known to be near or prepared at the Quantitation limit.

5.0 SAFETY AND PRECAUTION:

Not Applicable.

6.0 **REVISION HISTORY:**

Revision No.	Reason for Revision	Superseded from & date	
00			

7.0 **REFERENCES:**

Not Applicable.

8.0 ABBREVIATIONS

- SOP : Standard Operating Procedure
- % : Quality Control
- LOD : Limit of Detection
- LOQ : Limit of Quantification
- RS : Related Substances
- STP : Standard Test Procedure
- NMT : Not More Than
- NLT : Not Less Than
- CU : Content Uniformity
- RT : Retention Time
- RRT : Relative Retention Time



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE		
Departmen	t: Quality Control	SOP No.:
Title: Anal	vtical Method Validation	Effective Date:
Supersede	: Nil	Review Date:
Issue Date		Page No.:
RRF RSD	: Relative Retention Factor : Relative Standard Deviation	
PDA	: Photo Diode Array detector	
SS	: Stainless Steel	
9.0 ANN	EXURE	
Ann	exure – I : Analytical Method Validation Protocol	
Anne	xure – II : Records of Analytical Method Validatio	n Protocol Number



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE	
Department: Quality ControlSOP No.:	
Title: Analytical Method Validation	Effective Date:
Supersedes: Nil	Review Date:
Issue Date:	Page No.:

Annexure- I ANALYTICAL METHOD VALIDATION PROTOCOL

PROTOCOL FOR ANALYTICAL METHOD VALIDATION

NAME OF THE PRODUCT

METHOD NAME

DOCUMENT NUMBER



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE	
Department: Quality ControlSOP No.:	
Title: Analytical Method Validation Effective Date:	
Supersedes: Nil	Review Date:
Issue Date:	Page No.:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S.No.	CONTENTS	PAGE No.
1.	PROTOCOL AUTHOR	3
2.	PROTOCOL PRE – APPROVAL	3
3.	VALIDATION TEAM	3
4.	OBJECTIVE & SCOPE	4
5.	RESPONSIBILITIES	4
6.	ACCOUNTABILITY	5
7.	CRITERIA FOR VALIDATION	5
8.	METHODOLOGY	6
9.	PRODUCT DETAILS	6
10.	INSTRUMENTS, REAGENTS AND WORKING STANDARD	7
11.	METHOD (STP'S) & METHOD PARAMETERS	8
12.	DATA SHEET	9
13.	SUMMARY REPORTS OF METHOD VALIDATION	10
14.	CONCLUSION	11
15.	APPROVAL	12



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE	
Department: Quality ControlSOP No.:	
Title: Analytical Method ValidationEffective Date:	
Supersedes: Nil	Review Date:
Issue Date:	Page No.:

1.0 PROTOCOL AUTHOR

Name	Designation	Signature	Date

2.0 PROTOCOL PRE – APPROVAL

Name	Designation	Signature	Date

3.0 VALIDATION TEAM: Validation team shall comprise of representatives from Q.C. and Q.A.

Function	Name	Designation	Signature	Date
Q.C.				
Q.A.				



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE		
Department: Quality ControlSOP No.:		
Title: Analytical Method Validation	Effective Date:	
Supersedes: Nil	Review Date:	
Issue Date:	Page No.:	

4.0 OBJECTIVE & SCOPE:

4.1 **OBJECTIVE:**

To lay down the procedure for validation of Analytical Methods for Laboratory suitability.

4.2 SCOPE :

This protocol is applicable to Analytical method to be validated when,

- Transferred method. (As technology transfer),
- Pharmacopoeial method,
- > In-house Analytical Method (Method developed at PRC).

5.0 **RESPONSIBILITIES:**

5.1 **PROTOCOL DEVELOPMENT:**

The Quality Control shall be responsible for protocol development in co-ordination with Quality Assurance department.

5.2 VALIDATION PROGRAMME:

Quality Control Department shall be responsible to carry out successful validation of Analytical method and shall establish the suitability of the method and its subsequent implementation in QC department.

6.0 ACCOUNTABILITY:

6.1 APPROVAL OF PROTOCOL & EVALUATION OF RESULTS FOR FINAL APPROVAL:

The Manager –QC & QA shall be accountable to review all documents related to Analytical method Validation at site and evaluate results and approve its summary report.

7.0 CRITERIA FOR VALIDATION AND REVALIDATION:

Method validation is the process to confirm that the analytical procedure employed for a specific test is suitable for its intended use.

Method needs to be validated or revalidated.

Whenever the change in Analytical method



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE		
Department: Quality ControlSOP No.:		
Title: Analytical Method Validation	Effective Date:	
Supersedes: Nil	Review Date:	
Issue Date:	Page No.:	

> Change in qualitative composition of ingredients.

> Wherever method is transferred from one site to another site(to be validated for Ruggedness only)

8.0 METHODOLOGY:

Analytical method to be validated when

1 Transferred method either from PRC/LLM to QC.

:

:

:

- 2 Pharmacopoeial methods.
- 3 In house developed method

9.0. PRODUCT DETAILS:

Name of the product :

Formulation type

Label claim

Batch No.

Composition of the batch : As per master formula card No.:

S.No.	Name	Unit Quantity (mg)
1.		
2.		
3.		

10.0 INSTRUMENTS, REAGENTS AND WORKING STANDARDS

10.1 INSTRUMENTS :

S.No.	Name of Instrument	Make/Model	Identification No. of Instrument	Status for Calibration and Qualification of instrument.
1				
2				
3				



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE		
SOP No.:		
Effective Date:		
Review Date:		
Page No.:		

10.2 REAGENTS:

S.No.	Name of Reagents	Grade
1		
2		
3		

10.3 DETAIL OF WORKING / REFERENCE STD:

Name of working /Ref Std. : Working / Ref STD .No. : Potency :

11.0 METHOD (STP'S) AND METHOD PARAMETERS

11.1 INSTRUMENTAL PARAMETER

11.2 PREPARATION OF REAGENTS / BUFFER

11.3 PREPARATION OF STANDARD SOLUTIONS

11.4 PREPARATION OF TEST SOLUTIONS

11.5 **PROCEDURE**

11.6 CALCULATIONS

11.7 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

12 DATA SHEETS

- 12.1 DATA SHEET FOR SPECIFICITY
- 12.2 DATA SHEET FOR LINEARITY
- 12.3 DATA SHEET FOR RANGE
- 12.4 DATA SHEET FOR PRECISION
- 12.5 DATA SHEET FOR ACCURACY
- **12.6 DATA SHEET FOR REPRODUCIBILITY (INTERMEDIATE PRECISION)**
- 12.7 DATA SHEET FOR LOD



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE		
Department: Quality ControlSOP No.:		
Title: Analytical Method Validation	Effective Date:	
Supersedes: Nil	Review Date:	
Issue Date:	Page No.:	

12.8 DATA SHEET FOR LOQ:

12.9 DATA SHEET FOR ROBUSTNESS:

Note:-Prepare data sheet for above parameters (as applicable) giving all details with reference to experimental design and acceptance criteria including name of analyst, instrument ID, date of analysis etc. Also include in data sheet observations and calculations as per method.

13.0 SUMMARY REPORT OF METHOD VALIDATION:

S.No.	Method Parameters	Observation	Acceptance Criteria	Remarks



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE			
Department: Quality ControlSOP No.:			
Title: Analytical Method Validation	Effective Date:		
Supersedes: Nil	Review Date:		
Issue Date:	Page No.:		
14.0 CONCLUSION:			
	QC/043/01/00		

15.0 APPROVAL:

Approved / Not Approved:

Name	Designation	Signature	Date
	AM (Q.C.)		
	MANAGER (Q.C.)		
	MANAGER (Q.A.)		



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE		
Department: Quality Control SOP No.:		
Title: Analytical Method Validation	Effective Date:	
Supersedes: Nil	Review Date:	
Issue Date:	Page No.:	

Annexure-II

RECORDS OF ANALYTICAL METHOD VALIDATION PROTOCOL NUMBER

S.No.	Name of Product	Method	Number Assigned	Assigned By