

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:
REVISION No: 00
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>

**PAGE No.: 1 of 33** 

RISK ASSESSMENT AND IMPACT ANALYSIS OF PLC SYSTEM FOR FLUID BED DRYER (FBD-250 KG)

System Name	FLUID BED DRYER (FBD-250 KG)
System ID	
Location	GRANULATION
Effective Date	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:
•••••
REVISION No: 00
EFFECTIVE DATE:

**PAGE No.: 2 of 33** 

# RISK ASSESSMENT AND IMPACT ANALYSIS OF PLC SYSTEM FOR FLUID BED DRYER (FBD-250 KG)

### **CONTENTS**

1.0.0 PRE APPROVAL SIGNATURES:		3
2.0.0	GENERAL:	4
2.1.0	PURPOSE:	4
2.2.0	SCOPE:	4
2.3.0	BACKGROUND:	4
2.4.0	REVISION HISTORY:	4
2.5.0		5
2.6.0		6
3.0.0	RISK ASSESSMENT:	7
4.0.0	RISK ASSESSMENT	
5.0.0	SUMMARY REPORT	31
6.0.0	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	32
700	POST APPROVAL SIGNATURES	33



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:
•••••
REVISION No: 00
EFFECTIVE DATE:

PAGE No.: 3 of 33

RISK ASSESSMENT AND IMPACT ANALYSIS OF PLC SYSTEM FOR FLUID BED DRYER (FBD-250 KG)

### 1.0.0 PRE APPROVAL SIGNATURES:

The signatures below indicate approval of this Risk assessment of PLC system of Fluid Bed Dryer(FBD-250 Kg) indicates that it is ready for execution.

#### **RISK ASSESSMENT PRE-APPROVAL**

Function	Name	Department	Designation	Signature/Date
1				
Prepared by		Engineering	-3	
1				
Reviewed by		Engineering		
Reviewed by		Production		
Reviewed by		Quality Assurance		

Final Approval: Final approval has been given by the following

Function	Name	Designation	Signature/Date
Approved by		Head Quality Assurance	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:	
•••••	
REVISION No: 00	
EFFECTIVE DATE:	

PAGE No.: 4 of 33

RISK ASSESSMENT AND IMPACT ANALYSIS OF PLC SYSTEM FOR FLUID BED DRYER (FBD-250 KG)

2.0.0	<b>GENERAL:</b>
-------	-----------------

#### 2.1.0 **PURPOSE**:

A principal purpose of this document is to identify and evaluate the risk factor of PLC system of Fluid Bed Dryer (FBD-250 Kg) and also provides its mitigations. The purpose of the risk assessment is to minimize affect the safety, quality, reliability or durability of a product and to get maximum benefits of CGMP from PLC system of Fluid Bed Dryer (FBD-250 Kg). This document identifies the functions which may impact on patient safety, data integrity and product quality.

#### 2.2.0 **SCOPE**:

The scope of this document is to identify the Risk of PLC Fluid Bed Dryer (FBD-250 Kg). Risk Assessment process has following points.

- Identify Risk
- Individual function risk scenario
- Identify and verify appropriate controls
- Mitigation for function risk scenario

#### 2.3.0 BACKGROUND:

The "Fluid Bed Dryer (FBD-250 Kg)" is a new system purchase specifically for use at ......

#### 2.4.0 <u>REVISION HISTORY:</u>

Version No.	rsion No. Effective Date Reason for Change	
00		New Document



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:
•••••
REVISION No: 00
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>
PAGE No.: 5 of 33

# RISK ASSESSMENT AND IMPACT ANALYSIS OF PLC SYSTEM FOR FLUID BED DRYER (FBD-250 KG)

### 2.5.0 **REFERENCES**:

The test and execution procedure within the scope of the Risk assessment document are consistence with the following reference.

Guideline	<u>Details</u>	
GAMP-5	Good Automated Manufacturing Practices	
21 CFR Part 210	Code of Federal Regulations, Current Good Manufacturing Practices in Manufacturing Processing, Packing.	
21 CFR Part 211	Code of Federal Regulations, Current Good Manufacturing Practices for finished Pharmaceuticals.	
EU GMP Annex-11	European Union Good Manufacturing Practices Annexure-11	





QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:
•••••
REVISION No: 00
EFFECTIVE DATE:

**PAGE No.: 6 of 33** 

RISK ASSESSMENT AND IMPACT ANALYSIS OF PLC SYSTEM FOR FLUID BED DRYER (FBD-250 KG)

### 2.6.0 **RESPONSIBILITY:**

- ➤ Collect all manuals, electrical wiring diagram and documentary or any other data necessary for the preparation, execution of Risk Assessment document from M/S.....
- Preparation and execution of Risk Assessment document.
- ➤ Initiate risk assessment study in coordination with Production, Quality Assurance and Engineering.
- Provide training to the persons, who present during execution, of this study.

Engineering		Production			<b>Quality Assurance</b>		
>	Co-ordinate during execution	>	Co-ordinate during execution	>	Co-ordinate during execution		
	of Risk Assessment.		of Risk Assessment activities.		of Risk Assessment activities.		
	Č.						
>	To provide utilities for Risk	>	Provide personnel for	>	To check and approve the		
	Assessment.		facilitating the execution of		Risk Assessment document.		
			Risk Assessment activity.				
>	To check the Risk Assessment		v. v				
	document.		U U U				
		>	Check that test requirements				
			are completed.				
		>	To check the Risk Assessment				
			docum <mark>ent.</mark>				
			100000				



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT


PROTOCOL No.:
REVISION No: 00
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>

**PAGE No.: 7 of 33** 

# RISK ASSESSMENT AND IMPACT ANALYSIS OF PLC SYSTEM FOR FLUID BED DRYER (FBD-250 KG)

#### 3.0.0 RISK ASSESSMENT:

- Risk is the combination of the probability of occurrence of harm & the severity of that harm. Risk assessment shall be done to determine the criticality of the system to the process (with respect to product efficacy or patient safety.
- Risk assessment together shall help to determine the strategy & priority in which each system should be
  addressed for remedial action. High criticality systems with poor compliance shall result in a high priority for
  remedial action, whereas, low criticality systems with poor compliance may fall below the threshold for
  remedial action.





QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:
•••••
<b>REVISION No: 00</b>
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>

**PAGE No.: 8 of 33** 

# RISK ASSESSMENT AND IMPACT ANALYSIS OF PLC SYSTEM FOR FLUID BED DRYER (FBD-250 KG)

#### • Risk Severity (Impact or Significance):

- o Risk assessment requires not only the identification of the immediate effects of the risk but also the long term and widespread impact of those effects. These effects must take into account a wide variety of issues including impact on regulatory compliance. Impact on Patient safety, product quality and data integrity (or other harm) may be considered. A suggested method of representing this is as per as Low (L), Medium (M) or High (H).
- <u>Low</u>: Expected to have a minor negative impact. The damage would not be expected to have a long term detrimental effect.
- o <u>Medium</u>: Expected to have a moderate impact. The impact could be expected to have short to medium term detrimental effects.
- o <u>High</u>: Expected to have a very significant negative impact. The impact could be expected to have significant long-term effects and potentially catastrophic short-term effects.

#### • Risk Classification (Risk Class):

o Based on the Risk Likelihood & Severity of risk, identify the risk class. It may be mentioned as Class 1, Class 2 or Class 3 as per Table.

#### • Probability of Detection (Detectability):

- The purpose of this stage in the assessment process is to identify if the risk event is recognized or detected by other means in the system. Hence a Class 1 risk, if it has a high probability of detection may not pose such a serious threat because it can be recognized quickly and suitable corrective actions can be taken to mitigate its impact. Conversely, if the same fault has low probability of detection then one needs to seriously consider review of the design or the implementation of alternate procedures to avoid the event. It may be mentioned as Low (L), Medium (M) or High (H).
- **Low**: Detection of the fault condition is perceived to be unlikely.
- o **Medium**: Detection of the fault condition is perceived to be reasonably likely.
- o **<u>High</u>**: Detection of the fault condition is perceived to be highly likely.



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT


PROTOCOL No.:
•••••
REVISION No: 00
EFFECTIVE DATE:

**PAGE No.: 9 of 33** 

RISK ASSESSMENT AND IMPACT ANALYSIS OF PLC SYSTEM FOR FLUID BED DRYER (FBD-250 KG)

#### • Risk Priority:

- o By combining the Risk Classification with the Probability of Detection, it is possible to prioritize, which determines how urgent and important it is to mitigate a particular risk.
- o Once these priorities have been determined the team can proceed to define and document the appropriate measure(s) to mitigate the adverse event that poses the risk. Risk Priority may be mentioned as High Priority, Medium Priority or Low Priority. Table below provides the guidance to arriving at the Risk Priority.
- Risk assessment together shall help to determine the strategy & priority in which each system should be addressed for remedial action. High criticality systems with poor compliance shall result in a high priority for remedial action, whereas, low criticality systems with poor compliance may fall below the threshold for remedial action.





QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT


PROTOCOL No.:
REVISION No: 00
EFFECTIVE DATE:

**PAGE No.: 10 of 33** 

# RISK ASSESSMENT AND IMPACT ANALYSIS OF PLC SYSTEM FOR FLUID BED DRYER (FBD-250 KG)

#### • Five step approach to risk management:

- Step 1: Initial Assessment
- Step 2: Identify functions with impact on patient safety, product quality & data integrity
- Step 3: Perform functional risk assessments & identify controls
- Step 4: Implement & verify appropriate testing & controls
- Step 5: Review risks & monitor controls





QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:
•••••
REVISION No: 00
EFFECTIVE DATE:

**PAGE No.: 11 of 33** 

- □ Risk scenario & mitigation approaches are evaluated module wise.
- □ Risk assessment should be performed considering the risk related to:
  - Safety of product, personnel & environment
  - PLC system hardware (component & sub component) & software.
  - o **Personnel**: All personnel should have appropriate qualifications, level of access and defined responsibility to carry out their assigned duties.
  - O Change and configuration Management: Any changes to a PLC system including system configurations, hardware and software, should only be made in a controlled manner in accordance with a standard procedure.
  - o **Periodic Evaluation**: PLC systems should be periodically evaluated to confirm that they remain in a valid state and are compliant with GMP.
  - Security and authorization: Physical and/or logical controls should be in place to restrict access to PLC system to authorized persons. Suitable methods of preventing unauthorized entry to the system may include the use of keys, passwords, restricted access to computer equipment and data storage areas.
  - Business Continuity: For the availability of PLC system of supporting critical processes, provisions should be made to ensure continuity of support for those processes in the event of a system break down. The time required to bring the alternative arrangements into use should be based on risk and appropriate for a particular system and the business process it supports. These arrangements should be adequately documented and tested.
- ☐ Interlocks are measures that are put in place to reduce risk to an acceptable level. Interlocks are aimed at:
- Eliminating risk through process or system re-design: If any abnormality is observed during qualification the risk is mitigated through redesign the system.
- Reducing risk by reducing the probability of a failure occurring.

  Reducing risk by increasing the in process detectability of failure (Emergency stop, limit switches, Sensors etc.).



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:	
REVISION No: 00	
EFFECTIVE DATE:	

**PAGE No.: 12 of 33** 

RISK ASSESSMENT AND IMPACT ANALYSIS OF PLC SYSTEM FOR FLUID BED DRYER (FBD-250 KG)

#### 4.0.0 RISK ASSESSMENT

	Risk assessment and control						
Risk Area	Risk Identification	ification Likelihood Severity Class Detectability Priority and control					
Personal, GXP risk data integrity	Unauthorized person may try to operate system and manipulate the system data	Low	High	2	Medium	Medium	Logical (System should password protected) security should in place to restrict access to unauthorized persons.

				Risk Ass <mark>es</mark> sme	nt Post Mitigation	
Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Low	High	3	High	Medium	Negligible	

Remarks:	

Done By Sign / Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Verified By (QA) Sign / Date: \_\_\_\_



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
REVISION No: 00	
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>	

**PAGE No.: 13 of 33** 

	Risk assessment and control							
Risk Area	Risk Identification	Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Measures and control (Risk mitigation)	
Equipment Risk	Unstable power supply may damage the PLC and HMI system	High	Medium	1	Low	High	Stable power supply(SMPS) should connect to equipment for prevention of PLC and HMI system.	

				<mark>Risk Assessme</mark>	nt Post Mitigation	
Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Low	Medium	Risk Class-3	High	Low	Negligible	

Remarks:	000_000
Done By Sign / Date:	Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
REVISION No: 00	
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>	

**PAGE No.: 14 of 33** 

	Risk assessment and control							
Risk Area	Risk Identification	Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Measures and control (Risk mitigation)	
Business and GMP Risk	Untrained person may try to operate the system	Low	Medium	3	High	Low	Training should be available for equipment operation	

Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Low	Medium	3	High	Low	Negligible	

Remarks:	
Done By Sign / Date:	Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:
•••••
REVISION No: 00
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>

**PAGE No.: 15 of 33** 

	Risk assessment and control									
Risk Area	Risk Identification	Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Measures and control (Risk mitigation)			
System and GMP Risk	Any Change or configuration in the system hardware/software may impact its functionality.	Medium	Medium	2	Medium	Medium	Any change to a PLC system should be done in accordance with a standard procedure. Major modifications/ changes shall be followed by re-validation.			

				Risk Assessme	ent Post Mitigation	
Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Low	Medium	3	High	Low	Negligible	

Remarks:			
	\Done By Sign / Date:	 Verified By (QA) Sign / Date: _	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:	
•••••	
REVISION No: 00	
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>	

**PAGE No.: 16 of 33** 

	Risk assessment and control									
Risk Area	Risk Identification	Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Measures and control (Risk mitigation)			
Process, personnel And equipment risk	Machine may not be stopped in case of emergency	Medium	High	1	High	Medium	Emergency stop alarm and Interlock should be available.			

Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Low	High	2	High	Medium	Negligible	

Remarks:	
Done By Sign / Date:	Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:	
•••••	
REVISION No: 00	
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>	

**PAGE No.: 17 of 33** 

	Risk assessment and control									
Risk Area	Risk Identification	Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Measures and control (Risk mitigation)			
Product, GxP Risk	The product may be affected in case of variation in inlet temperature	Medium	Low	3	Low	Medium	Inlet temperature high alarm and Interlock should be available in the system.			

Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Low	Low	3	High	Medium	Negligible	

Remarks:	
Done By Sign / Date:	Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
REVISION No: 00	
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>	

**PAGE No.: 18 of 33** 

	Risk assessment and control									
Risk Area	Risk Identification	Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Measures and control (Risk mitigation)			
Equipment, process Risk	Blower is Overload	Low	Medium	3	High	Low	Blower Overload Interlock Should be available in the system and check it.			

Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Low	Low	3	High	Low	Negligible	

Remarks:	300 000	
Done By Sign / Date:	Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:	
•••••	
REVISION No: 00	
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>	

**PAGE No.: 19 of 33** 

	Risk assessment and control								
Risk Area	Risk Identification	Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Measures and control (Risk mitigation)		
Equipment Risk	If PC air pressure low	Low	Medium	3	High	Low	PC seal air pressure low alarms and interlock should be available in system and maintain it.		

			F	<mark>Risk Assessme</mark> n	nt Post Mitigation	
Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Low	Medium	3	High	Low	Negligible	

marks:	000 000
Done By Sign / Date:	Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:	
REVISION No: 00	
EFFECTIVE DATE:	

**PAGE No.: 20 of 33** 

Risk assessment and control								
Risk Area	Risk Identification	Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Measures and control (Risk mitigation)	
Equipment Risk	Machine May Not Start If Earth Fault Is Occur.	Low	High	Risk Class-	Medium	Medium	Earth Fault Alarm and Interlock Should be Available in the system.	

			F	Ris <mark>k Ass</mark> essment	t Post Mitigation	
Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Low	High	2	Medium	Medium	Negligible	

Remarks:	
Done By Sign / Date:	Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:
	Risk assessment and control



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
REVISION No: 00	
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>	

**PAGE No.: 21 of 33** 

Risk Area	Risk Identification	Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Measures and control (Risk mitigation)
Process & Product Risk	If Blower On feed Back Is not Active To PLC Input than Blower Get Not On	Medium	Medium	Risk Class -2	High	Low	Blower On Feed Back Alarm And Interlock should be available in the system.

			F	<mark>Risk Assess</mark> men	t Post Mitigation	
Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Low	Low	3	High	Low	Negligible	

marks:		
	Done By Sign / Date:	Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:	
•••••	
REVISION No: 00	
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>	

**PAGE No.: 22 of 33** 

	Risk assessment and control								
Risk Area	Risk Identification	Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Measures and control (Risk mitigation)		
Equipment, Process Risk	Purging air pressure less than the set value than purging air pressure low	Medium	Medium	Risk Class- 2	High	Low	Purging air pressure low alarm and interlock should be available in the system		

				Risk Assessme	nt P <mark>os</mark> t Mitigation	
Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Medium	Low	3	High	Low	Negligible	

Remarks:	<del></del>
Done By Sign / Date:	Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:	
•••••	
REVISION No: 00	
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>	

**PAGE No.: 23 of 33** 

Risk assessment and control								
Risk Area	Risk Identification	Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priori <mark>t</mark> y	Measures and control (Risk mitigation)	
Equipment Risk	Bed sensor feedback input is not active to the PLC.	Low	Medium	Risk Class-	Medium	Low	Bed sensor feedback alarm and interlock should be available in the system.	

			I	Risk Assessmen	t Post Mitigation	
Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Low	Medium	3	High	Low	Negligible	

Remarks:	202 202
Done By Sign / Date:	Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:
•••••
REVISION No: 00
EFFECTIVE DATE:

**PAGE No.: 24 of 33** 

	Risk assessment and control									
Risk Area	Risk Identification	Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Measures and control (Risk mitigation)			
Equipment Risk	FBT Air Pressure value is less than set point	Medium	Medium	Risk Class-	High	Low	FBT air pressure low alarm and interlock should available in the system.			

				Risk Assessme	ent Post Mitigation	
Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Medium	Medium	2	High	Low	Negligible	

Remarks:	202 202
Done By Sign / Date:	Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:	
••••	
REVISION No: 00	
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>	

**PAGE No.: 25 of 33** 

Risk assessment and control									
Risk Area	Risk Identification	Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Measures and control (Risk mitigation)		
Equipment, Process Risk	Main air pressure less than the set point.	Medium	Medium	Risk Class- 2	High	Low	Main air pressure low alarm and interlock should available in the system.		

				Risk Assessme	nt Post Mitigation	
Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Medium	Medium	2	High	Low	Negligible	

Remarks:	
Done By Sign / Date:	Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
REVISION No: 00	
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>	

**PAGE No.: 26 of 33** 

	Risk assessment and control									
Risk Area	Risk Identification	Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Measures and control (Risk mitigation)			
Equipment Risk	SFM Overload Relay tripped.	Low	High	Risk Class-	High	Low	SFM overload alarm and interlock should available in the system.			

			F	Risk Assessmen	nt Post Mitigation	
Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Low	High	2	High	Low	Negligible	

Remarks:	
Done By Sign / Date:	Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

**PAGE No.: 27 of 33** 

	Risk assessment and control										
Risk Area	Risk Identification	Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Measures and control (Risk mitigation)				
Product & Process Risk	Inlet Temperature high & Very Low in compare of set value	Medium	High	Risk Class-	High	Medium	Inlet temp high & very low alarm and interlock should be available in the system.				

				Risk Assessm	nent Post Mitigation	n
Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Medium	High	1	High	Medium	Negligible	

Remarks:		
Done By Sign / Date:	Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:	
REVISION No: 00	
111 (12101)1100	
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>	

**PAGE No.: 28 of 33** 

					Risk assessment	and control	
Risk Area	Risk Identification	Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Measures and control (Risk mitigation)
Product & Process Risk	Outlet temperature high & Very High compare to set value	Medium	High	Risk Class- 1	High	Medium	Outlet temp high & very high alarm and interlock should be available in the system.

				Risk Assessm	ent Post Mitigation	n
Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Medium	High	1	High	Medium	Negligible	

Remarks:	
Done By Sign / Date:	Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
REVISION No: 00	
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>	

**PAGE No.: 29 of 33** 

					Risk assessment	and control	
Risk Area	Risk Identification	Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Measures and control (Risk mitigation)
Equipment & Personal Risk	APU Door is not close	Low	Medium	Risk Class-	Medium	Low	APU Door is open alarm and interlock should available in the system.

				Risk Assessm	ent Post Mitigatio	on Control of the Con
Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Low	Low	3	High	Low	Negligible	

Done By Sign / Date: Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:	emarks:	
Done By Sign / Date: Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:		
	Done By Sign / Date:	Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

	PROTOCOL No.:
	REVISION No: 00
•	EFFECTIVE DATE:

**PAGE No.: 30 of 33** 

	Risk assessment and control									
Risk Area	Risk Identification	Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Measures and control (Risk mitigation)			
Equipment Risk	Inlet Temperature Low & Very low compare to set value	Low	High	Risk Class- 2	High	Low	Inlet temp low & very low alarm and interlock should available in the system.			

				Risk Assessi	ment Post Mitigatio	on
Likelihood	Severity	Risk Class	Detectability	Risk Priority	Residual Risk (Post Mitigation)	Risk Eliminated & Accepted/ Risk Reduced & Accepted
Low	High	2	High	Low	Negligible	

Remarks:	
Done By Sign / Date:	Verified By (QA) Sign / Date:



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:	
REVISION No: 00	
EFFECTIVE DATI	Ξ:

**PAGE No.: 31 of 33** 

	0	9	
Function	N <mark>ame</mark>	Department	Sign. & Date
Done by		Validation Engineering	
Verified by		QA	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
REVISION No: 00
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b>

**PAGE No.: 32 of 33** 

RISK ASSESSMENT AND IMPACT ANALYSIS OF PLC SYSTEM FOR FLUID BED DRYER (FBD-250 KG)

### 6.0.0 <u>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</u>

<u>Acronym</u>		<u>Description</u>	
CGMP	$\rightarrow$	Current Good Manufacturing Practices	
GAMP	$\rightarrow$	Good Automated Manufacturing Practices	
GMP	$\rightarrow$	Good Manufacturing Practices	
ID	$\rightarrow$	Identification Number	
Ю	$\rightarrow$	Input Output	
IQ	$\rightarrow$	Installation Qualification	
PLC	$\rightarrow$	Programmable Logic Controller	
CFR	$\rightarrow$	Code of Federal Regulation	
HMI	$\rightarrow$	Human Machine Interface	
RA	$\rightarrow$	Risk Ass <mark>ess</mark> ment	
		100	



QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

PROTOCOL No.:
•••••
REVISION No: 00
EFFECTIVE DATE:

**PAGE No.: 33 of 33** 

RISK ASSESSMENT AND IMPACT ANALYSIS OF PLC SYSTEM FOR FLUID BED DRYER (FBD-250 KG)

### 7.0.0 POST APPROVAL SIGNATURES

This is specific Risk Assessment of the PLC system of Fluid Bed Dryer (FBD-250 Kg). This Document is Checked and approved by the following.

### RISK ASSESSMENT POST APPROVAL

Function	Name	Department	Designation	Signature/Date		
Checked by	- Land	Engin <mark>eer</mark> ing	3			
Reviewed by	- The	Engineering	A.			
Reviewed by		Production				
Reviewed by		Quality Assurance				

Final Approval: Final approval has been given by the following

Function	Name	Designation	Signature/Date
Approved by		Head Quality Assurance	