

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION PLAN

FAILURE MODE EFFECT ANALYSIS FOR VENDOR QUALIFICATION THROUGH QUESTIONNAIRE INSTEAD OF SITE AUDIT

Reference Document No. Risk Assessment No.:

QUALITY RISK ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION PLAN (FAILURE MODE EFFECT ANALYSIS FOR VENDOR QUALIFICATION THROUGH QUESTIONNAIRE INSTEAD OF SITE AUDIT)



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION PLAN

FAILURE MODE EFFECT ANALYSIS FOR VENDOR QUALIFICATION THROUGH QUESTIONNAIRE INSTEAD OF SITE AUDIT

Reference Document No. Risk Assessment No.:

- 1. **OBJECTIVE:** To provide the documented evidence that there is low level of risk in case of "Vendor Qualification done through questionnaire instead of site audit".
- 2. SCOPE: The scope of this document is limited to Vendor Qualification atfacility.

3. RESPONSIBILITY:

Department	Responsibility				
Quality Assurance	Preparation, Review, and Compilation of FMEAPost Approval of FMEA				
CQA • Review of FMEA					

4. REASON FOR RISK ANALYSIS:

To mitigate & monitor the risk associated with the Vendor Qualification through Questionnaire instead of Site audit.

5. SITE OF STUDY:

6. RISK COMMUNICATION & TRAINING:

- The Risk analysis team shall be authorized by the Head-QA or his/her designee.
- Quality Risk Management Team shall be cross functional team comprised of expert from different areas.
- Training shall be imparted to the concerned team.



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION PLAN

FAILURE MODE EFFECT ANALYSIS FOR VENDOR QUALIFICATION THROUGH QUESTIONNAIRE INSTEAD OF SITE AUDIT

Reference Document No. Risk Assessment No.:

7. RISK IDENTIFICATION, EVALUATION & MITIGATION:

INTRODUCTION: As per APIC guideline, manufacture of Medicinal Products and the Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (API's) used as starting materials in the production of these products is subject to strict good manufacturing practice regulations that are designed to ensure their quality, safety and efficacy. This ensures that patients worldwide and at any time can have confidence in the quality, safety and efficacy of medicines.

In terms of defining the categories of materials, APIC guideline recommend that companies review the use of the material based on the ICH Q7 definition of Critical:- "Critical describes a process step, process condition, test requirement, or other relevant parameter or item that must be controlled within predetermined criteria to ensure that the API meets its specification".

Following are the criteria to be fulfilled for Vendor Qualification:

- Suppliers of all the materials should be approved using the Change Control procedure.
- cGMP & Regulatory compliances.
- Detail assessment of quality system required (Specifications/Manufacturing/Packaging/labelling details/MSDS/Logistic information/Certificates regarding Quality System, residual solvents, etc./BSE/TSE evaluation/Analytical test method).
- Raw material shall be evaluated as per specification.
- Plant trial prior to full production assessment required (Scale equipment, batch size, chemistry etc.).
- Safety/Health/Environmental.
- Quality Agreement shall be in place for all Suppliers.
- Any changes to the material specification, analytical methods or manufacturing process that may affect the quality of the material or downstream products should be informed in advance to evaluate the impact on final finished product.

Re-audit& Re-evaluation: The frequency of the re-audit should by dynamic and depending on the rating. In parallel with the re-audit, the supplier should be re-evaluated.

Evaluation through Questionnaire instead of Site audit for (......): Initial audit for was done in and re-evaluation for done through questionnaire in while for, no re-evaluation done, because as per SOP of Vendor Qualification.

- Re-evaluation to be done for those API vendors, of which minimum 5 API batches consignment are received.
- Any market complaint observed.
- Any Critical or 5 major observation found.
- Any critical change done in supplier site.
- Any critical change done in manufacturing process.
- Out of Specification observed.
- Re-audit shall be performed in case vendor site not acceptable poor performance (below 70% score).
- Periodic audit of API vendors (Domestic) audit shall be done 3 years ± 3 months, if the product is exported.



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION PLAN

FAILURE MODE EFFECT ANALYSIS FOR VENDOR QUALIFICATION THROUGH QUESTIONNAIRE INSTEAD OF SITE AUDIT

Reference Document No. Risk Assessment No.:

RISK IDENTIFICATION	RISK EVALUATION	RISK MITIGATION
A per the APIC guideline, the reaudit plan should be as per the rating given during evaluation, that evaluation could be after initial audit or after re-audit. In case of repeated poor performance (below 70%) of the supplier during the re-evaluation procedure or repeated market complaints, the vendor should be discontinued for further supply.	of finish product, any compromise with the quality parameters may result into critical health issues.	 Vendor evaluation procedure in place, in case score obtained below 70% then re-audit shall be done. Quality Agreement is in place. Evaluation done for critical changes. Annual performance review done for analytical data of existing API. Nitrosamine/Mutagenic/Genotoxic/Impur ities risk assessed and declaration taken from vendor. Re-audit procedure is in place. Evaluation procedure through questionnaire available. Procedure for conducting virtual/Remote audit is in place.

8. RISK ASSESSMENT TOOL:

In the following section a table is produced for the risk analysis using FMEA tool. The significance or Instruction for each column is described in the following paragraph.

Column 1	Serial number of Risk Analysis item.
Column 2	Item/Function: Identify the process step or component associated with the risk.
Column 3	Potential Failure Mode: Identify the type of risk associated with the process or component.
Column 4	Effect of Potential Failure/Cause: Verify that whether risk have GMP impact.
Column 5	Potential Cause
Column 6	Risk Mitigation as a Current Control: Write the risk mitigation strategy as considered in design.
Column 7	References
Column 8/9/10/11	Severity/Occurrence/Detection/Risk level/Risk Acceptance: Risk Priority Number to be calculated by taking Severity, Occurrence & Detection of potential failure into consideration.
Column 12	Recommended action: Recommended actions should be given for controlling failure occurrence.
Column13/14/15/16	Severity/Occurrence/Detection/Risk level/Risk Acceptance: After recommendation implementations, Risk Priority Number to be calculated by taking Severity, Occurrence & Detection of potential failure into consideration.

Table 1: Instruction for each column given above



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION PLAN

FAILURE MODE EFFECT ANALYSIS FOR VENDOR QUALIFICATION THROUGH QUESTIONNAIRE INSTEAD OF SITE AUDIT

Reference Document No.:

Risk Assessment No.:

Name of Facility/Equipment/Utility/System/Activity/Procedure/Unit Operation: Vendor Qualification done through Questionnaire instead of site audit

Quality Risk Assessment Date:

S.No.	Item/ Function	Potential Failure Mode (Failure Mode)	Potential Effect of Failure	Potential Cause/ Mechanism of Failure	Current Control	Reference document No.	S	O	D	Risk Priority Number (SxOxD)	Recommended Actions (if any)		Pos Eva O	D	tion
1.	Periodic audit not done	 SOP not in place SOP not appropriate Schedule planner not available. Required number of consignments not procured. Qualified persons not available 	Out of Specification observed Critical changes not identified Impurities observed GMP practices not followed Unqualified auditors Planner unavailability Repeated market complaint Critical failures observed	API of poor quality As physical audit was not done API of poor quality As audit not performed hence updated regulatory norms not followed Improper planning Schedule not prepared Low quality product manufacture d Audit not performed	 100% testing of Starting material. In case three consecutive OOS was observed, said vendor shall be hold for further supply. No market complaint received. Vendor questionnaire as per cGMP norms. Quality agreement is in place. In case any changes at RM manufacturer, same shall be informed to site. No any critical observation. Evaluation of each vendor on annual basis. Annual rating above 70%. No any critical change in equipment, process, method of analysis & facility observed. Out of Specification not observed. 	SOP No.: "Vendor Qualificatio n" SOP No. "Handling of Out of Specificatio n Results"	3	2	1	6	NA	N A	N A	N A	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION PLAN

FAILURE MODE EFFECT ANALYSIS FOR VENDOR QUALIFICATION THROUGH QUESTIONNAIRE INSTEAD OF SITE AUDIT

S.No.	Item/ Function	Potential Failure Mode	Potential Effect of Failure	Potential Cause/	Current Control	Reference document	S	0	D	Risk Priority	Recommended Actions		Eva		isk tion
		(Failure Mode)		Mechanism of Failure		No.				Number (SxOxD)	(if any)	S	0		RPN SxOxD
2.	through Questionn aire	 Questionnaire not adequate Critical parameters not included in questionnaire	Not effective as per physical audit	Physical verification not done		SOP No.: "Vendor Qualificatio n"	2	3	1	6					
3.	re not appropriate	 Questionnaire not the part of SOP. Person prepared questionnaire not qualified. Critical parameters not included in questionnaire. 	Unqualified auditors Critical failures not identified GMP not complies Quality System verification not included Training program not included Updated list of instruments & equipment not available Preventive maintenance not included Calibration plan not available	Improper training Improper Checklist Auditor not qualified	 100% testing of Starting material. In case three consecutive OOS was observed, said vendor shall be hold for further supply. No market complaint received. Vendor questionnaire as per cGMP norms. Quality agreement is in place. In case any changes at RM manufacturer, same shall be informed to site. No any critical observation. Evaluation of each vendor on annual basis. Annual rating above 70%. No any critical change in equipment, process, method of analysis & facility observed. Out of Specification not observed. 	SOP No.: "Vendor Qualificatio n" SOP No. "Handling of Out of Specificatio n Results"	3	2	1	6	NA	N A	N A	NA	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION PLAN

FAILURE MODE EFFECT ANALYSIS FOR VENDOR QUALIFICATION THROUGH QUESTIONNAIRE INSTEAD OF SITE AUDIT

S.No.	Item/ Function	Potential Failure Mode	Potential Effect of Failure	Potential Cause/	Current Control	Reference document	S	0	D	Risk Priority	Recommended Actions			st R	tisk tion
		(Failure Mode)		Mechanism of Failure		No.				Number (SxOxD)	(if any)	S		D	
			Equipment not qualified Periodic qualification not included API not tested as per specification TSE/BSE certificates not available MSDS not available Process flow chart not provided Updated process flow chart not provided Environmental	Improper checklist Auditor not	 100% testing of Starting material. In case three consecutive OOS was observed, said vendor shall be hold for further supply. No market complaint received. Vendor questionnaire as per cGMP norms. Quality agreement is in place. In case any changes at RM manufacturer, same shall be informed to site. No any critical observation. Evaluation of each vendor on annual basis. Annual rating above 70%. 	SOP No.: "Vendor Qualificatio n"	3	2	1	6 6	NA	N A	N A	N	NA
			condition not maintained during manufacturing Environmental condition not maintained during storage		 Annual rating above 70%. No any critical change in equipment, process, method of analysis & facility observed. Out of Specification not observed. 										



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION PLAN

FAILURE MODE EFFECT ANALYSIS FOR VENDOR QUALIFICATION THROUGH QUESTIONNAIRE INSTEAD OF SITE AUDIT

S.No.	Item/ Function	Potential Failure Mode	Potential Effect of Failure	Potential Cause/	Current Control	Reference document	S	0	D	Risk Priority	Recommended Actions		Eva		tion
		(Failure Mode)		Mechanism of Failure		No.				Number (SxOxD)	(if any)	S	O		RPN SxOxD
			Chance of												
			intermixing												
			Proper												
			segregation not												
			available												
			Process	Improper	• 100% testing of Starting material.	SOP No.:	3	2	1	6	NA	N	N	N	
			Validation not	checklist	• In case three consecutive OOS was	"Vendor Qualificatio						A	A	A	
			available	Auditor not	observed, said vendor shall be hold for further supply.	n"									
			Hold time study	qualified	 No market complaint received. 	11									
			not available	•	• Vendor questionnaire as per cGMP										
			FIFO & FEFO not		norms.										
			followed		• Quality agreement is in place.										
			Cleaning		• In case any changes at RM										
			Validation not		manufacturer, same shall be										
			done		informed to site.No any critical observation.										
			Man & Material		Evaluation of each vendor on										
			movement not		annual basis.										
			separate		• Annual rating above 70%.										
			Log books not		No any critical change in										
			updated		equipment, process, method of										
			Operator &		analysis & facility observed.										
			Supervisor not qualified		• Out of Specification not observed.										
			Disinfectant												
			program not validated												
			vanuateu					<u> </u>							



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION PLAN

FAILURE MODE EFFECT ANALYSIS FOR VENDOR QUALIFICATION THROUGH QUESTIONNAIRE INSTEAD OF SITE AUDIT

S.No.	Item/ Function	Potential Failure Mode (Failure Mode)	Potential Effect of Failure	Potential Cause/ Mechanism of Failure	Current Control	Reference document No.	S	0	D	Risk Priority Number (SxOxD)	Recommended Actions (if any)		Eva	D	tion
			Material COA not available Material STP not available Stability Studies not performed Contract agreement expired												
4.	Improper Audit	 Critical parameters not included. Wrong data generated by vendor. Questionnaire not upgraded 	Low quality API received. Repeated Market complaint	norms not followed Questionnair e not updated	 100% testing of Starting material. In case three consecutive OOS was observed, said vendor shall be hold for further supply. No market complaint received. Vendor questionnaire as per cGMP norms. Quality agreement is in place. In case any changes at RM manufacturer, same shall be informed to site. No any critical observation. Evaluation of each vendor on annual basis. Annual rating above 70%. No any critical change in equipment, process, method of analysis & facility observed. Out of Specification not observed. 	SOP No.: "Vendor Qualificatio n"	3	2	1	6	NA	N A	N A	NA	NA



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION PLAN

FAILURE MODE EFFECT ANALYSIS FOR VENDOR QUALIFICATION THROUGH QUESTIONNAIRE INSTEAD OF SITE AUDIT

S.No.	Item/ Function	Potential Failure Mode (Failure Mode)	Potential Effect of Failure	Potential Cause/ Mechanism of Failure	Current Control	Reference document No.	S	0	D	Risk Priority Number (SxOxD)	Recommended Actions (if any)		Eva	lua D	Risk tion RPN SxOxD
5.	Data Integrity	Actual data not provided by vendor	Material received is of low quality	Wrong COA provided Wrong MSDS provided Process validation not done Stability studies not performed Disinfectan t policy not available	 100% testing of Starting material. In case three consecutive OOS was observed, said vendor shall be hold for further supply. No market complaint received. Vendor questionnaire as per cGMP norms. Quality agreement is in place. In case any changes at RM manufacturer, same shall be informed to site. No any critical observation. Evaluation of each vendor on annual basis. Annual rating above 70%. No any critical change in equipment, process, method of analysis & facility observed. Out of Specification not observed. 	SOP No.: "Vendor Qualificatio n"	3	2	1	6		N A	N A	N A	
6.	cGMP/Regu latory requirement s	Updated regulatory norms not followed	Specification not updated	Auditor not trained	 100% testing of Starting material. In case three consecutive OOS was observed, said vendor shall be hold for further supply. No market complaint received. Vendor questionnaire as per cGMP norms. Quality agreement is in place. 	SOP No.: "Vendor Qualificatio n"	3	2	1	6	NA	N A	N A	N A	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION PLAN

FAILURE MODE EFFECT ANALYSIS FOR VENDOR QUALIFICATION THROUGH QUESTIONNAIRE INSTEAD OF SITE AUDIT

Reference Document No.:

Risk Assessment No.:

S.No	. Item/ Function	Potential Failure Mode (Failure Mode)	Potential Effect of Failure	Potential Cause/ Mechanism of Failure	Current Control	Reference document No.	S	0	D	Risk Priority Number (SxOxD)	Recommended Actions (if any)	Post Eval	uat D	
					 In case any changes at RM manufacturer, same shall be informed to site. No any critical observation. Evaluation of each vendor on annual basis. Annual rating above 70%. No any critical change in equipment, process, method of analysis & facility observed. Out of Specification not observed. 									

Where: S=Severity; O=Occurrence Probability; D=Detection

Assessment of Severity, Occurrence and Detection:

Severity Effect	Likelihood Occurrence	Likelihood of Detection	Rating
No Effect	Unlikely	Always Detected	1
Moderate Effect	Possible	Might Detect Failure	2
Serious Effect	Almost Certain (Every time)	Lack of Detection Control	3

Evaluation of RPN:

RPN Rating	Category
12 to 27	High
7 to 11	Medium
Upto 6	Low

S.No.	Recommended Action	Responsible Person	Target Date of Completion
1.	NA	NA	NA

CAPA (Required/Not Required): Required If required, mention CAPA No.:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION PLAN

FAILURE MODE EFFECT ANALYSIS FOR VENDOR QUALIFICATION THROUGH QUESTIONNAIRE INSTEAD OF SITE AUDIT

Quality Risk Management Team		Reviewed By Head Operations	Approved By Head QA		
Name	Department	Sign & Date	(Sign & Date)	(Sign & Date)	
3,000	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	~			
			-		
			-		
Verification of Recommended A	ction:				
•••••	•••••	••••••	••••••	••••••	
•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••		
•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	
Remarks (if any):					
	•••••		•••••	•••••	
	•••••	•••••			
•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••		
•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	
Verified By Operating Person QA				Approved By	
(Sign & Date)				Head QA (Sign & Date)	
,				(Sign & Date)	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION PLAN

FAILURE MODE EFFECT ANALYSIS FOR VENDOR QUALIFICATION THROUGH QUESTIONNAIRE INSTEAD OF SITE AUDIT

Refe	rence Document No.:	Risk Assessment No.:	
9.	CONCLUSION:		
10	DECEDENCES.		
10.	REFERENCES: • Reference SOP of Risk Assessment.		
	Related SOP's.		
11.	DOCUMENTS TO BE ATTACHED:		
	Not Applicable		
12.	DEVIATION FROM PRE DEFINED SPECIFICATION, IF ANY:		
13.	CHANGE CONTROL, IF		
	ANY:		



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION PLAN

FAILURE MODE EFFECT ANALYSIS FOR VENDOR QUALIFICATION THROUGH QUESTIONNAIRE INSTEAD OF SITE AUDIT

Reference Document No.: Risk Assessment No.:

14. ABBREVIATIONS:

FMEA : Failure Mode Effect Analysis

RPN : Risk Priority Number

CAPA : Corrective action preventive action
SOP : Standard Operating Procedure
QRM : Quality Risk Management

QA : Quality Assurance



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION PLAN

FAILURE MODE EFFECT ANALYSIS FOR VENDOR QUALIFICATION THROUGH QUESTIONNAIRE INSTEAD OF SITE AUDIT

Reference Document No.:	Risk Assessment No.:

15. FMEAAPPROVAL:

PREPARED BY:

DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
OPERATING PERSON (QUALITY ASSURANCE)			

REVIEWED BY:

DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
OPERATING MANAGER (QUALITY ASSURANCE)			

APPROVED BY:

DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
HEAD (QUALITY ASSURANCE)			