RISK ASSESSMENT STUDY

(FMEA ANALYSIS)

FOR

Risk Analysis Study for Replacement of HMI of Purified Water Generation System

(……..)

Document No.: ……..

Effective From/Approval Date: ……

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR REPLACEMENT OF HMI OF PURIFIED WATER GENERATION SYSTEM

1.0 Table of contents:

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR REPLACEMENT OF HMI OF PURIFIED WATER GENERATION SYSTEM

2.0 Quality risk assessment team:

S.No.	Team Member	Department	Designation	Sign/Date

HOD Approval

Name	Department	Designation	Sign & Date

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR REPLACEMENT OF HMI OF PURIFIED WATER GENERATION SYSTEM

3.0 Introduction:

The facility is producing various ranges of tablets; capsules and oral liquid with the help of required utility & equipment's.

4.0 Objective:

The objective of this protocol is to perform the quality risk assessment study in line with the guidance of the risk management manual and ICH Q9 for replacement of HMI of PWG installed.

5.0 Scope:

The purpose of this document is to offer a systematic approach to quality risk management. It serves as a foundation or resource document that is independent of, yet supports other ICH Quality documents and complements existing quality practices, requirements, standards, and guidelines within the manufacturing facility.

This document provides risk assessment study for Q9 for replacement of HMI of PWG to evaluate the mitigation & acceptance risk associated with it.

6.0 Risk assessment approach:

- The quality risk assessment has been planned for evaluation on the basis of failure mode effect analysis (FMEA) tool.
- The evaluation of the risk shall be based on scientific knowledge and ultimately linked to protection of the patient.
- Various risks associated / anticipated shall be Q9 for replacement of HMI of PWG.
- The impact of the risks shall be evaluated for the potential risks associated with the existing location. Various methodology/ tools of risk analysis shall be used as required.
- The risk & impact shall be assessed for the mitigation measures in place and / or the measures proposed.
- Action recommendations shall be given (if required) for mitigation and acceptance of risk.
- Acceptance of the risk with its mitigating measures shall be decided and endorsed based on the study carried out.
- The control mechanism and the risk communication shall be enforced/verified in the operating documentation.
- The quality risk assessment has been planned for evaluation on the basis of failure mode effect analysis (FMEA) tool.

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR REPLACEMENT OF HMI OF PURIFIED WATER GENERATION SYSTEM

☞ The following process /steps have been/ will be followed for risk assessment:

7.0 Responsibilities:

Engineering Department is responsible for preparation and review of quality risk assessment and coordinate the QRM team members and they shall be take the decisions about quality risk control & action plan.

Production Department is responsible for preparation and review of quality risk assessment procedure and its execution.

Quality Assurance Department is responsible for review of quality risk assessment procedure and support to its execution.

Head Operation is responsible for review of quality risk assessment procedure.

Quality Assurance Head is responsible for review adequacy of quality risk assessment and approve the final decision taken after recommended action plan.

8.0 Reference Documents:

The relevant Document for monitoring, control is listed below:

- SOP- Handling of Corrective Action & Preventive actions.
- SOP- Quality Risk management.
- SOP- Cleaning of production area.
- SOP- Fumigation in Production area.
- SOP- Training of Personnel.
- SOP- Preventive maintenance of PWG.

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR REPLACEMENT OF HMI OF PURIFIED WATER GENERATION SYSTEM

9.0 Background:

The facility is producing various ranges of tablets, capsules and oral liquid with the help of required utilities & machineries. On dated …… breakdown intimation was initiated by production department for data not shown in HMI of PWG. During utility evaluation it was observed that manual power on/off selector switch of HMI may be the probable root cause for data not shown in HMI of PWG, also HMI is of old version and may be not compatible with printer hence need to be replaced with new HMI of same specification. Based on the current available process controls, risk severity and probability of occurrence; RPN shall be calculated and risk shall be prioritized. Based on prioritize risk, actions shall be proposed (if any) in order to mitigate the risk.

10.0 Risk Ranking Parameters:

10.1 Rating Parameters for Severity:

Effect	Scale	Assessment of Severity of Impact (Based on the anticipated negative impact & detrimental effect)
No effect	1	No impact to product quality and process robustness
Very Slight	2	Very slight effect on product and process performance. The customer may notice non-vital fault. Customer is not annoyed or impacted
Slight	3	Slight effect on performance. Non-vital fault notice most of the time. Customer is slightly annoyed.
Minor	4	Minor effect on product quality and process performance. Fault does not require repair or rectification. Non-vital fault or deficiency always noticed. Customer experiences minor nuisance.
Moderate	5	Performance moderately affected. Fault requires repair. Customer experiences some dissatisfaction.
Significant	6	Product or Performance hindered but usable /operable and safe. Non-vital part inoperable. Customer experiences discomfort.
Major	7	Product or performance severely affected but functional and safe. Customer dissatisfied.
Extreme	8	Item inoperable but safe. Customer very dissatisfied.
Serious	9	Potential hazardous effect. Able to stop without mishap. Regulatory compliance in jeopardy.
Hazardous	10	Occurrence without warning. Safety related. Regulatory non-compliance.

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR REPLACEMENT OF HMI OF PURIFIED WATER GENERATION SYSTEM

10.2 Rating Parameters for Occurrence:

Occurrence	Scale	Parameter
Almost never	1	Almost impossible probability of occurrence. A failure, which has never been encountered but may be possible theoretically (1 in 15,00,000).
Remote	2	Remote, rare number of historical failure (1 in 1,50,000).
Very slight	3	Very few failure likely (1 in 15,000)
Slight	4	Few failure likely (1 in 2,000)
Low	5	Occasional number of failures likely (1 in 400)
Medium	6	Medium number of failures likely (1 in 80)
Moderately High	7	Moderately high number of failures likely (1 in 20)
High	8	high number of failures likely (1 in 8)
Very High	9	Very high number of failures likely (1 in 3)
Almost Certain	10	Failure almost certain (≥ 1 in 2)

10.3 Rating Parameters for Detection Control:

Detection	Scale	Parameter
Almost Certain	1	Almost certain that the design control will detect potential cause. Proven detection methods with high reliability.
Very High	2	Very high chance design control will detect potential cause. Proven detection methods available.
High	3	High chance design control will detect potential cause. Detection tools have high chance of detecting methods.
Moderately High	4	Moderately high chance design control will detect potential cause. Almost certain not to detect failure.
Moderate	5	Moderate chance design control will detect potential cause. Detection tools have moderate chance of detecting methods.
Low	6	Low chance design control will detect potential cause. Detection tools have a low chance of detecting failure.
Very Low	7	Very low chance design control will detect potential cause. Detection tools may not detect failure.
Remote	8	Remote chance design control will detect potential cause. Detection tools will probably not detect failure.
Very Remote	9	Very remote chance design control will detect potential cause. Detection tools most likely will not detect failure.
Absolute Uncertainty	10	No design control or design control will not detect potential cause. Failure not detected.

Note: Individual contributory factor for each potential failure mode shall be rated. Other scale parameters may also be selected based on the process.

11.0 Acceptance Criteria for Risk Assessment by FMEA:

Acceptance criteria for FMEA are as follows:

Risk Category	Risk Classification (Quantitative)Risk Index	Action Status
High	≥ 500	CAPA required
Medium	126 - 499	CAPA may be required
Low	≤ 125	CAPA not required

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR REPLACEMENT OF HMI OF PURIFIED WATER GENERATION SYSTEM

12.0 Post-Risk Assessment as per FMEA:

RISK ASSESSMENT BEFORE CONTROL												Action Results					
S.No.	**Potential failure mode**	**Potential failure effects**	**SEV (S)**	**Potential causes**	**OCC (O)**	**Current process controls**	**DET (D)**	**RPN (SxOxD)**	**Risk Classification**	**Actions recommended**	**Responsibility (target date)**	**Actions taken**	**Severity**	**Occurrence**	**Detection**	**New RPN**	**Risk Classification**
A.																	
1.	Installation of new HMI	Operation failure	4	Installation not done properly. Required utility not provided	4	Procedure for installation qualification is in place to verify the installed components.	3	**48**	Low	Qualification of new HMI shall be carried out.	Prd./Engg./ QA						
2.	Impact on equipment performance and its related components	Product failure	5	Machine components may malfunction due to incompatible software program. Recipe may be alter after installation of new HMI.	4	Procedure is available for qualification of equipment after replacement of HMI. Procedure for verification of equipment component is available.	3	**60**	Low	Software of new HMI shall be identical to previous HMI.	Prd./Engg./ QA						
3.	Qualification of HMI	Product failure	5	Improper operation & performance of machine.	4	SOP is in place for equipment qualification.	4	**80**	Low	Qualification of new HMI shall be carried out.	Prd./Engg./ QA						
4.	Compatibility of HMI with equipment.	Operation Failure	4	Specifications of HMI may be differ from existing HMI.	4	Previous HMI validation is done and have validated software programme.	3	**48**	Low	Compatibility of HMI shall be verified before installation.	Prd./Engg./ QA						

PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR REPLACEMENT OF HMI OF PURIFIED WATER GENERATION SYSTEM

RISK ASSESSMENT BEFORE CONTROL												Action Results					
S.No.	Potential failure mode	Potential failure effects	SEV (S)	Potential causes	OCC (O)	Current process controls	DET (D)	RPN (SxOxD)	Risk Classification	Actions recommended	Responsibility (target date)	Actions taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	New RPN	Risk Classification
A.																	
5.	Failure in Functioning of equipment and its components	Functioning of HMI may not verified during qualification	5	Opening and closing of top lid may not as per acceptance criteria. RPM may vary with HMI rpm. Discharge lid opening and closing may not proper. Chopper assembly	5	Functioning of HMI is verified during qualification.	3	75	Low	Functioning of new HMI shall be verified during qualification	Prd./Engg./ QA						
6.	Improper handling of HMI.	Failure of GMP requirement	5	Fitting of HMI not done properly.	5	HMI is provided inside SS enclosure. Trained men power and SOP for operation & Cleaning of PWG is available.	3	75	Low	On / Off selector switch of HMI of PWG to be removed and sop for operation & Cleaning of PWG shall be revised	Prd./Engg./ QA						
7.	Inadequate periodic verification of HMI	Equipment Failure	5	Preventive maintenance of equipment not performed as per schedule. Requalification of equipment may not performed as per schedule.	4	Preventive maintenance procedure is available. Procedure for Requalification of equipment is available.	3	60	Low	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

PHARMA DEVILS

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR REPLACEMENT OF HMI OF PURIFIED WATER GENERATION SYSTEM

RISK ASSESSMENT BEFORE CONTROL												Action Results					
S.No.	**Potential failure mode**	**Potential failure effects**	**SEV (S)**	**Potential causes**	**OCC (O)**	**Current process controls**	**DET (D)**	**RPN (SxOxD)**	**Risk Classification**	**Actions recommended**	**Responsibility (target date)**	**Actions taken**	**Severity**	**Occurrence**	**Detection**	**New RPN**	**Risk Classification**
A.																	
8.	Power failure	HMI malfunctioning effects product quality	5	Power failure study not verified	4	Power failure study procedure is in place to verify any abnormal changes in recipe during power cut off.	4	**80**	Low	Power failure study shall be performed during qualification	Prd./Engg./ QA						
9.	Communication failure	Communication loss effects on product quality.	5	Communication failure study not considered in qualification.	4	Communication failure study procedure is in place to verify any abnormal changes in recipe during power cut off.	3	**60**	Low	Communication failure study shall be performed during qualification Control loop test or recipe verification is a part of qualification procedure.	Prd./Engg./ QA						
10.	Individual login	Recipe may be alter by other person	5	New HMI may not compatible for individual login compatibility	4	Individual login are provided for different users.	4	**80**	Low	Compatibility of individual login shall be verified	Prd./Engg./ QA						
11.	Function of HMI touch keys	Operational failure	5	HMI touch keys may not be verified during qualification	4	Verification of HMI touch keys is carried out during qualification.	4	**80**	Low	Qualification of new HMI shall be carried out.	Prd./Engg./ QA						

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR REPLACEMENT OF HMI OF PURIFIED WATER GENERATION SYSTEM

13.0 Risk Control Measures:

Investigation / Findings:

- HMI of PWG shall be replaced with new HMI of same specification.
- Old HMI of PWG shall be removed.

Corrective Action:

- Change control initiated for the replacement of HMI of PWG. Old HMI of PWG shall be removed.

14.0 Summary & Conclusion Report for Risk Assessment:

Summary:

Available control measures are sufficient to mitigate the risk of contamination and cross contamination. However, for further mitigation of risk below are the recommended actions.

S.No.	Proposed Action	Responsible Department	TCD
1.	HMI of PWG to be replaced with new HMI of same specification. On / Off selector switch of HMI of PWG to be removed.	Engineering	
2.	SOP, Cleaning and operation of rapid mixer granulator with co-mill and peristaltic pump (capacity 100 liters) Make Gansons shall be revised.	Production	
3.	Qualification of new HMI of PWG shall be carried out as per addendum protocol after installation.	Quality Assurance	

Conclusion:

Based on above risk assessment study it is concluded that risk associated is low as per existing current process control and recommended action to be completed for better control and compliance.

15.0 Final Report Approval (Pre-Assessment):

The final report shall be signed after identifying all the risks and critical control parameters. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates that all the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR REPLACEMENT OF HMI OF PURIFIED WATER GENERATION SYSTEM

Responsibility		Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	**Engineering**			
Reviewed by	**Engineering**			
	Production			
	Quality Assurance			
	Head - Operation			
Approved by	**Head - QA**			

16.0 Final Report Approval (Post Assessment):

The final report shall be signed after implementing all the recommended actions and based on the implementation of actions, reclassification of risk was completed. All the reports or documents have been attached to the respective report (if applicable).

Signature in the block below indicates. All the control measures taken are documented and have been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Responsibility		Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	**Engineering**			
Reviewed by	**Engineering**			
	Production			
	Quality Assurance			
	Head - Operation			
Approved by	**Head - QA**			

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR REPLACEMENT OF HMI OF PURIFIED WATER GENERATION SYSTEM

17.0Risk Communication:

The above quality risk assessment is shared with the following process owner and management.

1. Quality Assurance.
2. Production
3. Engineering

18.0Abbreviation:

SOP : Standard Operating Procedure
FMEA : Failure Mode Effect Analysis
QRM : Quality Risk Management
QMS : Quality Management System
CAPA : Corrective Action and Preventive Action
RPN : Risk Priority Number
ICH : International Conference on Harmonization
RAS : Risk Assessment