

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

QRA No.:

Name of Facility/Equipment/Utility/System/Activity/Procedure

| Sr. | Item/ | Potential | Potential Effect of | Potential Cause/ | Current Control | Reference | S | 0 | D | Risk | Recommend- | | Post | Risk | |
|-----|-------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|-------------------------------|------------------|----|------|------|--------------|
| No. | Function | Failure Mode (Failure Mode) | Failure (Effect) | Mechanism of Failure | | | | | | Priority Number (S*O*D) | ended Actions | S | 0 | | RPN S*O*D |
| 1. | Before start of Dispensing | Unapproved material gets dispense. Failed material gets dispense. | Unapproved dispense material leads to product contamination. Fails to achieve the safety, efficacy and quality of product. | Lack of Qualified source of API and excipient. Lack of testing specification. Lack of vendor management procedure. | Qualification procedure is in place and also line clearance check point is available in BMR to ensure approved & release materials are available for dispensing. Testing specifications are in place. Vendor management procedure is in place. | Approved Vendor List (AVL) & Batch manufacturing record(BMR) | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

QRA No.:

Name of Facility/Equipment/Utility/System/Activity/Procedure

| Sr. | Item/ | Potential | Potential Effect of | Potential Cause/ | Current Control | Reference | S | 0 | D | Risk | Recommend- | | Post | t Risk | 2 |
|-----|------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----|------|--------|--------------|
| No. | Function | Failure Mode (Failure Mode) | Failure (Effect) | Mechanism of Failure | | | | | | Priority Number (S*O*D) | ended Actions (if any) | S | 0 | | RPN S*O*] |
| 2. | At the time of Dispensing | Fails to dispense the required quantity. Dispense material get contaminate. | Inadequate result due to improper quantity dispensing and improper testing outcomes (OOS). Contamination of the area leads to product failure | Due to selection of wrong capacity weighing balance. Due to lack of operational and calibration procedure of weighing balance. Due to improper environmental conditions. Due to lack of the operation and cleaning procedure for dispensing tools. Due to lack of procedure for dispensing Material dispensed by untrained personnel. No cross check during dispensing Unapproved/rejected material dispensed. | Selection of weighing balance is depending on balance capacity and quantity of material. SOP is in place for operation and calibration procedure of weighing balances. Environmental condition check point is in place in line clearance checklist. Uses and cleaning of dispensing tool SOP is in place. Dispensing of API, excipient and PM SOPs are in place. Training has been imparted to concerned personnel's. In dispensing activity done by and checked by provision is available in BMR and verification done by before compounding. Check point is in place in BMR to check material is approved/released. | Operation, Cleaning, Calibration and Verification of Weighing Balances, Dispensing and issuance of RM and Dispensing of PM | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

QRA No.:

Name of Facility/Equipment/Utility/System/Activity/Procedure

| Sr. | Item/ Po | tential | Potential Effect of | Potential Cause/ | Current Control | Reference | S | 0 | D | Risk | Recommend- | | Pos | t Risk | |
|-----|--------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----|-----|--------|--------------|
| No. | | re Mode) | Failure (Effect) | Mechanism of Failure | | | | | | Priority Number (S*O*D) | ended Actions (if any) | S | 0 | D | RPN S*O*I |
| 3. | preparation Com prepa | re of ponent aration and ization. | Improper cleaning and sterilization of component leads to contamination in product. | Due to lack of unqualified sterilizer. Lack of component cleaning and component sterilization procedure. Steam lack or utility cut off during sterilization phase. Articles cleaning and sterilization by untrained person. Load pattern may not follow. Selection of wrong recipe. Sensor malfunctioning. Due to sterilization, material hold time cross | Qualification of sterilizer has been completed. Component cleaning and sterilization SOP is in place. If the steam lack occurs during sterilization phase, alarm will generate and same shall be acknowledged by operator and cycle will be considered as abort. Training has been imparted to all concerned persons. Validated load patterns should be refers before start of activity as per respective SOP. Validated recipe and parameter has been set in autoclave PLC and controlled through password. Defined frequency in place for schedule calibration, Preventive maintenance and validation status. Sterilized hold time has been established and actual material hold time is track in BMR. | Operation and Cleaning of Autoclave Cum Bung Processer | 3 | 2 | | 6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

QRA No.:

Name of Facility/Equipment/Utility/System/Activity/Procedure

| Sr. No. | Item/ Function | Potential Failure Mode (Failure Mode) | Potential Effect of Failure (Effect) | Potential Cause/ Mechanism of Failure | Current Control | Reference | S | 0 | D | Risk Priority Number (S*O*D) | Recommend- ended Actions (if any) | s | Post O | Risk D | RPN S*O*D |
|------------|------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|----|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| 4. | During Filter Integrity testing | ➢ Failure in filter integrity | Improper filter integrity gets direct impact on sterility of product. | Unqualified equipment used. Lack of cleaning and operational SOP. Filter integrity activity performed by untrained person. No cross checks provision for filter integrity test report. | Qualification of filter integrity machine has been completed. Cleaning and Operational SOP is in place Training has been imparted to all concerned persons and user ID given after completion of training. Filter integrity test report (Pre and Post) shall be checked by production person and verified by QA person. | Operation of Integrity Tester | 5 | 1 | 1 | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

QRA No.:

Name of Facility/Equipment/Utility/System/Activity/Procedure

| Sr. | Item/ Poten | l | Potential Effect of | Potential Cause/ | Current Control | Reference | S | 0 | D | Risk | Recommend- | | Pos | t Ris | ζ. |
|-----|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|--|---|-----|-------|--------------|
| No. | Function Failure I (Failure I | | Failure (Effect) | Mechanism of Failure | | | | | | Priority Number (S*O*D) | ended Actions (if any) | S | 0 | D | RPN S*O*] |
| 5. | During compounding Failure in manufac | | Due to improper manufacturing procedure leads to Bioburden may increase more than specification. Unexpected outcome like yield and concentration of product leads to product failure. | Compounding procedure not available. Due to lack of calibration, preventive maintenance, operational and cleaning procedures. Qualification of vessel system not available. Unsterilized and unclean equipment may use. Inadequate cleaning or equipment's difficult to clean. Compounding activity handle by untrained person. Due to lack of clean equipment hold time may cross. Micro growth due to utility supply such as WFI, Compressed air and nitrogen. | Detail, compounding procedure is in place in BMR. Vessel calibration, preventive maintenance, operational and cleaning procedure are in place. Qualification of vessels system is completed. In line clearance of compounding activity ensure the sterilization vessels shall be mention in BMR. Vessels CIP, SIP procedure are qualified and SOP is in place. Training has been completed for all concerned persons. CIP hold time has been established. Water system compressed air and Nitrogen are qualified. | Load Cell Verification and Calibration of Manufacturing Vessels CIP of Mixing vessel, Mixing mobile vessel, holding vessel | 5 | 3 | 1 | 15 | Sample shall be collected for QC analytical and QC microbiolo gical to check the impact of CPP on CQA. CPP and CQA shall be evaluated after completion of process validation activity. | 5 | 1 | 1 | 5 |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

QRA No.:

Name of Facility/Equipment/Utility/System/Activity/Procedure

| Sr. | Item/ | Potential | Potential Effect of | Potential Cause/ | Current Control | Reference | S | 0 | D | Risk | Recommend- | | Pos | t Risk | |
|-----|------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|---|---|-----|--------|--------------|
| No. | Function | Failure Mode (Failure Mode) | Failure (Effect) | Mechanism of Failure | | | | | | Priority Number (S*O*D) | ended Actions (if any) | S | 0 | D | RPN S*O*D |
| 6. | Filtration activity | Failure in filtration of bulk solution | Filtrate is not sterile leads to microbial growth. Improper filtration leads to contamination in product. | Lack of procedure for sterilization of filter. Lack of procedure to check the filter integrity. Due to improper training to concerned persons. Lack of filtration procedures. | SOP is in place for SIP of vessel system along with product transfer line. Filter integrity procedure is in place check points is available. Training procedures is in place. Filtration procedure is available in BMR. | SIP for Mixing Vessel/ MMV/ Holding Vessel/Buffer Vessel | 5 | 3 | 1 | | Sample shall be collected for QC analytical and QC microbiolo gical to check the effect of filtration | 5 | 1 | 1 | 5 |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

QRA No.:

Name of Facility/Equipment/Utility/System/Activity/Procedure

| Sr. | Item/ | Potential | Potential Effect of | Potential Cause/ | Current Control | Reference | S | 0 | D | Risk | Recommend- | | Pos | t Risk | |
|-----|-------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|---|---|-----|--------|--------------|
| No. | Function | Failure Mode (Failure Mode) | Failure (Effect) | Mechanism of Failure | | | | | | Priority Number (S*O*D) | ended Actions (if any) | S | 0 | D | RPN S*O*D |
| 7. | Filling operation | ➢ Failure in filling | Improper filling may result in volume variation. Improper filling activity may leads to contamination. | Lack of qualification procedure. Lack of cleaning and operational procedure. Unsterile parts or article may use filling operation. Lack of environmental condition for filling. Improper handling of aseptic intervention may lead to product contamination. Operation handled by untrained and unqualified person. Due aseptic interventions Due filling duration. | Qualification of filling machine has been completed. SOP for filling machine cleaning and operation is in place. Sterilization procedure for machine parts loads is in place. Filling has been carried out under class A condition (Unidirectional air flow area). Aseptic intervention has been performed as per media fill protocol and BMR. Operational training has been done for all concerned persons for aseptic. Filling duration is mentioned in BMR and same was simulated in media fill. | Operation & cleaning of Filling, Dropper fixing & Screw capping machine | 5 | 2 | 2 | 20 | If in case any unexpected intervention will happen during batch processing same shall be documented and handled through QMS. | 5 | 1 | 1 | 5 |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

QRA No.:

Name of Facility/Equipment/Utility/System/Activity/Procedure

| Sr. | Item/ | Potential | Potential Effect of | Potential Cause/ | Current Control | Reference | S | 0 | D | Risk | Recommend- | | Post | Risk | |
|-----|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|----|------|------|--------------|
| No. | | Failure Mode (Failure Mode) | Failure (Effect) | Mechanism of Failure | | | | | | Priority Number (S*O*D) | ended Actions | S | 0 | | RPN S*O*D |
| 8. | Dropper Fixing and Screw capping operation | Failure in Dropper fixing and screw capping | Improper sealing is not providing leak proof sealing to the vials. Failure in sealing activity leads to product contamination. | Unqualified equipment may use. Operational and cleaning procedure may not available. Untrained person may operate machine. Lack of leak test procedure for vials. | Qualification for filling machine has been completed. Operational and cleaning SOP is in place. Training has been imparted to concerned persons. SOP is in place for leak test. | Operation & cleaning of Filling, Dropper fixing & Screw capping machine | 5 | | | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

QRA No.:

Name of Facility/Equipment/Utility/System/Activity/Procedure

| Sr. | Item/ | Potential Failure Mode | Potential Effect of | Potential Cause/ Mechanism of Failure | Current Control | Reference | S | 0 | D | Risk | Recommend- | | Post | t Risk | |
|-----|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|-------------------------------|------------|----|------|--------|--------------|
| No. | Function | (Failure Mode) | Failure (Effect) | Mechanism of Failure | | | | | | Priority Number (S*O*D) | | S | 0 | D | RPN S*O*D |
| 9. | Labeling activity | Improper labeling in product. | Improper labeling leads to adverse effect on patient health. | Lack of SOP of labeling machine. Lack of line clearance and physical verification. Lack of proper dispensing of packing material. | SOP of labeling machine is in place. Training imparted to IPQA person for line clearance and proof check. SOP of Packing material dispensing is in place. | Dispensing and Issuance of packing material | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

QRA No.:

Name of Facility/Equipment/Utility/System/Activity/Procedure

| Sr. | Item/ | Potential | Potential Effect of | Potential Cause/ | Current Control | Reference | S | 0 | D | Risk | Recommend- | | Post | t Risk | |
|-----|-------------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|----|------|--------|--------------|
| No. | Function | Failure Mode (Failure Mode) | Failure (Effect) | Mechanism of Failure | | | | | | Priority Number (S*O*D) | ended Actions | S | 0 | D | RPN S*O*D |
| 10. | Secondary packing activity | Improper packing of the product. | Improper packing leads to adverse effect on patient health. | Lack of SOP of carton packing. Lack of line clearance and physical verification. Lack of proper dispensing of packing material. | SOP of operation & cleaning of Hi-cart carton packing machine is in place. Training imparted to IPQA person for line clearance and proof check. SOP of packing material dispensing is in place. | Operation and cleaning of Hi-cart cartooning machine | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN

QRA No.:

Name of Facility/Equipment/Utility/System/Activity/Procedure

Unit Operation: Description: The objective of the risk assessment is to evaluate the risk associated during the
Process Validation Batch of Ciprofloxacin Hydrochloride Eye Drops BP 5 mlDate of Quality Risk Assessment:

| Sr. | Item/ | Potential | Potential Effect of | Potential Cause/ | Current Control | Reference | S | 0 | D | Risk | Recommend- | | Pos | t Risk | |
|-----|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|-------------|------|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|--------------|
| No. | Function | Failure Mode (Failure Mode) | Failure (Effect) | Mechanism of Failure | | | | | | Priority Number (S*O*D) | ended Actions | s | 0 | D | RPN S*O*] |
| 11. | Storage condition in FG | Improper storage space and conditions in finished goods store. | Chance of product mix-up due to lack of dedicated space. Chance of product degradation due to irrespective storage condition. | Lack of SOP. Lack of dedicated storage space. Due to environmental condition not maintain. | SOP for handling of fini goods is in place. Dedicated storage space available. Environmental conditior monitoring through BM: | is si a s | 1 N is H | 1 | 5 | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Nam | ne of Facility/Equ | ipment/Utility/System | n/Activity/Procedure/Unit | t Operation: | risk, 20-50 KPN is Medium | Date: NA | | | <u></u> | | | | | | |
| | S. No. | | | nded Action | | Respo | nsible | Pers | on | | | 'arget Comp | Date oletion | L | |
| | 1. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

2.



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

OUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION PLAN QRA No.: Name of Facility/Equipment/Utility/System/Activity/Procedure Unit Operation: Description: The objective of the risk assessment is to evaluate the risk associated during the Date of Quality Risk Assessment: Process Validation Batch of Ciprofloxacin Hydrochloride Eye Drops BP 5 ml CAPA: If required, mention CAPA No.: **Quality Risk Management Team Reviewed By Approved By** Head QA **Head Operations** Sign & Date Sign & Date Name Department Sign & Date **QUALITY RISK ASSESSEMENT AND MITIGATION SUMMARY REPORT** Name of Facility/Equipment/Utility/System/Activity/Procedure: Process Validation Batch of Ciprofloxacin Hydrochloride Eye Drops BP 5 ml Verification of Action Plan: NA Remarks (if any): The entire above failure mode and their Severity, Occurrence, Detection rating done and RPN No. is found between 1-25. Hence Risk is detected as low which is acceptable. Verified By Approved By Head QA QA Sign & Date Sign & Date