

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR GxP RELATED GAPS IN RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR

RISK ASSESSMENT STUDY

(FMEA ANALYSIS)

FOR

GxP RELATED GAPS IN RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR

Document No.:

Effective From/Approval Date:



PHARMA DEVILS QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR GxP RELATED GAPS IN RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR

S.No.	Contents	Page No.
1.0	Table of Contents	2
2.0	Quality Risk Management Team	3
3.0	Introduction	4
4.0	Objective	4
5.0	Scope	5
6.0	Risk Assessment Approach	5
7.0	Responsibility	5-6
8.0	Reference Documents	6
9.0	Background	6-7
10.0	Risk Ranking Parameters	7
10.1	Rating Parameters for Severity	7
10.2	Rating Parameters for Occurrence	7-8
10.3	Rating Parameters for Detection Control	8
11.0	Acceptance Criteria for Risk Assessment by FMEA	8
12.0	Risk Assessment as per FMEA	9-45
13.0	Risk Control measures	46
14.0	Summary & Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment	46
15.0	Final Report Approval (Pre assessment)	47
16.0	Final Report Approval (Post assessment)	48
17.0	Risk Communication	49
18.0	Abbreviation	49



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR GxP RELATED GAPS IN RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR

2.0 Quality Risk Management Team:

Following team members were involved during risk identification, assessment & brain storming session. Team nomination was done by the head of department.

S.No.	Team Member	Department	Designation	Sign / Date
		HOD Appro	val	Γ
	Name	Department	Designation	Sign / Date



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR GxP RELATED GAPS IN RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR

3.0 Introduction:

Validation Team has conducted "Gap Assessment" based on below details and followed GAMP 5, 21 CFR part 11, EU Annex 11 guidelines, and SOP (Validation of Computerized Systems).

The GAP analysis has determined the difference between what is in place and what is required to demonstrate that the system has a complete documentation set, is in a state of control, and can be operated and maintained properly.

- Validation documentation Validation deliverables shall be verified as per GAMP 5, 21 CFR part 11, EU Annex 11 guidelines and SOP validation of computerized systems.
- Administration of Backup and Restore Backup and Restore process is assessed for each system.
- Security User management and system security process.
- Audit trail Audit trail availability and Audit trail setting.
- Operational Control System maintenance and SOP's.
- Periodic Review periodic review schedule for systems and procedure for the same.
- System Technical Details Operating system and application details.

Assessment Areas has been prioritized based on Operational Areas. The gap assessment has been performed phase-wise to cover the below mentioned areas & departments at respective site/location.

- (1) Phase-I Manufacturing Area
- (2) Phase-II Packaging Area
- (3) Phase-III IPQA Dept.
- (4) Phase-IV Utility Area
- (5) Phase-V Quality Control (QC)

Computerized System of Rapid Mixer Granulator has been assessed for gap assessment against the GxP requirements.

4.0 Objective:

The objective of this document is to perform the Quality Risk Management for evaluation of risk associated with the identified GxP related gaps in the computerized system of Rapid Mixer Granulator, assessment of severity of the identified risk, probability of its occurrence and available control measures that can detect and control the identified risk.



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR GXP RELATED GAPS IN RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR

5.0 Scope:

The scope of this document is applicable to Rapid Mixer Granulator located in Pilot Plant.

The purpose of this document is to offer a systematic approach to quality risk management. It serves as a foundation or resource document that is independent of, yet supports other ICH Quality documents and complements existing quality practices, requirements, standards, and guidelines within the manufacturing facility.

This document provides risk assessment by means of Failure Mode and Effect analysis and identifies key areas where process shall be mostly likely to fail and shall provide evaluation of failure that will have the extreme or severe impact on the process/ quality for the product.

6.0 Risk Assessment Approach:

- The quality risk assessment has been planned for evaluation on the basis of failure mode effect analysis (FMEA) tool.
- The evaluation of the risk shall be based on scientific knowledge and ultimately linked to safety of the patient.
- Various risks associated / anticipated shall be identified for identified GxP related gaps in the system of rapid mixer granulator.
- Risk over the quality of products manufactured over the equipment shall be identified, analyzed and evaluated. Control measures shall be evaluated and risk shall be categorized based on calculated risk priority number.
- Action recommendations shall be given (if required) for mitigation and acceptance of risk.
- Acceptance of the risk with its mitigating measures shall be decided and endorsed based on the risk assessment carried out.
- The control mechanism and the risk communication shall be enforced / verified in the operating documentation.

7.0 Responsibilities:

Quality Assurance Department is responsible for preparation and review of quality risk assessment and coordinate the QRM team members and they shall be take the decisions about quality risk control & action plan.

Production Department is responsible for review of quality risk assessment and its execution.

Engineering Department is responsible for review of quality risk assessment and support to its execution.

Information Technology Department is responsible for review of quality risk assessment and support to its execution.



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR GxP RELATED GAPS IN RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR

Head Operation is responsible for review adequacy of quality risk assessment and approve the final decision taken after recommended action plan.

Head Quality Assurance is responsible for review adequacy of quality risk assessment and approve the final decision taken after recommended action plan.

8.0 Reference Documents:

The relevant SOP's & Document for monitoring, control are listed below:

- 1. SOP "Computerized System Validation"
- 2. SOP "Vendor Audit and assessment of GxP computerized system and services"
- 3. SOP "Backup and restoration procedure for electronic data"
- 4. SOP "User management and password policy"
- 5. SOP "Performing of Equipment Validation (Equipment Qualification)"
- 6. SOP "Procedure for Breakdown Maintenance"
- 7. SOP "Document and Data Control"
- 8. SOP "Cleaning and Operation of Rapid Mixer Granulator with co-mill and Peristaltic pump (Capacity 100 Liters) make Gansons"
- 9. SOP "Event Management"
- 10. SOP "Entry and Exit of Personnel in core area through access control system"
- 11. SOP "Batch Release Procedure"
- 12. SOP "Process Performance Qualification"
- 13. SOP "Self-Inspection"
- 14. SOP "SOP on Electronic Records and Electronic Signatures"
- 15. SOP "Change Management System"
- 16. SOP "Critical Alarm Control Management"
- Gap Assessment Checklist cum Report for GxP Computerized Software System of Rapid Mixer Granulator Doc. No.....

9.0 Background:

The site is engaged in manufacturing of solid oral dosage form at Baddi unit. Oral solid dosage form manufacturing "Granulation" activity has been carrying out by using Rapid Mixer Granulator located in Pilot. GAP assessment study of Rapid Mixer Granulator (Document no:) has been done and identified the GAP's, Related risk shall be assessed in line with 21 CFR Part 11, EU Annex 11 Guidelines.



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR GxP RELATED GAPS IN RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR

10.0 Risk Ranking Parameters:

10.1 Rating Parameters for Severity:

Effect	Scale	Assessment of Severity of Impact (Based on the anticipated negative impact & detrimental effect)
No effect	1	No impact to product quality and process robustness
Very Slight	2	Very slight effect on product and process performance. The customer may notice non-vital fault. Customer is not annoyed or impacted
Slight	3	Slight effect on performance. Non-vital fault notice most of the time. Customer is slightly annoyed.
Minor	4	Minor effect on product quality and process performance. Fault does not require repair or rectification. Non-vital fault or deficiency always noticed. Customer experiences minor nuisance.
Moderate	5	Performance moderately affected. Fault requires repair. Customer experiences some dissatisfaction.
Significant	6	Product or Performance hindered but usable /operable and safe. Non-vital part inoperable. Customer experiences discomfort.
Major	7	Product or performance severely affected but functional and safe. Customer dissatisfied.
Extreme	8	Item inoperable but safe. Customer very dissatisfied.
Serious	9	Potential hazardous effect. Able to stop without mishap. Regulatory compliance in jeopardy.
Hazardous	10	Occurrence without warning. Safety related. Regulatory non-compliance.

10.2 Rating Parameters for Occurrence:

Occurrence	Scale	Parameter
Almost never	1	Almost impossible probability of occurrence. A failure, which has never been encountered but may be possible theoretically (1 in 15,00,000).
Remote	2	Remote, rare number of historical failure (1 in 1,50,000).
Very slight	3	Very few failure likely (1 in 15,000)
Slight	4	Few failure likely (1 in 2,000)
Low	5	Occasional number of failures likely (1 in 400)
Medium	6	Medium number of failures likely (1 in 80)
Moderately High	7	Moderately high number of failures likely (1 in 20)
High	8	high number of failures likely (1 in 8)
Very High	9	Very high number of failures likely (1 in 3)
Almost Certain	10	Failure almost certain (≥ 1 in 2)



PHARMA DEVILS QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR GxP RELATED GAPS IN RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR

10.3 Rating Parameters for Detection Control:

Detection	Scale	Parameter
Almost Certain	1	Almost certain that the design control will detect potential cause. Proven detection methods with high reliability.
Very High	2	Very high chance design control will detect potential cause. Proven detection methods available.
High	3	High chance design control will detect potential cause. Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods.
Moderately High	4	Moderately high chance design control will detect potential cause. Almost certain not to detect failure.
Moderate	5	Moderate chance design control will detect potential cause. Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting methods.
Low	6	Low chance design control will detect potential cause. Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure.
Very Low	7	Very low chance design control will detect potential cause. Detection tools may not detect failure.
Remote	8	Remote chance design control will detect potential cause. Detection tools will probably not detect failure.
Very Remote	9	Very remote chance design control will detect potential cause. Detection tools most likely will not detect failure.
Absolute Uncertainty	10	No design control or design control will not detect potential cause. Failure not detected.

Note: Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.

11.0 Acceptance Criteria for Risk Assessment by FMEA:

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

Risk Category	Risk Classification (Quantitative) Risk Index	Action Status
High	≥ 500	CAPA required
Medium	126 - 499	CAPA may be required
Low	≤ 125	CAPA not required



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR GxP RELATED GAPS IN RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR

12.0 Risk Assessment as per FMEA:

Name of Facility/ Utility/ Equipment / Process/ Operation: Rapid Mixer Granulator

S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	S	Potential causes	0	Current Process control	D	R	Risk	Actions	Responsibility			Actio	n Resul	ts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)		0CC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Risk Classification
Valid	ation Documentation/Equivalen	t documents															
1.	Standalone validation master	1.Which	6	1. Unavailability	5	1. Validation Master Plan	4	120	Low	1. SOP "Performing	QA:						
	plan for GxP equipment is not in	may lead to		of CSV.		is available having				of Equipment	_						
	place to ensure compliance of all	lack of 21				document number, which				Validation	Revision of						
	requirements for GxP equivalent	CFR Part 11		2. Lack of		includes chapter of PLC				(Equipment	SOP						
	CSV.	requirement		procedures		validation. Moreover;				Qualification)" shall	TCD:						
	634.	s for		related to CSV		PLC validation annexure				be revised to include							
		electronic		for the GxP		is in place to track the				provision for	Revision of						
		signature		requirements.		PLC validation activity				conducting CSV for	VMP						
		and CSV				of all equipment				GxP equipment as	TCD:						
		may not be				throughout the year and				per the SOP							
		carried out				is part of validation				"Computerized							
		as per				master plan.				system validation".							
		aforementio															
		ned				2. PLC validation of				2. Validation master							
		requirement				applicable equipment is				plan for PLC							
		s.				carried out with				validation chapter							
						consideration of GAMP 5				may be revised							
		2.				& 21 CFR part 11				accordingly.							
		Inadequate				requirement i.e. test like											
		computer				verification of access				3. Site VMP shall be							
		system				right, power failure &				revised to link							
		validation				communication failure,				corporate VMP for							
		i.e. non				verification of audit trail				CSV and same shall							



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	SE	Potential causes	0	Current Process control	DJ	RI	Risk Classification	Actions recommended	Responsibility			Actio	on Resul	lts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)		OCC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Kisk Classification
		consideratio n of all GxP requirement s during CSV due to non- availability of Validation plan.				 (if available), verification of safety features and interlocking is carried out during PLC validation which alleviates the risk of unauthorized access to equipment and process failure. 3. SOP "Performing of Equipment Validation (Equipment Validation (Equipment Qualification)" is in place to ensure the PLC validation of all new equipment (If applicable) which mitigates the risk of probable skip of the 				be followed. 4. Validation Plan for individual PLC system shall be prepared inline with VMP during CSV.							
2	Supplier / Vendor Assessment document for GxP computerized software system compliance of Rapid Mixer Granulator is not available.	 System may not be supplied as per GxP requirement. System may not work as 	6	1. Procedure for assessing the GxP requirement was not available at the time of equipment procurement as well as CSV.	5	 PLC validation activity. 1. Procedure is available for vendor assessment (SOP "Vendor Audit and assessment of GxP computerized system and services"). 2. Procedure in place for URS, FAT and design qualification as per SOP. 	4	120	Low	1. SOP "Performing of Equipment Validation (Equipment Qualification)" shall be revised to include provision i.e. URS shall be generated by site & project team	QA: Revision of SOP TCD: Eng: Vendor						



.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	SI	Potential causes	Q	Current Process control	Ð	R	Risk	Actions	Responsibility			Actio	on Resul	lts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)		0CC (0)	Current Process control	RT (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Classification
		desired. 3. System may not comply with cGMP and GxP requirement.				 Equipment has been qualified as per SOP "Performing of Equipment Validation (Equipment Qualification)" prior to use for production activity. GxP requisites like access rights (privileges), audit trail, printout etc if applicable is verified during PLC validation which alleviates the risk for non-compliance for GxP requirements; however, complete requirements of CSV is not covered. Qualified and trained persons have been involved in preparation of URS and qualification of equipment. Procedure is in place 				will procure equipment from approved vendor only. Approved documents shall be provided to site with purchase order as per SOP. 2. For Equipment, machine manufacturer is GANSONS Pvt. Ltd. hence; same should be considered for Vendor assessment as per SOP as on date to ensure adequacy of vendor assessment requirement.	Assessment (Part of CSV) TCD:						



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential failure	SI	Potential causes	Q	Current Process control	D	R	Risk	Actions recommended	Responsibility			Actio	on Resul	lts	
		effect	SEV (S)		OCC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	KISK Classification
						for periodic validation of the equipment to assess the qualification status. 7. Procedure "Computerized System Validation") is in place for periodic review of											
3	GxP Assessment document of Rapid mixer granulator is not	1. Equipment	6	1. Lack of procedures.	5	computer system. 1. Procedure in place for URS, FAT and design	4	120	Low	1. SOP "Performing of Equipment	QA:						
	available.	system may not be assessed for its impact over product				qualification as per SOP.2. Equipment has been qualified as per SOP"Performing of				Validation (Equipment Qualification)" shall be revised to conduct GxP assessment of	Revision of SOP TCD:						
		quality and not evaluated for requirement				Equipment Validation (Equipment Qualification)" prior to use for production activity.				equipment as per SOP "Computerized system validation". 2. For Equipment,	IT/Eng: GxP Assessment (part of CSV) TCD:						
		of it CSV needs.				3. Qualified and trained persons have been involved in preparation of URS and qualification of equipment.				GxP assessment shall be performed as per SOP.							



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	\mathbf{S}	Potential causes	0	Current Process control	D	R	Risk	Actions	Responsibility			Actio	n Resul	ts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)		0CC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Classification
						 4. GxP requisites like access rights (privileges), audit trail, printout etc if applicable is verified during PLC validation which alleviates the risk for non-compliance for GxP requirements; however, complete requirements of CSV is not covered. 5. Procedure is in place for periodic validation of the equipment to assess the qualification status. 6. Procedure (SOP "Computerized System Validation") is in place for periodic review of computer system. 											
4	Category is not defined in PLC validation document as per GAMP 5.	1. CSV may not be performed as required by the Category of PLC	6	1. Inadequate procedures were available for CSV.	5	 Procedure "Computerized system validation") is in place in which system categorization is defined. Validation deliverables are also specified for all 	4	120	Low	1. SOP "Performing of Equipment Validation (Equipment Qualification)" shall be revised to include provision for	QA: Revision of SOP TCD: IT/Eng: System						



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential failure	Potential causes	0	Current Process control	D	R	Risk Classification	Actions recommended	Responsibility			Actio	on Resu	lts	
		effect	S Potential causes	OCC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Risk Classification
		software.			system categories in the SOP.				categorizing the equipment system prior to generation of URS as per SOP "Computerized System Validation". 2. For Equipment, system categorization should be done as on date and CSV shall be planned (if required) as per SOP and adequacy of addendum URS shall be verified.	Categorization (part of CSV) TCD:						
5	Detail description of required functions of the computerized system, i.e. technical requirement, business requirement, etc. was not described in the available URS Document.	1. Equipment may not be designed inline with GxP requirement s for CSV.	6 1. Inadequate procedures might be available regarding specifying requirements for GxP requirement of CSV.	5	 Procedure in place for preparation of URS (SOP No.: "Computerized System Validation") with respect to GxP requirement. URS prepared by user department in consultation with engineering Department 	4	120	Low	1. SOP "Performing of Equipment Validation (Equipment Qualification)" shall be revised to include provision for making of URS as per the GxP requirements listed for system in the SOP	QA: Revision of SOP TCD: Production: Addendum URS (part of CSV) TCD:						



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	SI	Potential causes	0	Current Process control	R	Risk	Actions	Responsibility			Actio	on Resu	lts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)		0CC (0)	(D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	KISK Classification
						and approved by QA. 3. SMEs are involved in			"Computerized system validation".							
						the preparation and approval of URS/ DQ.			2. For Equipment, addendum URS should be prepared							
						4. Procedure is in place for verification of URS requirement during			for as per SOP.							
6	Functional requirement specification (FRS), functional risk assessment (FRA) and Requirement Traceability Matrix (RTM) / Traceability Matrix (TM) are not available.	1.Inadequat e design, build and testing of the required system that shall not conform the business needs and may not comply the requirement of GxP.	6	1. Lack of procedures.	5	Design qualification.1. SOP "Computerizedsystem validation" is inplace that containsprovision of functionalrequirementspecification, functionalrisk assessment (FRA)and requirementtraceability matrix.System RequirementSpecification (SRS) isavailable.Riskassessment document ofPLC based controlsystem document isavailable.	12	Low	1. It is recommended to prepare addendum URS for Equipment and accordingly functional requirement specification, functional risk assessment and requirement traceability matrix shall be prepared in line with SOP and adequacy shall be verified in addendum URS.	Production: Addendum URS (Part of CSV) TCD: IT/Eng:: Requirement traceability matrix, FRS and FRA (part of CSV) TCD:						



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	SE	Potential causes	0	Current Process control	נס	RI	Risk Classification	Actions	Responsibility			Actio	n Resul	ts	-
		failure effect	SEV (S)		0CC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Risk Classification
										of Equipment Validation (Equipment Qualification)" shall be revised to include the requirement for Functional requirement specification (FRS), functional risk assessment (FRA) and Requirement Traceability Matrix (RTM) / Traceability Matrix (TM).							
7	Specific System Release Certificate (SRC) is not available to handover system/ equipment for further usage.	1. Invalidated system/ equipment may be used for operational activity.	6	1. Lack of procedures.	4	 Procedure is in place for system release for operational use based on PQ activity and controlled as per SOP. Qualification document Number:	2	48	Low	1. SOP "Performing of Equipment Validation (Equipment Qualification)" shall be revised to include provision for generation of System Release certificate (SRC) after successful completion of qualification of each	QA: Revision of SOP TCD:						



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	SI	Potential causes O	Current Process control	D	R	Risk	Actions	Responsibility			Actio	on Resul	lts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)	Potential causes OCC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	KISK Classification
					"Performing of Equipment Validation (Equipment Qualification)".				equipment/ system. 2. Currently no action is required in view of SRC as all equipment are under use after successful completion of qualification as per Document Number:							
8	Service Level Agreement (SLA)/ formal agreements/ Technical Agreement/Service Contract is not available.	 Supplier / Vendor may not provide support for unwritten activity which may impact on business continuity. Supplier may not inform up gradation of software / hardware if 	6	1. Lack of 5 procedure to sign a technical agreement between contract giver (MPL) and contract acceptor (Vendor/ supplier).	 Procedure is in place for service level technical agreement SOP "Vendor audit and assessment for GxP computerized systems and services). Breakdown SOP is available at site "Procedure for Breakdown Maintenance") accordingly vendor/ external agency support accessible on case to case basis /as and when required. 	4	120	Low	 SOP "Performing of Equipment Validation (Equipment Qualification)" shall be revised to include provision for making of Service Level Agreement (SLA) (optional) as per SOP "Vendor audit and assessment for GxP computerized systems and services". For Equipment, 	QA: Revision of SOP TCD: Eng: Service level agreement (part of CSV) TCD: IT: Revision of SOP TCD:						



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR GXP RELATED GAPS IN RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR Potential Potential causes **Current Process control** Risk Actions Responsibility Action Results S.No. Potential failure mode SEV (S) 0CC (0) DET (D) RPN (SxOxD) Classification failure recommended (target date) Risk Classification Severity Action taken Occurrence New RPN effect Detection agreement shall be prepared as per SOP. 3. SOP "Vendor Audit and assessment of GxP computerized systems and services" is to be revised to provide SLA with vendor during purchase order or part of PO to ensure availability during qualification. Administration of Backup and Restore 1. Procedure is in place 4 1. SOP "Performing OA: 9 Data backup and restoration 1. Electronic 6 Due to 5 120 Low 1. testing was not performed as data shall limitation of for preparation of URS of Equipment Revision of (SOP "Performing of SOP backup is not taken. not be HMI/PLC Validation Validation TCD:... backed Equipment (Equipment up system. Data backup is not taken for the (Equipment Oualification)" shall Production: from the Qualification)" Addendum system, so Integrity and accuracy system and 2. Lack of and be revised to include of backup data and the ability to "Computerized System provision for making URS (part of restoration procedure. restore the data was not checked facility is Validation") with respect of URS as per the CSV) during qualification also periodic to GxP requirement. GxP requirements TCD: not Revision of monitoring was not performed. available, listed for system in SOP 2. SOP "Backup and the SOP which may restoration procedure for TCD:..... lead to non-"Computerized retrieval of electronic data" is in system validation". Implementatio



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	Potential causes	0	Current Process control	Ð	R	Risk	Actions	Responsibility			Actio	on Resul	lts	
		failure effect	S Potential causes	OCC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Classification
		data erased from the system itself.			 Electronic data is stored in the system. Recipe printouts are generated and attached to respective BMR. Procedure for recording the process parameters and manufacturing details in respective BMR and logbooks is in place 				2. For Equipment, addendum URS should be prepared as per SOP additionally; that include requirement of data backup and restoration facility and shall be evaluated to upgrade/ change the system.	for verification of electronic data TCD: IT/Eng: CSV TCD:						
					paper based recording and control are available in which controlled documents and formats are used for recording of all data as per (SOP "Cleaning and Operation of Rapid Mixer Granulator with co-mill and Peristaltic pump (Capacity 100 Liters) make Gansons (HSMG 100)".				 CSV shall be performed for data backup and restoration feature. Periodic monitoring of integrity and accuracy of backup data shall be performed as per "Backup and restoration procedure for electronic data" 							



.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	SI	Potential causes	0 '	Current Process control	R	Risk	Actions	Responsibility			Actio	on Resul	ts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)		0CC (0)	Current Process control ET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Classification
_					4	5. Personnel involved in			and Relevant							
					t	the equipment operation			equipment SOP shall							
					8	are trained for SOP			be revised for the							
					•	"Document and data			same after							
					c	control" and data			implementation of							
					i	integrity topic as			long term (Up							
					5	schedule of training as			gradation of System)							
					I	per Data integrity			plan however new							
					1	Policy/procedure.			sop shall be							
									implemented for							
						6. Procedure for handling			electronic data							
						of event is in place as per			verification of each							
						SOP "Event			Batch.							
						Management" in case of										
					8	any deviation observed.										
						7. Biometric access										
					-	procedures are in place as										
					I	per ("Entry & Exit of										
						personnel in core area										
						through access control										
						system") and only										
						authorized person can										
						enter in to the area to										
						monitor the operational										
					8	activities of equipment.									1	



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	SI	Potential causes	o	Current Process control	D	R	Risk Classification	Actions recommended	Responsibility			Acti	on Resu	lts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)		0CC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Risk Classification
10	Training records are not available for administrator.	Untrained personnel as administrato r may lead to following: 1. Incorrect setting of equipment. 2. Improper control over user management 3. Wrong privilege may be granted to user.	6	1. Lack of Procedure.	3	 SOP is in place for system operation (SOP "Cleaning and Operation of Rapid Mixer Granulator with co-mill and Peristaltic pump (Capacity 100 Liters) make Gansons (HSMG 100)"). User levels are well defined in SOP. SOP in place for user management and password policy (SOP "User management and password policy"). Rights of system administrators are available with IT login. All the personnel involved in the activity are well trained for data integrity related policy and procedures as well as for SOP "Document and data control". 	3	54	Low	1.Systemadministratorrightsshould be given onlytotoindependent groupwhich is not part ofactivityi.e.ITpersonnel and sameshall be updated inthe equipment SOP.Training to all userlevelsincludingadministratorshallbeconducted forequipmentSOP.LinkageofsoPshall bementionedinthe SOP in ordertodefineprocedureforgrantingaccesstoa new user, forchangingprivilegesfor an existing userandfordisable/deleting user.2.CSV for thisfeatureshall be	Production: Revision of SOP TCD: SOP shall be prepared by production for all the requirement details regarding user privileges TCD: IT/Eng: CSV TCD: Production:						



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR GXP RELATED GAPS IN RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR Potential Potential causes **Current Process control** Risk Actions Responsibility Action Results OCC (0) S.No. Potential failure mode SEV (S) DET (D) RPN (SxOxD) failure Classification recommended (target date) Risk Classification Severity Action taken Occurrence New RPN effect Detection 4. PLMS system is SOP "Computerized available for training System Validation". control. 3. SOP shall be prepared that shall contain all the requirement details regarding user privileges. Security 11 User account management is 6 1. Lack of 5 1. User 4 120 1. Detailed user 1. account Low Production: Unauthorize management rights are available in system; however procedure. account management user account management is not d user can with Manager login. shall be defined in Revision of SOP available in operational SOP access the the operational SOP "Cleaning and Operation of equipment. 2. Controlled "Cleaning and TCD:..... Rapid Mixer Granulator with codocumented procedure Operation of Rapid 2. Incorrect mill and Peristaltic available for granting Mixer Granulator pump with co-mill and (Capacity 100 Liters) make privileges access to user "User Gansons" Peristaltic can be management and pump assigned to password policy"). (Capacity 100 Liters) There is no controlled and make Gansons" and any documented process available for unauthorize 3. Trained person are linked with SOP for granting access to a new user, for d user. involved in the activity. granting access to a changing privileges for an new user, for existing user changing privileges and for disable/deleting user. for an existing user and for disable/deleting user



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	\mathbf{s}	Potential causes	0	Current Process control	D	R	Risk	Actions	Responsibility			Actio	on Resul	lts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)		OCC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	KISK Classification
										accounts.							
12	There is no verification of active user for the application.	1. A user ID for the user that has left the organization may be available in the system and any unauthorize d system access may occur in by using its ID and password.	6	1. Lack of procedures.	5	 Individual unique user ID can be generated in the system but it is not used currently. Procedure available for preparation of active user list for system (SOP "User management and password policy") but not currently implemented completely. 	4	120	Low	 Operational SOP "Cleaning and Operation of Rapid Mixer Granulator with co-mill and Peristaltic pump (Capacity 100 Liters) make Gansons" shall be revised to include procedure for making of active user list and its periodic updating at every Quarterly Basis frequency. 2. Procedure shall be made to inactivate user ID during 	Production: Revision of SOP. TCD: Procedure shall be made to inactivate user ID during relieving from organization TCD:						



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	SI	Potential causes	0	Current Process control	D	R	Risk Classification	Actions	Responsibility			Actio	on Resu	lts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)		OCC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Risk Classification
13	Unique user ID creation is available in system but Verification of unique User ID is not performed during PLC validation. Individual ID creation facility is available in the system but not implemented till date.	1. Nonfunction ing of unique user ID feature may result into access of unauthorize d user to the system due to common ID and password.	6	1. Lack of procedures.	5	 Procedure in place for verification of unique user ID during GXP system validation. (SOP No.:	4	120	Low	 Unique user ID shall be created for all individual users and that shall be used during operation of equipment. Unique user id verification shall be performed during CSV. Procedure shall be made for individual ID creation to use the system as per "User management and password policy". 	Production: Addendum URS (part of CSV) Procedure shall be made for individual ID creation for individual login to use available system TCD: IT/Eng: CSV TCD:						
14	Minimum length for a strong password is neither defined in SOP "Cleaning and Operation of Rapid Mixer Granulator with co- mill and Peristaltic pump (Capacity 100 Liters) make Gansons (HSMG 100)" nor	1. Small password policy may result into easy access of the system by	6	1.Lack of procedures	5	1. Procedure is defined for minimum length of password in SOP "User management and password policy" but didn't enforce.	4	120	Low	1. Facility for minimum length of strong password shall be enabled in the system for individual login i.e. password length	IT/Eng: Facility for minimum length of strong password shall be enabled in						



No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	SF	Potential causes	0	Current Process control	R	Risk Classification	Actions	Responsibility			Actio	on Resul	ts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)		OCC (0)	Current Process control	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Classification
	enforced in the computerized system. However, facility for minimum length of strong password is available in the system i.e. password length should be 1 to 32 characters and complexity of password with capital letter, small letter, number and special character is available.	unauthorize d users.				2. Facility for minimum length of strong password is available in the system i.e. password length should be 1 to 32 characters and complexity of password with capital letter, small letter, number and special character, however it was not enabled.			should be 1 to 32 characters and complexity of password with capital letter, small letter, number and special character. 2. Minimum length for a strong password shall be defined in SOP. 3. CSV shall be performed as per "Computerized System Validation".	the system for individual login TCD: CSV TCD: Production: Revision of SOP TCD:						



		RISH	X A	SSESSMENT	r FO	OR GxP RELATE	DG	GAPS I	N RAPID N	1IXER GRANU	JLATOR						
S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential failure	SE	Potential causes	0	Current Process control	DH	RI	Risk Classification	Actions recommended	Responsibility (target date)		T	Actio	on Resu	lts	-1
		effect	SEV (S)		OCC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Risk Classification
15	Non person system account (generic accounts) are available i.e. Administrator, A, ADMIN, Operator, supervisor and manager.	 May result into loss of data /Wrong data generation. Unauthorize d access to system. Event traceability may be impacted as it is non- attributable. 		1.Lack of procedures	5	 Procedure is available for user management and password policy (SOP "User management and password policy"). Control and documented process is available for granting access to a new user, for changing privileges for an existing user and for disable/ deleting user. Procedure is in place to record the data with performed by/ verified by so; loss of data or wrong data generation and unauthorized access may be controlled. Procedure is in place to review real time data during and after batch manufacturing; so event traceability is in place. 	4	120	Low	 Available generic account in the system shall be deactivated and unique user id shall be created. SOP "Cleaning and Operation of Rapid Mixer Granulator with co- mill and Peristaltic pump (Capacity 100 Liters) make Gansons (HSMG 100)" shall be revised to include requirement for creation of individual user IDs. CSV for this feature shall be performed as per SOP "Computerized System Validation". 	Production: Available generic account in the system shall be deactivated and unique user ID shall be created. TCD: Revision of SOP TCD: Implementatio n of new SOP for verification of electronic data TCD:						



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential failure	SE	Potential causes	0	Current Process control	DI	RI	Risk Classification	Actions recommended	Responsibility (target date)		-	Acti	on Resu	lts	-1
		effect	SEV (S)		OCC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(larget date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Classification
						 Biometric access procedures are in place as per SOP "Entry and exit of personnel in core area through access control system") and only authorized person can enter in to the area to monitor the operational activities of equipment. Audit trail facility is available in the system, so user activity traceability can be ensured. 				data (each) shall be taken and shall be verified for adequacy. Respective SOP shall be revised for the same after implementation of long term (Up gradation of System) plan however; new sop shall be implemented for electronic data verification of each Batch.							
16	There are four active groups/levels available in the system, i.e. 1) Operator, 2) Supervisor, 3) Manager and 4) Admin. However, As per qualification document: There are five groups available in the system i.e. 1) Operator, 2) Supervisor, 3) Manager, 4) Maintenance and 5) Admin.	1. Incorrect user privilege may be assigned during user ID creation and that leads to unauthorize d user activity.	6	1. Due to lack of procedure.	4	 User access rights are given based on job profile of personnel as per groups available in the system i.e. operator, supervisor, manager and administrator. System automatically records unauthorized login attempt or failed 	2	48	Low	1. User groups shall be defined in the operational SOP "Cleaning and Operation of Rapid Mixer Granulator with co-mill and Peristaltic pump (Capacity 100 Liters) make Gansons (HSMG 100)" as per available system and	Production: Revision of SOP: TCD:						



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential failure	SE	Potential causes	0	Current Process control	D	R	Risk Classification	Actions recommended	Responsibility			Actio	n Resul	ts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)		0CC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommende a	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Risk Classification
	Three groups/levels are defined in operational SOP "Cleaning and Operation of Rapid Mixer Granulator with co-mill and Peristaltic pump (Capacity 100 Liters) make Gansons (HSMG 100)" i.e. operator, supervisor and manager. Password verification and privilege verification testing for the system has been performed for five groups i.e. 1) Operator, 2) Supervisor, 3) Manager, 4) Maintenance and 5) Admin. Reference document:					login attempts i.e. audit trail report shall capture all login attempts. 3. Password verification testing as well as privilege verification testing for the system has been performed during PLC validation.				accordingly CSV shall be performed for existing user groups.							
17	The computerized system supports the password – aging (expiry) facility but it is not enabled and verified during physical verification. Admin can configure the password – aging parameter.	1. Keeping same password for long period of time increases risk of password breach by		1. Due to lack of procedures.	5	 Procedure is available for user management and password policy (SOP- "User management and password policy"). The computerized system supports the password – aging (expiry) facility, but it is 	4	120	Low	 For Equipment, password – aging (expiry) feature shall be enabled and CSV for this feature shall be performed as per SOP "Computerized System Validation". SOP "Cleaning 	IT/Eng: CSV TCD: 						



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	Potential causes	Current Process control	D	R	Risk	Actions	Responsibility			Actio	on Resu	lts	
		failure effect	S Potential causes OCC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Risk Classification
		unauthorize d user.		not enabled.				and Operation of Rapid Mixer Granulator with co- mill and Peristaltic pump (Capacity 100 Liters) make Gansons (HSMG 100)" shall be revised to include requirement of password aging (expiry).	Production: Revision of SOP. TCD:						
18	System does not ask for password change on first login and verified during physical verification. However facility is available for individual login and can be enabled at admin level.	1. Keeping same password as provided at the time account creation increases risk of password breach by unauthorize d user.	6 1. Due to lack of 5 procedure	 Procedure is available for user management and password policy (SOP "User management and password policy") but not implemented currently. Procedure is in place to online record the data in BMR with doer and verification option. Additionally; log is available in BMR to identify all involved person in the activity. 	4	120	Low	 For Equipment, requirement to ask for password change on first login facility feature shall be enabled and CSV for this feature shall be performed as per SOP "Computerized System Validation". SOP "Cleaning and Operation of Rapid Mixer Granulator with co- mill and Peristaltic 	IT/Eng: CSV TCD: Production: Revision of SOP TCD:						



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	SI	Potential causes	0	Current Process control	R	Risk	Actions	Responsibility			Acti	on Resu	lts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)		OCC (0)	Current Process control	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Kisk Classification
						3. System automatically records unauthorized login attempt or failed login attempts i.e. audit trail report shall capture all login attempts.			pump (Capacity 100Liters) makeGansons (HSMG100)" shall berevised to includerequirement ofpassword change onfirst login.3. Batch printout(electronic) datashall be taken andverified for adequacywhich includessigning of doer/checker so; risk shallbe minimized forpassword breaching.Respective SOP shall berevised for the sameafter implementationof long term (Upgradation of System)plan however newsop shall beimplemented forelectronic data	Implementatio n of new SOP for verification of electronic data TCD:						



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential failure	SI	Potential causes	0	Current Process control	D	R	Risk Classification	Actions recommended	Responsibility			Actio	on Resu	lts	
		effect	SEV (S)		OCC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Risk Classification
										verification of each							
10	Commutational contamplic conclusion	1 If	6	1. Due to lack of	5	1. Biometric access	4	120	T	Batch.	Production:		_				
19	Computerized system is unable to lock a user account after	 If system allows user 	6	1. Due to lack of procedure.	Э	1. Biometric access procedures are in place as	4	120	Low	1. For Equipment, requirement to lock a	Production:						
	unsuccessful attempts for login	to enter		procedure.		procedures are in place as per SOP "Entry and exit				user account after	Revision of						
	and verified during physical	unsuccessful				of personnel in core area				multiple	SOP to include						
	verification. However facility is	passwords				through access control				unsuccessful	requirement to						
	available and can be enabled at	multiple				system" and only				attempts for login to	lock a user						
	admin level.	times; then				authorized person can				the system shall be	account after						
		it will				enter in to the area of				enabled and CSV for	multiple						
		increases				equipment.				this feature shall be	unsuccessful						
		risk of								performed as per	attempts for						
		password				2. Only authorized person				SOP "Computerized	login and						
		breach by				with user ID and				System Validation".	automatically						
		unauthorize				password can login into					record un						
		d user.				system.				2. SOP "Cleaning	authorized						
										and Operation of	login attempt						
						3. SOP "User				Rapid Mixer	or failed login						
						management and				Granulator with co-	attempts						
						password policy" is in				mill and Peristaltic	TCD:						
						place which includes				pump (Capacity 100							
						instructions to keep				Liters) make	IT/Eng:						
						passwords confidential.				Gansons (HSMG	CSV						
										100)" shall be	TCD:						
						4. System automatically				revised to include							
						records unauthorized				requirement to lock a							
						login attempt or failed				user account after							
						login attempts i.e. audit				multiple							



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	SI	Potential causes	o	Current Process control	D	R	Risk	Actions	Responsibility			Acti	on Resu	lts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)		OCC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Risk Classification
						trail report shall capture all login attempts.				unsuccessful attempts for login.							
20	Automatic logout provision is available in the system but is not enabled and verified during physical verification. Admin can configure automatic logout provision.	1. If any user may leave the logged in system for some time; then it will increase risk to access the system by unauthorize d user.	6	1. Due to limitation of current HMI/ PLC.	5	 Biometric access procedures are in place as per SOP "Entry and exit of personnel in core area through access control system" and only authorized person can enter in to the area of equipment Only authorized person with user id and password can only login into system. Persons are dedicated to manufacturing area on Weekly basis as per SOP "Entry and exit of personnel in core area through access control system" so; they are not allowed to enter into different manufacturing area other than access permitted on day. Only 	4	120	Low	 For Equipment, requirement for automatic logout of a user account after specified time period shall be enabled and CSV for this feature shall be performed as per SOP "Computerized System Validation". SOP "Cleaning and Operation of Rapid Mixer Granulator with co- mill and Peristaltic pump (Capacity 100 Liters) make Gansons (HSMG 100)" shall be revised for automatic logout feature of the system. 	IT/Eng: CSV TCD Production: Revision of SOP TCD:						



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	IS	Potential causes	0	Current Process control		R	Risk	Actions	Responsibility			Actio	on Resul	ts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)		OCC (0)	Current Process control		RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Classification
						lunch/ tea breaks are allowed to leave so; risk is mitigated for unauthorized user. 4. System automatically records unauthorized login attempt or failed login attempts i.e. audit trail report shall capture all login attempts.											
21	Approved matrix for user access privilege for the system is not available and is not attached to operational SOP "Cleaning and Operation of Rapid Mixer Granulator with co-mill and Peristaltic pump (Capacity 100 Liters) make Gansons (HSMG 100)".	1. In the absence of privilege matrix; wrong rights may be granted to the users that can result into access to the restricted system features and data by unauthorize d users.	6	Lack of procedures regarding privilege matrix.	5	1. Procedure is available 4 for user management and password policy "User management and password policy").	• 1	120	Low	Privilege matrix shall be prepared and attached with the SOP.	Production: Revision of SOP TCD:						



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential failure	SF	Potential causes	0	Current Process control	D	R	Risk Classification	Actions recommended	Responsibility			Actio	on Resul	ts	
		effect	SEV (S)		OCC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Risk Classification
22	Recipe management is not defined in operational SOP "Cleaning and Operation of Rapid Mixer Granulator with co- mill and Peristaltic pump (Capacity 100 Liters) make Gansons (HSMG 100)".	Due to lack of procedure for recipe management , equipment may be inappropriat ely operated and have impact over the quality of product.	7	Lack of procedures.	2	 System has recipe management and is controlled at Manager level as per qualification document. Procedure is in place for PPQ study (SOP "Process Performance Qualification") and product specific recipe is finalized after completion of PPQ study. 	3	42	Low	SOP shall be revised to define recipe management.	Production: Revision of SOP TCD:						
24	The system has offline printing capability, but only recipe printouts are attached with BMR. Batch wise production report, audit report and alarm report are not attached with BMR.	 Non generation of online generated batch processing data may lead into variation in recorded data of BMR. 2. Document 	6	1.Lack of procedure	5	 Procedures for recording of in-process parameters in respective BMR are in place as per SOP "Document and Data Control". Procedure (SOP- "Document and data control") is in place and same is being followed during creation and recording of data. Data recorded in BMR. 	3	90	Low	1. Operational SOP "Cleaning and Operation of Rapid Mixer Granulator with co-mill and Peristaltic pump (Capacity 100 Liters) make Gansons (HSMG 100)" shall be revised to include the procedure for generation of batch printouts for review and attached to the BMR and after	Production: Revision of SOP TCD: Implementatio n of new SOP for verification of electronic data TCD: 10/21						



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	SI	Potential causes	Q	Current Process control	D	R	Risk	Actions	Responsibility			Acti	on Resu	ts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)		0000 (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Classification
		may not be complying to ALCOA.				log book, format, and supportive documents are being reviewed online after completion of step wise activity/ stage wise activity by production person followed by IPQA personnel for adequacy, accuracy and completeness of activity. 				implementation of long term (Up gradation of System) plan however new sop shall be implemented for electronic data verification of each Batch.							



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	SI	Potential causes	0	Current Process control		R	Risk	Actions	Responsibility			Actio	on Resu	lts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)		OCC (0)	Current Process control		RPN (SeOrD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	KISK Classification
						take and verify processing details printout from equipment for each batch as per SOP. 7. Printouts are matched with the displayed information and this is verified during											
Andi	t Trail					qualification.											
25	SOP for audit trail review is not available. The audit trail is secured for any alteration/deletion in the system. However this is not verified during PLC validation. Computer generated audit trails does not contain reason for the change.	 Document may not be complying with ALCOA. Tracking of data related to alteration i.e. changes in process parameter, 	6	1. Unavailability of requirement of Audit trail feature in the URS and due to limitation of current HMI/ PLC.	5	 The Computerized 3 system has viewing & printing capabilities for all relevant audits trails i.e. batch reports, audit reports, alarm reports, etc. Data recorded in BMR, log book, format, printed batch records are reviewed online after completion of granulation activity by production person followed by IPQA 	3	90	Low	1. SOP "Performing of Equipment Validation (Equipment Qualification)" shall be revised to include provision for making of URS as per the GxP requirements listed for system and performing CSV as defined in the SOP ITD/034 "Computerized system validation".	QA: Revision of SOP TCD: Production: Addendum URS (Part of CSV) TCD: Revision of SOP TCD: Implementatio						



No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	SI	Potential causes o	Current Process control	D	R	Risk	Actions	Responsibility			Actio	on Resul	lts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)	Potential causes OCC		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Classification
		(Who and			completeness of activity.				2. For Equipment,	for verification						
		when the			Procedure for review of				addendum URS	of electronic						
		changes			batch records is in place				should be prepared	data						
		done) and			as per SOP "Batch				as per SOP that shall	TCD:						
		deletion of			Release procedure".				include requirement							
		data is not							for up gradation of							
		available			3. Procedure (SOP				existing audit trail	Preparation of						
		which leads			"Document and data				facility with a	SOP for Audit						
		to the lack			control") is in place and				provision to mention	trail review						
		of GMP and			same is being followed				the reason for	TCD:						
		GxP			during creation and				change during batch							
		requirement.			recording of data.				activity.							
		3. Event			4. Recorded data's				3. Batch printout as							
		may not be			contemporaneousness				well as audit trail							
		traceable.			can be ensured by				(electronic data) of							
					comparison of original				each batch shall be							
		4. Data can			record and available				taken and verified							
		be edited at			biometric punch records				with BMR data							
		any point of			along with logs available				online/ inline for							
		time.			with respective BMR for				adequacy so that							
					person involved in				each electronic data							
					manufacturing.				of batch can be							
					-				ensured for data							
					5. Complete granulation				adequacy.							
					process instructions are				Respective							
					given in the BMR for				equipment SOP							
					each significant step.				"Cleaning and							



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

lo.	Potential failure mode	Potential failure	SF	Potential causes	Current Process control	D	R	Risk Classification	Actions recommended	Responsibility			Acti	on Resu	lts	
		effect	SEV (S)	Potential causes C C O		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Classification
_					6. Check point for Data				Operation of Rapid							
					integrity, is part of self-				Mixer Granulator							
					inspection checklist and				with co-mill and							
					during inspection random				Peristaltic pump							
					verification of data is				(Capacity 100 Liters)							
					being performed as per				make Gansons							
					SOP "Self Inspection".				(HSMG 100)" shall							
					Data in BMR and				be revised for the							
					equipment log books are				same after							
					recorded in a manner that				implementation of							
					it comply all attributes of				long term (Up							
					Data integrity i.e.				gradation of System)							
					ALCOA+.				plan however new							
									sop shall be							
					7. Procedure is in place to				implemented for							
					take and verify				electronic data							
					processing details				verification of each							
					printout from equipment				Batch.							
					for each batch as per											
					SOP.				4. SOP for audit trail							
									review shall be							
					8. All completed				prepared.							
					documents are submitted											
					to QA and stored at											
					identified location in											
					controlled area and											
					readily available for											
					review and reference											



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR GxP RELATED GAPS IN RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR

.No. Potential failure mo	le Potential	SI	Potential causes	0	Current Process control	D	R	Risk	Actions	Responsibility			Actio	on Resu	lts	
	failure effect	SEV (S)		OCC (0)		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Classification
					purposes.											
Operation control					1 1				I	1						
26 System does not have ele signature (ES) provision.	ctronic 1. System data shal not be Attributable.	5	1. Unavailability of requirement of Electronic signature features in the URS and due to limitation of current HMI/ PLC.	5	 Procedure for CSV contains provision for validation of electronic signature features as per SOP "Computerized System Validation". Audit trail facility is available in the system that tracks all activity during batch processing by any user and same can be printed. Procedure is in place for recording of all the process data over BMR with signature of the person who has recorded the data. Procedure is in place to generate employee specimen signature log in each batch record to trace 	4	120	Low	 For Equipment, addendum URS should be prepared as per SOP that shall include requirement of "electronic signature"; and evaluated for system up gradation. CSV for this feature shall be performed as per SOP for upgraded parameters. Batch printout as well as audit trail (electronic data) of each batch shall be taken and verified with BMR data online/ inline for adequacy so that each electronic data 	Production: Addendum URS (Part of CSV) TCD: Revision of SOP TCD: Implementatio n of new SOP for verification of electronic data TCD: IT/Eng: CSV TCD:						



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	SI	Potential causes	Current Process control	D	R	Risk	Actions	Responsibility			Acti	on Resu	lts	
		failure effect	SEV (S)	Potential causes 0 0 0 0 0		DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Classification	recommended	(target date)	Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Classification
					the activities.				ensured for data							
									adequacy.							
					5. Personnel are trained											
					for data integrity process				4. Operational SOP							
					as schedule of training as				"Cleaning and							
					per Data integrity				Operation of Rapid							
					Policy/procedure.				Mixer Granulator							
					Documents and formats				with co-mill and							
					used for recording of data				Peristaltic pump							
					are issued and controlled				(Capacity 100 Liters)							
					by QA.				make Gansons							
									(HSMG 100)" shall							
					6. Procedure is in place to				be revised for the							
					record the data in a				same and after							
					manner that covers batch				implementation of							
					operation /cleaning				long term (Up							
					activity/ change over				gradation of System)							
					activity with start time/				plan however new							
					date and end time/date				sop shall be							
					with activity done by and				implemented for							
					cross checked by				electronic data							
					signature.				verification of each							
									Batch.							
					7. Procedure for											
					electronic signature is											
					available as per SOP											
					"Electronic Records and Electronic Signatures".											



S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential	SI	Potential causes	0	Current Process control	D	RPN (SxOxD)	Risk Classification	Actions recommended	Responsibility (target date)	Action Results					
		failure EV effect (3)	SEV (S)		OCC (0)		DET (D)					Action taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Risk Classification
27	Specific SOP for Business Continuity is not available.	1. Lack of procedure for business continuity may result in inability for continuing processes in case of adverse event/disast	6	1.Lack of procedures	5	 1.In case of adverse event and disasters; procedure are in place to handle such situation i.e. SOP's are available for "Procedure for Breakdown Maintenance", "Change Management System" and "Event Management". 	3	90	Low	1.SOP for business continuity /Plan shall be prepared	IT: Preparation of SOP for Business continuity /Plan TCD:						
28	Verification of recipe reports, audit reports and batch reports are not part of operational SOP "Cleaning and Operation of Rapid Mixer Granulator with co- mill and Peristaltic pump (Capacity 100 Liters) make Gansons (HSMG 100)".	er. 1. Mismatch in the system generated electronic data and hard copy data.	6	1.Lack of procedures	3	1. Procedure for batch record review is in place as per SOP "Batch Release procedure". As per the Batch record review checklist, electronic processing data of equipment shall be verified (whichever applicable). Discrepancy related to difference in electronic data and executed batch record shall be handled through SOP "Event	3	54	Low	1. SOP (Cleaning and Operation of Rapid Mixer Granulator with co- mill and Peristaltic pump (Capacity 100 Liters) make Gansons (HSMG 100) shall be revised to add procedure for verification of recipe reports, audit reports and batch reports and after implementation of	Production: Revision of SOP TCD: Implementatio n of new SOP for verification of electronic data TCD:						



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR GXP RELATED GAPS IN RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR Potential Potential causes **Current Process control** Risk Actions Responsibility Action Results SEV (S) S.No. Potential failure mode DET (D) 0CC (0) RPN (SxOxD) failure Classification recommended (target date) Risk Classification Severity Action taken Occurrence New RPN effect Detection Management". long term (Up gradation of System) plan however new sop shall be implemented for electronic data verification of each Batch. **Electronic Record & Electronic Signature** 6 4 1. Electronic data of 29 No any documented system is in 1. Mismatch 1.Lack of 5 1. Procedure for batch 120 Low Production: place for the data reviewer to in the procedure. record review is in place data processing shall procedure review appropriate electronic and system as per SOP "Batch be part of BMR and shall be made hardcopy data (including generated Release procedure". As verified during batch to review per the Batch record metadata, relevant audit trails, electronic processing itself so; electronic data etc.) generated by the data and review checklist. review of electronic like metadata. electronic processing data and hardcopy data relevant audit instrument/system. hard copy trails if current data. of equipment shall be shall be mitigated. verified (whichever However; procedure system is applicable). Discrepancy shall be made to updated related to difference in review electronic successfully electronic data and data like metadata. (Part of CSV) executed batch record relevant audit trails TCD: shall be handled through if current system is SOP (Event updated successfully. Management).



PHARMA DEVILS QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR GxP RELATED GAPS IN RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR

13.0 Risk Control Measures:

Investigation / Findings:

• Risk analysis study performed against the identified gaps as per document number; it is revealed that equipment make: Ganson has some lapses with respect GxP controls that were resulted due to non-availability of requirements in the initial URS and limitation of equipment.

Corrective Action:

• It has been proposed to upgrade the GxP requirement for Equipment by preparing addendum URS as per SOP and by conducting addendum computer system validation after system up gradation. Detailed corrective actions are tabulated in the FMEA table.

14.0 Summary & Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment:

Summary:

On the basis of risk assessment study it is evident that Equipment has gaps related to GxP requirements which have resulted due to some gaps in the site procedures however; control measures like batch records, equipment logbooks, personnel training, data review and verification, Biometric access control in the manufacturing area etc are already in place for mitigation and acceptance of the risk. Further; corrective actions have been recommended to upgrade the system inline with the GxP requirements.

Conclusion:

Based on the above mentioned risk assessment; it is concluded that the current process controls are capable enough to mitigate the identified risk and equipment shall be used with acceptance of risk based on available process controls. Further system up gradation shall be performed inline with the GxP requirements.



RISK ASSESSMENT FOR GxP RELATED GAPS IN RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR

15.0 Final Report Approval (Pre assessment):

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable). Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures are in place/identified ,documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Res	ponsibility	Name	Signature	Date	
Prepared By	Quality Assurance				
	Quality Assurance				
	Production				
Reviewed By	Information Technology				
	Engineering				
Approved	Head Operation				
By	Head Quality Assurance				



PHARMA DEVILS QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR GxP RELATED GAPS IN RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR

16.0 Final Report Approval (Post assessment):

The final report shall be signed after implementing all the recommended actions and based on the implementation of actions, reclassification of risk was completed. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates. All the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Resj	ponsibility	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared By	Quality Assurance			
	Quality Assurance			
Reviewed	Production			
By	Information Technology			
	Engineering			
Approved	Head Operation			
By	Head Quality Assurance			



PHARMA DEVILS QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR GxP RELATED GAPS IN RAPID MIXER GRANULATOR

17.0 Risk Communication:

The above quality risk assessment is shared with the following process owner and management.

- 1. Quality Assurance.
- 2. Production
- 3. Information Technology
- 4. Engineering
- 5. Corporate Quality Assurance

18.0 Abbreviation:

SOP	:	Standard Operating Procedure
FMEA	:	Failure Mode Effect Analysis
CFR	:	Code of Federal Regulation
CSV	:	Computer System Validation
PLC	:	Programmable Logic Controller
VMP	:	Validation Master Plan
URS	:	User Requirement Specification
GAMP	:	Good Automated Manufacturing Practice
PLMS	:	Pharmasol Learning Management Solution
TCD	:	Target Completion Date
HMI	:	Human Machine Interface
QRM	:	Quality Risk Management
QMS	:	Quality Management System
CAPA	:	Corrective Action and Preventive Action
GACR	:	Gap Assessment cum Report
RPN	:	Risk Priority Number
RAS	:	Risk Assessment
DI	:	Data Integrity
SME	:	Subject Matter Expert
PPQ	:	Process Performance Qualification
RMG	:	Rapid Mixer Granulator